FEDERAL EXCISE TAXES: COST TO AVERAGE AMERICAN HOUSEHOLD

Prepared for
The CO\$T Coalition
by
Quick, Finan & Associates

June 1987

FEDERAL EXCISE TAXES: COST TO AVERAGE AMERICAN HOUSEHOLD

Some federal legislators are seeking to raise \$18 billion in new revenues to meet the Gramm-Rudman federal budget target for the next fiscal year. One proposal to meet this net revenue target would impose additional federal excise taxes on gasoline, alcohol, tobacco products and telephone use amounting to over \$26 billion in calendar year 1988, and more in later years. This increase in excise taxes—the steepest rise ever—would take about \$285 from the average American household in calendar year 1988.

Proposal and Estimated Impacts						
•	Current Tax	Proposed Tax	Increase in Excise Tax For Average U.S. Household, 1988			
Gasoline	9¢ per gallon	19¢ per gallon	\$126			
Cigarettes	16¢ a pack	32¢ a pack	43			
Beer	16¢ per six pack	65¢ per six pa	ck 51			
Wine	3¢ per fifth	55¢ per fifth	23			
Spirits	\$2.50 per fifth	\$3.00 per fift	h 7			
Telephone	0 (sunset)	3 percent	34			
			TOTAL \$284			

The gross increase in excise taxes is larger than the net revenue target because a part of the excise tax rise-about \$70 for the average American household-would be offset by a reduction in income taxes. Thus, the net tax cost to the average household would be about \$215. The partial income tax offset is no benefit to American households, however, since it would come about because the new excise tax reduces household and business incomes (by the magnitude of the gross excise tax increase), and not because of a cut in income tax rates.

These estimates, as well as estimated household costs for the 50 states and some selected urban areas—shown in accompanying tables—were prepared by Quick, Finan & Associates (QFA) of Washington, DC, on the basis of one variant of the tax proposals currently being discussed in Congress. The proposal, shown in the third column of the table above, was recently put forward by the Congressional Budget Office and reported in The New York Times.

Under the current federal tax law, the average American household already pays \$370 annually (1986 dollars) for federal excise taxes on these and other products and services. In addition, every state has excise taxes on some or all of these items, and others as well. Total federal, state and local excise taxes amounted to \$790 per household, or 2 percent of average household personal income, in 1986.

Under the proposal outlined here², federal excise taxes would rise by more than 75 percent, with tax rates on different components rising as follows:

- On gasoline, would increase 111 percent.
- On cigarettes, would double.
- On beer, would increase 306 percent.
- On table wine, would rise 1733 percent.
- On distilled spirits, would increase 20 percent.
- On telephone service, would continue at 3 percent rather than expire as currently in the law.

¹Federal excise taxes are also imposed on a variety of other items, including air fares, wagering, firearms, and bows and arrows, (see <u>Budget of the United States</u>
<u>Government</u>, FY 1988, Supplement, Table 13).

²A contending alternative proposal would substitute an oil import fee for the gasoline tax component. This alternative would impose a still larger burden on the average American households, with those in the Northeast and other oil-importing states bearing a disproportionate burden. (See <u>The New York Times</u>, Thursday, May 7, 1987.)

The impact on average household incomes is only one drawback of the proposed excise tax increases. Other problems include:

- Regressivity—According to the Congressional Budget Office, excise taxes in 1985 amounted to 4.9 percent of total income for the average household with incomes under \$5,000, versus only 0.6 percent for households with incomes of \$50,000 or more.
- Job Losses -- Citizens for Tax Justice estimated that an excise tax increase, proposed last year, that was smaller than the current proposal would lead to net job losses of almost 12,000 workers.
- Inflation--In the short run, the proposed increase in excise taxes would push up overall prices by more than 1/2 percent, with consumer prices even harder hit; flow-through effects from escalator contracts and normal business mark-ups could result in additional price increases.3

Moreover, an increase in federal excise taxes would squeeze the states' revenue-raising capacity and could set off an upward spiral in state tax rates. That is, if the boost in federal excise taxes cuts purchases of those items the states also tax, then state revenues will fall. Many states, given the already severe fiscal pressures, would be forced to raise excise tax rates in order to maintain their revenues. Thus, the ultimate increase in excise tax rates is likely to be larger than those shown above.

³See studies by the Congressional Budget Office, Citizens for Tax Justice, and Policy Economics Group cited in References and Data Sources at the end of this report. The effect on overall prices is estimated as the gross excise tax increase (assumed to be passed on to consumers) divided by total GNP in 1988, as projected by the Office of Management and Budget.

Table 1 EXCISE TAX INCREASES PER HOUSEHOLD BY STATE, 1988

State	Ças	Ciga	Reek	Hine	Spirits	Tele.	Total
	\$140	\$42	\$39	\$12	S 5	\$26	\$264
Alabama	155	94.4 51	423 64	35	'n	45	360
Alaska Arizona	133	36	60	25	7	31	290
Arkansas	152	44	38	7	4	25	271
California	119	37	52	4.5	7	39	299
Car T T mar station		•					
Colorado	1.22	42	53	26	8	34	285
Connecticut	113	41	44	32	` 9	45	263
Delaware	144	51	56	23	9	35	320
Dist. of Colum.	73	4.2	54	24	8	41	246
Florida	116	39	55	23	8	31	272
		at 79		4 37	7	32	297
Georgia	154	45	45 71	15 29	7	40	274
Hawaii	95	3 2 4 0	52	19	5	28	285
Idaho	141 110	46	54 54	23	ž	36	276
Illinois	145	51	34 48	13	Š	30	292
Indiana	2.40	3.4	. % C	4.5	3		Alle he me
Iowa	146	41	52	7	4	30	281
Kansas	148	43	43	9	4	32	280
Kentucky	141	69	41	8	5	26	290
Louisiana	147	50	54	18	7	28	304
Maine	133	48	47	20	7	29	284
Maryland	123	44	52	24	8	39	290
Massachusetts	108	46	55	34	10	40	295
Michigan	122	48	51	20	7	33	281
Minnesota	138	40	50	17	7	34	287
Mississippi	145	46	4.5	7	6	24	274
et . !			50	15	5	31	291
Missouri	145 170	46 40	54 64	19 19	6	28	328
Montana Nebraska	147	39	54	12	Š	31	289
Nevada	139	44	67	45	7	33	335
New Hampshire	114	50	51	25	8	37	285
Tage as 61 Methidiscust to 9 as	AL OP TO	Alter Ut.	***		•		
New Jersey	123	43	47	35	ş	43	299
New Mexico	162	33	62	19	4	27	306
New York	75	43	44	31	7	39	239
Morth Carolina	138	57	4.2	15	6	28	285
North Dakota	177	41	53	1.0	7	29	310
Ohio	127	46	54	15	4	32	278
Oklahoma	161	46	37	9	5	28	288
Oregon	126	4 Q	46	31	5	29	279
Pennsylvania	107	42	54	14	5	32	254
Rhode Island	101	49	53	33	7	34	277
South Carolina	147	46	50	15	7	27	295
South Dakota	170	39	47	10	6	28	299
Tennessee	153	45	42	9	5	27	281
Texas	154	43	65	17	5	33	317
Utah	148	29	15	9	4	30	254
Vermont	130	52	56	30	8	30	306
Virginia	133	49	48	23	7	36	295
Washington	118	33	44	33	6	12	266
West Virginia	110	42	43	8	ž	24	239
Wisconsin	122	39	71	19	8	12	291
Wycming	234	50	59	14	ž	32	396
US Average	\$126	\$43	\$5 1	\$23	\$ 7	\$34	\$284
and the same of the same of the same	- 4 4 4	ALC: ALC: NO	4-4-4	April 100 mil	₩ 1	4 4 3	44.04

Table 2

EXCISE TAX INCREASE PER HOUSEHOLD
BY SELECTED URBAN AREA, 1988

Area	Gas	Cignrette	Beer, Wine & Spirits	Telephone	Total
Atlanta, GA	\$174	\$48	\$113	\$33	\$367
Boston, MA	98	38	100	28	264
Chicago, IL	137	43	92	39	311
Cincinnati, OH	120	43	63	31	258
Cleveland, OH	130	46	88	33	297
Detroit, MI	177	57	97	44	375
Houston, TX	176	45	113	32	365
Knoxville, TN	153	43	60	29	285
Memphis, TN	156	43	62	29	290
Milwaukee, WI	114	44	106	25	288
Mpls-St. Paul, MN	147	and the	101	30	334
New York, NY	97	43	80	50	269
Pittsburgh, PA	108	49	72	32	261
San Francisco, CA	152	39	123	44	359
Seattle, WA	120	45	92	34	290
St. Louis, MO	143	49	63	31	287

Methodology of the OFA Study

The estimates of the impacts on average households in each state and in selected metropolitan areas were prepared by Quick, Finan & Associates in five steps, in order to properly align the aggregate federal revenues for the fiscal year with available data on household income and consumption patterns that are available only for calendar years.

1. Aggregate revenue figures for fiscal 1988 (October 1987 to September 1988) were converted to estimates of the 1988 calendar year total, as shown below.

	Tax Rates		<u>Agregat</u> <u>Let</u>	<u>Revenues</u> <u>Gross</u>
	Current	Proposed	Fiscal 89	<u>Calendar 88</u>
Gasoline				
per gallon Cigarettes	9 <i>p</i> t	19¢	\$ 8.6 Bn	\$11.6 Bn
per pack	16¢	32¢	2.9	4.0
Beer per six	16¢	65¢ ~		4.7
Wine ⁵		>	5.1	
per fifth	3¢	55¢ J		2.1
Spirits per fifth ⁶	\$2.50	\$3.00	0.4	0.6
Telephone percent ⁷	0	38	1.3	_3.1
Gross				25.1
Income Tax	x Loss		\$18.3Bn	(6.5) \$19.6Bn

⁴Net of reductions in income taxes due to reduced incomes; income tax offset allocated to each item.

⁵Excludes sweet wines and sparkling wines.

⁶Pro rata by proof gallon.

 $^{^{7}}$ Currently scheduled to expire at the end of 1987.

2. Note that the fiscal year figures from CBO are net of the income tax offset. The reduction in income taxes would occur because the excise tax increase would reduce household and business incomes by an amount equal to the new excise tax. Following the convention of the Treasury and the Congressional Budget Office, the aggregate income tax offset is estimated as the gross increase in excise taxes multiplied by the average rate of employment and income taxes. Applying this formula to the net fiscal year figures, the gross calendar year figures, as well as the aggregate \$6.5 billion income tax offset, are estimated. The aggregate income tax offset is then distributed to states and urban areas on the basis of their shares of total income and employment taxes paid. The average income tax offset per household, \$71 for all U.S. households, would be:

States

AL	\$ 40	KY	\$ 39	OH	\$ 76
AK	88	LA	49	OK	59
A2	47	ME	39	ÓR	49
AR	34	CM	103	PA	71
ÇA	76	MA	87	RI	67
CO	78	MI	94	SC	38
CT	125	MN	93	SD	35
DE	120	MS	28	TN	52
DC	108	MO	81	TX	72
FL	52	MI	36	UT	56
GA	56	NE	63	VT	43
HI	57	NV	60	VA	56
ID	49	MH	59	WA	56
IL	90	NJ	100	MA	31
IN	66	NM	40	WI	57
1A	42	ИХ	102	MX	43
KS	FΩ	MO	45		

SMSAs

Atlanta	\$64	Memphis	\$ 60
Boston	90	Milwaukee	77
Chicago	83	Mpls-St. Paul	86
Cincinnati	73	New York	101
Cleveland	89	Pittsburgh	82
Detroit	91	San Francisco	90
Houston	68	Seattle	63
Knoxville	52	St. Louis	85

- 3. Calendar year total liabilities for each tax were allocated to each state based upon data on tax bases by state for 1984 from the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (ACIR). As no data are available on excise taxes collected on telephone use by state, personal income from the Commerce Department's Bureau of Economic Analysis was used as the base to allocate the telephone excise tax. The income tax offset was allocated according to the sum of 1984 federal income and employment taxes from the U.S. Census' State and Metropolitan Area Data Book, 1986.
- 4. In each case, the tax per household by state was calculated using projected numbers of households for calendar year 1988. The projections were based upon recent Census projections for the total United States and allocated according to the most recent Census data (for 1986) on numbers of households by state. (The Census reports that there are just under 89 million households in the United States and that the average number of people per household is about 2.7.)

^{*}After reviewing the data, subjective corrections were made to data for New Hampshire (spirits, wine, beer, and cigarettes), the District of Columbia (spirits and wine), and Nevada (spirits and wine). The first two are excise tax havens for Massachusetts and Virginia, respectively, and the figures for Nevada are affected by the tourist trade. The corrections—details of which are available from the authors of the study—are necessary to convert ACIR data based primarily on sales in the state to figures closer to consumption by state.

- 5. Estimates for selected urban areas were then prepared in four steps. This methodology exploits consumption data that are available for regions and urban areas, but not for states.
 - i. The state data on excise increases above were aggregated to construct regional averages per household for the four national regions (northeast, midwest, south, and west) for which consumer expenditure data are available from the Bureau of Labor Statistics' Consumer Expenditure Survey.
 - ii. Regional expenditures per household for all households in 1982-83 for each taxed item, as well as for income, were estimated by calculating the ratio of 1984 spending (and income) of "all consumers" to "urban consumers" and applying this ratio to spending data for urban consumers by region in 1982-83.
 - iii. The ratio of consumer expenditures per household for each taxed item (and income) in each of the selected SMSAs in 1982-83 to those in the region, prepared in step ii, was calculated.
 - iv. Finally, the tax per household in each SMSA (for each taxed item) was calculated by applying the ratio of SMSA to regional spending calculated in step iii to the regional tax data prepared in step i.

GConsumption data were unavailable for Memphis and Knoxville. For this reason, the estimates for these two urban areas are based upon a different methodology that utilize local income data and state and regional spending patterns. Details are available from the authors.

References and Data Sources

- Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations,

 Measuring State Fiscal Capacity: Alternative Methods
 and Their Uses, September 1986. Tables B-6, B-8,
 B-12, B-13, B-14.
- Citizens for Tax Justice, <u>Meeting the Revenue Targets in</u>
 the 1988 Budget: <u>Will Tax Reform be Extended or</u>
 <u>Undermined?</u>, May 1987.
- , Unfair Share; The Case Against Federal Sales Taxes, April 1986.
- Congress of the United States, Congressional Budget Office,
 "The Distributional Effects of an Increase in Selected
 Federal Excise Taxes," Staff Working Paper, January
 1987.
- , Reducing the Deficit: Spending and Revenue Options: A Report to the Senate and House Committees on the Budget, Part II, pages 209-215.
- Klott, Gary, "...And it Might Cost More to Drink, Smoke, and Drive," The New York Times, May 7, 1987.
- Policy Economics Group of Peat Marwick Main & Co., "An Analysis of the Regressivity of Excise Taxes," May 1987.
- U.S. Government, Bureau of the Census, "Households Growing Faster than Population, Census Bureau Reports," Census Release CB87-65, April 15, 1987.
- Projections of the Number of Households and Families: 1986 to 2000, Series P-25, No. 986, May 1986.
- 1986, GF86-No. 1, March 1987. Table 4, page 4.
- State and Metropolitan Area Data
 Book, 1986, April 1986. Table C, page 563.

- U.S. Government, Bureau of Economic Analysis, "State Quarterly Personal Income, 1984:I-1986:IV,"

 <u>Survey of Current Business</u>, Volume 67, Number 4, April 1987. Table 2.
- U.S. Government, Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Consumer Expenditure Survey; 1984 Results for Both Urban and Rural Population," USDL Release 86-451, November 20, 1986; and unpublished tabulations for 1984.
 - , Consumer Expenditure Survey:

 Interview Survey, 1984. Bulletin 2267, August 1986.
 Tables 9, C-5, and unpublished tabulations for 1982-83.
- U.S. Government, Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, <u>Budget of the United States Government</u>, <u>Fiscal Year 1988</u>, <u>Supplement</u>. Table 13, pages 6c-24 to 6c-26.

APPROXIMATE EXCISE TAX SURDEM PER HOUSEHOLD, 1988

		, she X		
and a second	State Tax 1	irieting ^r	Proposed	Total 4
State	214 - E. HA	BEAT AND THE PARTY AND	: W. Mar of Mark Strainsteams	***************************************
	***	8330	5264	81156
Alabama	\$562		360	1215
Alaska	374	490		1075
Arizona	422	363	290	
Arkansas	193	331	271	995
California	266	392	299	957
### \$ 3-4 A \$ 4 4 4	•••			
a. t	273	376	285	934
Colorado	737	122	283	1442
connecticut		122	320	1142
Delavaro	401		246	1381
Dist. of Colum.	6 6 1	374		
Florida	439	354	272	1066
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Georgia	318	3\$6	.297	1001
Havaii	592	373	274	3.239
	351	140	285	976
ldaho	454	377	276	1106
Illinois		367	292	938
Indiana	279	351	494	244
			***	996
Towa	364	351	381	
Kangag	349	362	280	990
Kentucky	408	362	290	1960
	483	370	304	1157
Louisiana	494	364	284	1142
Maine	434	244	2. 13.9	4 3, 79 44
			et de et	****
Maryland	560	408	290	1258
Massachusetts	370	420	295	1085
Michigan	325	374	281	980
Minnesota	543	383	287	1213
	307	334	274	915
Mississippi	357	4-7.5		***
	0.65	361	291	884
Missouri	332			1169
Montana	454	378	326	
Nebraska	421	362	289	1072
Nevada	989	392	335	1716
New Hampshire	578	392	205	1254
the second secon				
Red Jersey	703	421	299	1423
	419	342	106	1067
New Mexico			239	1006
New York	407	359		
North Carciina	397	361	265	1043
North Dakota	417	3 90	3 1 8	1126
Chio	443	350	278	1071
Oklahoma	447	359	288	1994
Oragon	258	339	279	876
	479	335	254	1059
Pannaylvania				
Rhode Island	489	366	277	1131
South Carolina	439	368	295	1102
South Dakota	460	367	299	1126
รียกลงแสดง	365	349	281	995
Texas	565	385	317	1266
	354	jat	254	935
Utah	334	444	\$ W.J	747
al T	ak éh 🛳	4 44 46	***	غەمىرىي ئ
Astront	770	380	306	1457
Virginia	444	394	295	1113
Washington	496	341	366	1103
West Virginia	470	293	239	1002
Wincommin	447	370	291	1108
Wyoming	297	462	396	1154
- of mandeman@	*** *	9.7.0	200	220.
FTE Assessment	424	371	284	1079
ÜS Average	454	31T	E04	7013

lfiscal 1986 Fevenues, from U.S. Census Bureau. No projection available for 1988.

Quick, Finan & Associates Suite 340 1020 - 19th Street, NW Washington, DC 20016 (202) 223-4044

Priscal 1986 revenues, from OMB, allocated by OFA. Fiscal 1988 projected by GMB to be 1.5 percent higher.

 $^{^3}$ Calendar 1988 Liabilities, allocated by QFA. $_{_{
m Pl}}$

^{*}Details may not add to totals due to rounding.