

Assessing maternal environmental enteric dysfunction and its association with adverse birth outcomes in Uganda

Jacqueline M. Lauer, PhD, MPH Research Fellow Boston Children's Hospital & Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Nutrition at Tufts University

August 2019







Disclosures

I have no disclosures in relation to this presentation.







Presentation Outline

- Introduction
 - Clinical presentation
 - Assessment
 - Mechanisms (EED and poor growth)
- Maternal EED Study in Mukono, Uganda
 - Background
 - Methods
 - Results
- Next Steps







Environmental enteric dysfunction

- EED is characterized by changes in the structure and function of the small intestine:
 - Blunting of the villi
 - Reduced epithelial surface area and absorptive capacity
 - Altered mucosal barrier integrity
 - Intestinal and systemic Inflammation
- It is postulated that EED develops throughout infancy as the result of chronic exposure to enteropathogens due to living in poor water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) conditions.







Despite being considered the "gold standard" for diagnosing EED, the invasive nature of intestinal biopsy makes it ill-suited for the evaluation of EED in field settings.



Figure: Jejunal biopsies of normal (a) and diseased (b) intestines







L:M Test

- The urinary lactulose: mannitol (L:M) dual sugar test is the most commonly used proxy test.
 - Participant drinks a solution containing the two sugars
 - All urine is collected for the duration of the test (4-5 hours)
 - Lactulose = disaccharide, a large sugar that is minimally absorbed in the small intestine, except in the case of intestinal permeability
 - EED = \uparrow lactulose absorption
 - Mannitol = monosaccharide, a smaller sugar, which is absorbed proportional to intestinal absorptive capacity
 - EED = \downarrow mannitol absorption
 - EED= High L:M ratio







L:M Test Cont.



Healthy Intestine = Low L:M ratio



EE = High L:M ratio

Courtesy of Audrie Lin and Steve Luby

http://www.slideshare.net/aashishysg/audrie-2013-0731-delhi-conference-ee-pilot-final





Mannitol sugar





L:M Test Limitations

- Time-consuming (5+ hours)
- Burdensome
- Expensive
- High rate of test failure
 - E.g. Spilled/leaked urine or stool contamination
- Lacks formal evaluation studies
- Measures absorptive capacity and permeability, but not necessarily other domains of EED
- Is inconsistently correlated with EED symptoms and growth outcomes in young children







Category	Biomarkers			
 Intestinal absorption and mucosal permeability 	D-xylose, mannitol, or rhamnose absorption; lactulose paracellular uptake; α1-anti-trypsin leakage into gut lumen			
2. Enterocyte mass and function	Plasma citrulline and/or conversion of alanyl-glutamine to citrulline, lactose tolerance test (as a marker of brush border damage)			
3. Inflammation	Plasma cytokines, stool calprotectin, myeloperoxidase, or lactoferrin			
4. Microbial translocation and immune activation	Stool neopterin, plasma LPS core antibody and/or LPS binding protein, circulating soluble CD14			

Table 1. Biomarkers to Assess Environmental Enteric Dysfunction

Abbreviation: LPS, lipopolysaccharide.

Keusch et al. CID 2014: 59 (Suppl 4) S207









Figure: Cluster dendrogram with Pearson correlations among those biomarkers with ≥274 values

Guerrant RL, Leite AM, Pinkerton R, Medeiros PHQS, Cavalcante PA, et al. (2016) Biomarkers of Environmental Enteropathy, Inflammation, Stunting, and Impaired Growth in Children in Northeast Brazil. PLOS ONE 11(9): e0158772. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158772







Anti-Flagellin/Anti-LPS lgs

Flagellin = bacterial protein that mediates bacterial motility

LPS = major structural component of bacteria

Both are commonly found in the gut lumen

In the case of microbial translocation, anti-flagellin and angi-LPS antibodies are produced and can be identified in serum









Mbuya, Mduduzi NN, and Jean H. Humphrey. "Preventing environmental enteric dysfunction through improved water, sanitation and hygiene: an opportunity for stunting reduction in developing countries." *Maternal & child nutrition* 12 (2016): 106-120.







EED and Poor Growth



http://www.communityledtotalsanitation.org/resource/environmental-enteric-dysfunction-overview







Background

- EED has been linked to poor growth outcomes in infants and young children.
- However, the role of maternal EED as a risk factor for adverse birth outcomes is less established.
 - Women with Crohn's disease (CD) / inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) have pregnancies marked by higher rates of preterm birth, small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants, and other complications







Objective

To examine the association between EED biomarkers (L:M ratios and anti-flagellin/anti-LPS Igs) in pregnant women aged 18-45 years and subsequent adverse birth outcomes (shorter gestational age, lower birth weight and length, and smaller head circumference) in Mukono District, Uganda





Study Setting: Mukono, Uganda











Methodology

- Prospective cohort study (n=258) conducted Feb- and Nov 2017
- Pregnant women were recruited at their first prenatal visit to Mukono Health Center IV (about 16 weeks gestation)
- Associations were assessed with STATA 15 software using (multivariate) linear regression models

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria		
Between 18 and 45 years old	Severely malnourished (BMI < 16.0 kg/m2)		
Residing within 10 km. of Mukono Town	Severely anemic (Hb < 7 g/dl)		
Carrying a singleton pregnancy	HIV-positive		
	Planning to move from Mukono District		





Visit Schedule

Visit	Time	Location	Description		
#1: Enrollment visit (n=254)	After first prenatal visit (9- 27 weeks gestation)	MHC IV	 Ultrasound scan Hb test/blood pressure tests Venous blood draw Anthropometry (height, weight, MUAC) Questionnaire 		
#2: L:M test (n=247)	< 1 week after enrollment visit	Participants' residence	 Solution containing 5 grams of lactulose and 2 grams of mannitol 4-hour timed urine collection 		
#3: Follow-up visit (n=236)	3 weeks prior to participants' EDD	Participants' residence	Anthropometry (weight, MUAC)QuestionnaireWater quality test		
#4: Delivery visit (n=232 total, 220 born alive)	Within 48 hours of delivery	Participants' residence or health facility	 Infant anthropometry (length, weight, head circumference) 		



Analysis of samples

 Maternal EED was assessed via the L:M test (HPLC, Baylor College of Medicine) and serum concentrations of antibodies to the bacterial components flagellin and LPS (ELISA, Georgia State University)







Biomarkers of maternal environmental enteric dysfunction are associated with shorter gestation and reduced length in newborn infants in Uganda

Jacqueline M Lauer,^{1,2,3} Christopher P Duggan,^{2,3,4} Lynne M Ausman,^{1,2} Jeffrey K Griffiths,^{5,6} Patrick Webb,^{1,2} Edgar Agaba,² Nathan Nshakira,⁷ Hao Q Tran,⁸ Andrew T Gewirtz,⁸ and Shibani Ghosh^{1,2}

¹Gerald J. and Dorothy R. Friedman School of Nutrition Science and Policy and; ²USAID Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Nutrition at Tufts University, Boston, MA; ³Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA; ⁴Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA; ⁵Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; ⁶Tufts University Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine and Tufts University School of Engineering, Medford, MA; ⁷Uganda Christian University, Mukono, Uganda; and ⁸Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA



TABLE 3

Biomarkers of maternal EED as predictors of infant gestational age (weeks), length (centimeters), and LAZ at birth (n = 220) in unadjusted and adjusted linear regression models¹

	Gestational age, wk		Length, cm		LAZ	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted
L:M	0.04 (-0.22, 0.30)	0.02 (-0.24, 0.29)	0.04 (-0.21, 0.30)	0.01 (-0.22, 0.24)	0.03 (-0.11, 0.16)	0.01 (-0.11, 0.13)
	P = 0.761	P = 0.858	P = 0.746	P = 0.901	P = 0.712	P = 0.842
%LE	0.02 (-0.25, 0.29)	0.006 (-0.27, 0.28)	-0.03 (-0.29, 0.24)	-0.03 (-0.27, 0.20)	-0.008 (-0.15, 0.13)	-0.009 (-0.13, 0.11)
	P = 0.897	P = 0.968	P = 0.850	P = 0.776	P = 0.915	P = 0.881
Anti-flagellin IgA	-0.26 (-0.96, 0.44)	-0.37 (-1.10, 0.36)	0.11 (-0.57, 0.79)	-0.15 (-0.79, 0.49)	0.05 (-0.31, 0.41)	-0.11 (-0.44, 0.23)
	P = 0.463	P = 0.322	P = 0.743	P = 0.643	P = 0.785	P = 0.533
Anti-LPS IgA	-0.24(-1.06, 0.58)	-0.25 (-1.10, 0.60)	-0.36(-1.15, 0.43)	-0.48 (-1.22, 0.25)	-0.21 (-0.63, 0.21)	-0.28 (-0.67, 0.10)
	P = 0.566	P = 0.564	P = 0.372	P = 0.195	P = 0.323	P = 0.152
Anti-flagellin IgG	-0.79 (-1.66, 0.08)	-0.89(-1.77, -0.01)	-0.68 (-1.52, 0.16)	-0.80(-1.55, -0.05)	-0.38 (-0.83, 0.06)	-0.44 (-0.83, -0.05)
	P = 0.075	$P = 0.047^*$	P = 0.110	$P = 0.036^*$	P = 0.089	$P = 0.029^*$
Anti-LPS IgG	-0.98 (-1.82, -0.15)	-1.01 (-1.87, -0.17)	-0.50 (-1.32, 0.32)	-0.79 (-1.54, -0.04)	-0.29 (-0.72, 0.15)	-0.40 (-0.79, -0.01)
	$P = 0.021^*$	$P = 0.019^*$	P = 0.234	$P = 0.039^*$	P = 0.197	$P = 0.043^*$

¹Values are β -coefficients (95% CIs) and *P* values; all EED biomarkers were natural log transformed before analysis. Adjusted model controls for maternal age, height, diastolic blood pressure, years of education, first pregnancy (yes/no), *P < 0.05. Household Food Insecurity Access Scale score, safe water (yes/no), and infant birth weight. EED; environmental enteric dysfunction; LAZ, length-for-age *z* score; L:M, lactulose:mannitol; %LE, percentage lactulose excretion.

In adjusted linear regression models, higher In concentrations of anti-flagellin IgG and anti-LPS IgG were significantly associated with shorter length of infant gestational age at birth, lower length at birth, and lower LAZ at birth



Maternal Anti-LPS IgG and Gestational Age at Birth r = -0.192



Next steps

• UBCS maternal samples from pregnancy analyzed for anti-flagellin and anti-LPS

 What is the relationship between maternal EED biomarkers (anti-flagellin and anti-LPS Igs) and infant birth outcomes, growth (6 months), and EED status (6 months)?







Questions?



