Archimedes

ON THE EQUILIBRIUM OF PLANES

OR

THE CENTRES OF GRAVITY OF PLANES.

BOOK I.

"I POSTULATE the following:

1. Equal weights at equal distances are in equilibrium, and equal weights at unequal distances are not in equilibrium but incline towards the weight which is at the greater distance.

2. If, when weights at certain distances are in equilibrium, something be added to one of the weights, they are not in equilibrium but incline towards that weight to which the addition was made.

3. Similarly, if anything be taken away from one of the weights, they are not in equilibrium but incline towards the weight from which nothing was taken.

4. When equal and similar plane figures coincide if applied to one another, their centres of gravity similarly coincide.

5. In figures which are unequal but similar the centres of gravity will be similarly situated. By points similarly situated in relation to similar figures I mean points such that, if straight lines be drawn from them to the equal angles, they make equal angles with the corresponding sides.

6. If magnitudes at certain distances be in equilibrium, (other) magnitudes equal to them will also be in equilibrium at the same distances.

7. In any figure whose perimeter is concave in (one and) the same direction the centre of gravity must be within the figure."

Proposition 1.

Weights which balance at equal distances are equal.

For, if they are unequal, take away from the greater the difference between the two. The remainders will then not balance [*Post.* 3]; which is absurd.

Therefore the weights cannot be unequal.

Proposition 2.

Unequal weights at equal distances will not balance but will incline towards the greater weight.

For take away from the greater the difference between the two. The equal remainders will therefore balance [*Post.* 1]. Hence, if we add the difference again, the weights will not balance but incline towards the greater [*Post.* 2].

Proposition 3.

Unequal weights will balance at unequal distances, the greater weight being at the lesser distance.

Let A, B be two unequal weights (of which A is the greater) balancing about C at distances AC, BC respectively.

Then shall AC be less than BC. For, if not, take away from A the weight $(A - B.)$ The remainders will then incline

Propositions 6, 7.

Two magnitudes, whether commensurable [Prop. 6] *or incommensurable* [Prop. 7], *balance at distances reciprocally proportional to the magnitudes.*

I. Suppose the magnitudes A, B to be commensurable, and the points A, B to be their centres of gravity. Let DE be a straight line so divided at C that

$$A : B = DC : CE.$$

We have then to prove that, if A be placed at E and B at D, C is the centre of gravity of the two taken together.

Since A, B are commensurable, so are DC, CE. Let N be a common measure of DC, CE. Make DH, DK each equal to CE, and EL (on CE produced) equal to CD. Then $EH = CD$, since $DH = CE$. Therefore LH is bisected at E, as HK is bisected at D.

Thus LH, HK must each contain N an even number of times.

Take a magnitude O such that O is contained as many times in A as N is contained in LH, whence

$$A : O = LH : N.$$

But $B : A = CE : DC$

$$= HK : LH.$$

Hence, *ex aequali*, $B : O = HK : N$, or O is contained in B as many times as N is contained in HK.

Thus O is a common measure of A, B.

Divide LH, HK into parts each equal to N, and A, B into parts each equal to O. The parts of A will therefore be equal in number to those of LH, and the parts of B equal in number to those of HK. Place one of the parts of A at the middle point of each of the parts N of LH, and one of the parts of B at the middle point of each of the parts N of HK.

Then the centre of gravity of the parts of A placed at equal distances on LH will be at E, the middle point of LH [Prop. 5, Cor. 2], and the centre of gravity of the parts of B placed at equal distances along HK will be at D, the middle point of HK.

Thus we may suppose A itself applied at E, and B itself applied at D.

But the system formed by the parts O of A and B together is a system of equal magnitudes even in number and placed at equal distances along LK. And, since $LE = CD$, and $EC = DK$, $LC = CK$, so that C is the middle point of LK. Therefore C is the centre of gravity of the system ranged along LK.

Therefore A acting at E and B acting at D balance about the point C.

II. Suppose the magnitudes to be incommensurable, and let them be $(A + a)$ and B respectively. Let DE be a line divided at C so that

$$(A + a) : B = DC : CE.$$

Then, if $(A + a)$ placed at E and B placed at D do not balance about C, $(A + a)$ is either too great to balance B, or not great enough.

Suppose, if possible, that $(A + a)$ is too great to balance B. Take from $(A + a)$ a magnitude a smaller than the deduction which would make the remainder balance B, but such that the remainder A and the magnitude B are commensurable.

Then, since A, B are commensurable, and

$$A : B < DC : CE,$$

A and B will not balance [Prop. 6], but D will be depressed.

But this is impossible, since the deduction a was an insufficient deduction from $(A + a)$ to produce equilibrium, so that E was still depressed.

Therefore $(A + a)$ is not too great to balance B; and similarly it may be proved that B is not too great to balance $(A + a)$.

Hence $(A + a)$, B taken together have their centre of gravity at C.

Proposition 8.

If AB be a magnitude whose centre of gravity is C, and AD a part of it whose centre of gravity is F, then the centre of gravity of the remaining part will be a point G on FC produced such that

$$GC : CF = (AD) : (DE).$$

For, if the centre of gravity of the remainder (DE) be not G, let it be a point H. Then an absurdity follows at once from Props. 6, 7.

Proposition 9.

The centre of gravity of any parallelogram lies on the straight line joining the middle points of opposite sides.

Let $ABCD$ be a parallelogram, and let EF join the middle points of the opposite sides AD, BC.

If the centre of gravity does not lie on EF, suppose it to be H, and draw HK parallel to AD or BC meeting EF in K.

Then it is possible, by bisecting ED, then bisecting the halves, and so on continually, to arrive at a length EL less

than KH. Divide both AE and ED into parts each equal to EL, and through the points of division draw parallels to AB or CD.

We have then a number of equal and similar parallelograms, and, if any one be applied to any other, their centres of gravity coincide [*Post.* 4]. Thus we have an even number of equal magnitudes whose centres of gravity lie at equal distances along a straight line. Hence the centre of gravity of the whole parallelogram will lie on the line joining the centres of gravity of the two middle parallelograms [Prop. 5, Cor. 2].

But this is impossible, for H is outside the middle parallelograms.

Therefore the centre of gravity cannot but lie on EF.

Proposition 10.

The centre of gravity of a parallelogram is the point of intersection of its diagonals.

For, by the last proposition, the centre of gravity lies on each of the lines which bisect opposite sides. Therefore it is at the point of their intersection; and this is also the point of intersection of the diagonals.

Alternative proof.

Let $ABCD$ be the given parallelogram, and BD a diagonal. Then the triangles ABD, CDB are equal and similar, so that [*Post.* 4], if one be applied to the other, their centres of gravity will fall one upon the other.