


=====**CONFIDENTIAL**=====

THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO A COURT ORDER AND THIS DOCUMENT AND ITS CONTENTS SHALL NOT BE USED, SHOWN OR DISTRIBUTED EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN THE COURT'S ORDER

MEMORANDUM

March 19, 1987

To: Samuel D. Chilcote, Jr.

From: Roger L. Mozingo 

---

Peter Sparber has recently given you a report on the costs of the scientific witness program (IAPAG).

Especially since the Surgeon General's Report in December, the ETS and smoking restriction issues have been our toughest legislative problems to challenge. There is no sign of things getting better on this front.

The IAPAG -- and ACVA -- programs are the only resource of any real practical use on this issue. They have been successful in a hostile climate.

We cannot afford any cut in this program as it pertains to legislative support. We support increases in expenditures which would result in more witnesses trained and available for legislative appearances, and more original ETS research.

A certain level of administrative and related costs are necessary in any program such as this, but it does seem excessive that 70% of the total cost of the program is for non-legislative expenditures.

Please let me know if you want to discuss this further.

RLM:gc

cc: Dan Milway  
William Kloepfer  
Peter Sparber

TI DN 0008309


=====**CONFIDENTIAL**=====

THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO A COURT ORDER AND THIS DOCUMENT AND ITS CONTENTS SHALL NOT BE USED, SHOWN OR DISTRIBUTED EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN THE COURT'S ORDER

MEMORANDUM

March 19, 1987

To: Samuel D. Chilcote, Jr.

From: Roger L. Mozingo 

---

Peter Sparber has recently given you a report on the costs of the scientific witness program (IAPAG).

Especially since the Surgeon General's Report in December, the ETS and smoking restriction issues have been our toughest legislative problems to challenge. There is no sign of things getting better on this front.

The IAPAG -- and ACVA -- programs are the only resource of any real practical use on this issue. They have been successful in a hostile climate.

We cannot afford any cut in this program as it pertains to legislative support. We support increases in expenditures which would result in more witnesses trained and available for legislative appearances, and more original ETS research.

A certain level of administrative and related costs are necessary in any program such as this, but it does seem excessive that 70% of the total cost of the program is for non-legislative expenditures.

Please let me know if you want to discuss this further.

RLM:gc

cc: Dan Milway  
William Kloepfer  
Peter Sparber

TI DN 0008309