

To: jcrowell
 From: WALTER WOODSON
 Subject: <None>
 Date: 3/18/93 Time: 12:09p

Originated by: SSTUNTS 3/18/93 12:06p
 Forwarded by: WWOODSON 3/18/93 12:09p (CHANGED)

Jennifer: please give the note below from Susan Stunts to Ron and fax to Fruett. Thanks. WW

Please make sure that Ron is aware that I got a call this a.m. from Tina Walls seeking letters from individual unions that could indirectly indicate opposition to the Cal. workplace smoking ban, being heard in the assembly Ways & Means Committee on March 24. It was her understanding that Ron W. had already spoken to me on this, and she wanted to know whether I thought it was feasible.

Tina says she is aware of Jack Henning's support of the bill ... and of the difficulty in getting unions to take a position against the state fed. She is seeking letters that attack the bill from an IAQ perspective. She and I also discussed whether a letter from the AFL International was feasible -- I told her the international would not step in or take a position against a state fed president.

This was the first anyone back here had heard of any need in California. I've made a couple of calls; I think this is doable. Letters would indicate a union position that workplace smoking was an issue to be dealt with through the collective bargaining process, and express concern that smoking bans would allow employers to refuse to deal with their obligations to clean up the air in the workplace. I told her I'd get back to her as soon as possible and hope to have some answers by the end of the day.