

6. Turning to the subject of taxes, some people say that the tax code should be used to influence social and economic policy by raising or lowering taxes to discourage or encourage individual behavior. Other people say the tax code should not be used to make policy but should be a relatively neutral device for raising needed revenue. How do you feel about the tax system--should it be used to influence social and economic policy, or should it be used only to raise needed revenue?

Social and economic policy..... 1 43/
 Only to raise needed revenue..... 2
 Don't know..... Y

7. The Administration has proposed to reform and simplify taxes, and thus reduce the overall tax rate for everyone, by ending many so-called tax preferences or loopholes. One suggestion it has made is to treat the interest or dividend build-up on life insurance policies--taxes on which can now be avoided or deferred--more like a savings account where taxes are paid on the interest or dividends that are earned even if they are re-invested in the account. Would you favor taxing life insurance interest or dividends as a way of lowering overall tax rates, or don't you think interest or dividends on life insurance should be taxed?

Favor taxing insurance interest
 or dividends..... 1 44/
 Don't think should be taxed..... 2
 Don't know..... Y

8. There is a lot of talk these days about the rising cost of Medicare, and the lack of funds to support it. One step that has been proposed to deal with the rising cost of Medicare is to apply cost controls by only paying set amounts of money to hospitals and doctors for specific illnesses, operations or procedures. Would you favor or oppose such a step?

Favor..... 1 45/
 Oppose..... 2
 Don't know..... Y

Q. 6 - WHETHER TAX SYSTEM SHOULD BE USED TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL/ECONOMIC POLICY
OR BE USED TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE

	SEX		AGE				RACE	HOUSEHOLD INCOME				MARRIED COUPLE INCOMES		OCCUPATION				OTHER PERSONAL DEMOGRAPHICS				
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	18-29	30-44	45-59		60+	BLCK	UND 15M	UND 25M	UND 35M	35M+	ONE	TWO	EXEC PROF	WHITE COLL	BLUE COLL	HOME MAKR	EMPL FEMS	UN-ION MBR	POL-SOC ACTV
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	929	1059	570	507	453	457	216	672	512	403	389	429	519	270	345	511	322	511	227	161	
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC POLICY	282	136	145	93	76	58	55	51	98	74	51	56	65	67	35	43	77	46	64	38	30	
	14	15	14	16	15	13	12	24	15	14	13	14	15	13	13	12	15	14	13	17	19	
ONLY TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE	1492	695	797	410	374	352	355	149	488	380	312	305	314	413	221	261	372	240	390	156	123	
	75	75	75	72	74	78	78	69	73	74	77	78	73	80	82	76	73	74	76	69	77	
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	214	97	117	67	57	44	47	16	86	58	40	28	50	39	13	41	63	36	57	33	7	
	11	10	11	12	11	10	10	8	13	11	10	7	12	8	5	12	12	11	11	14	4	

Q. 6 - WHETHER TAX SYSTEM SHOULD BE USED TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL/ECONOMIC POLICY
OR BE USED TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE

	EDUCATION																		POLITICAL AFFILIATION			POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY			GEOGRAPHIC AREA				MARKET SIZE				OTHER HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHICS		
	TOTAL	HS		NON-HS		DEM	REP	IND	CONS	MODR	LIBL	NE	MW	SO	WST	A	B	C	D	HAVE KIDS 0-18	CABLE TV														
		COLL	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD																YES	NO													
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%												
TOTAL	1988	709	771	503	888	527	505	860	606	434	452	536	659	342	733	616	447	192	850	878	1110														
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC POLICY	282	105	112	64	136	67	71	110	75	83	55	68	107	51	106	101	56	19	127	129	152														
	14	15	15	13	15	13	14	13	12	19	12	13	16	15	14	16	12	10	15	15	14														
ONLY TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE	1492	547	579	362	668	406	367	667	451	319	331	414	487	260	518	461	356	156	618	666	826														
	75	77	75	72	75	77	73	78	75	74	73	77	74	76	71	75	80	81	73	76	74														
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	214	57	81	77	84	54	67	83	79	31	65	53	64	31	108	53	35	18	103	82	132														
	11	8	10	15	9	10	13	10	13	7	14	10	10	9	15	9	8	9	12	9	12														

Q. 6 - WHETHER TAX SYSTEM SHOULD BE USED TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL/ECONOMIC POLICY
OR BE USED TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE

		S M O K E R S					
		L I G H T (0-19 P E R D A Y)		H E A V Y (20+ P E R D A Y)		N O N- S M O K E R S	
		TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%
TOTAL	1988	651	236	415	1337		
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC POLICY	282 14	104 16	36 15	68 16	177 13		
ONLY TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE	1492 75	465 71	172 73	293 71	1027 77		
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	214 11	82 13	28 12	54 13	132 10		

G.7 - WHETHER FAVOR TAXING LIFE INSURANCE INTEREST/DIVIDENDS AS WAY OF LOWERING
OVERALL TAX RATES

	SEX		AGE				RACE	HOUSEHOLD INCOME				MARRIED COUPLE INCOMES		OCCUPATION				OTHER PERSONAL DEMOGRAPHICS			
	MALE	FE-MALE	18-29	30-44	45-59	60+	BLCK	UND 15M	UND 25M	UND 35M	35M+	ONE	TWO	EXEC PROF	WHITE COLL	BLUE COLL	HOME MAKR	EMPL FEMS	UN-ION MBR	POL-SOC ACTV	
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
TOTAL	1988	929	1059	570	507	453	457	216	672	512	403	389	429	519	270	345	511	322	511	227	161
FAVOR TAXING INSURANCE INTEREST OR DIVIDENDS	216	122	94	75	52	52	36	16	61	57	45	51	49	65	41	46	55	27	55	26	23
	11	13	9	13	10	12	8	7	9	11	11	13	11	13	15	13	11	8	11	11	14
DON'T THINK SHOULD BE TAXED	1609	739	870	446	415	359	388	190	543	421	322	312	344	415	215	276	414	262	415	183	132
	81	80	82	78	82	79	85	88	81	82	80	80	80	80	80	80	81	81	81	80	82
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	163	68	95	49	39	42	33	11	68	34	36	26	35	38	14	23	42	33	41	19	6
	8	7	9	9	8	9	7	5	10	7	9	7	8	7	5	7	8	10	8	8	4

Q. 7 - WHETHER FAVOR TAXING LIFE INSURANCE INTEREST/DIVIDENDS AS WAY OF LOWERING
OVERALL TAX RATES

	EDUCATION				POLITICAL AFFILIATION			POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY			GEOGRAPHIC AREA				MARKET SIZE				OTHER HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHICS		
	TOTAL	COLL	HS	NON-	DEM	REP	IND	CONS	MODR	LIBL	NE	MW	SO	WST	A	B	C	D	HAVE KIDS 0-18	CABLE TV	
			GRAD	HS GRAD																YES	NO
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	709	771	503	888	527	505	860	606	434	452	536	659	342	733	616	447	192	850	878	1110
FAVOR TAXING INSURANCE INTEREST OR DIVIDENDS	216	101	77	38	95	66	52	82	70	61	51	57	53	55	82	67	50	17	98	98	118
	11	14	10	8	11	13	10	10	12	14	11	11	8	16	11	11	11	9	12	11	11
DON'T THINK SHOULD BE TAXED	1609	562	636	406	718	420	413	717	468	345	341	437	574	256	567	505	367	169	684	718	870
	81	79	82	81	81	80	82	83	77	80	76	82	87	75	77	82	82	88	80	82	80
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	163	46	58	59	75	41	40	61	68	28	59	42	32	31	83	44	30	6	68	61	102
	8	7	8	12	8	8	8	7	11	6	13	8	5	9	11	7	7	3	8	7	9

Q. 7 - WHETHER FAVOR TAXING LIFE INSURANCE INTEREST/DIVIDENDS AS WAY OF LOWERING
OVERALL TAX RATES

	S M O K E R S				
	TOTAL	TOTAL	LIGHT (0-19 PER DAY)	HEAVY (20+ PER DAY)	NON- SMOKERS
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	651	236	415	1337
FAVOR TAXING INSURANCE INTEREST OR DIVIDENDS	216 11	71 11	25 10	47 11	145 11
DON'T THINK SHOULD BE TAXED	1609 81	532 82	192 81	340 82	1077 81
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	163 8	49 7	20 8	29 7	115 9

Q. 8 - FAVOR OR OPPOSE CONTROLLING MEDICARE COSTS BY STANDARDIZING PAYMENTS
TO HOSPITALS/DOCTORS FOR SPECIFIC SERVICES

	SEX		AGE				RACE	HOUSEHOLD INCOME				MARRIED COUPLE INCOMES		OCCUPATION				OTHER PERSONAL DEMOGRAPHICS			
	MALE	FE-MALE	18-29	30-44	45-59	60+		BLCK	UND 15M	UND 25M	UND 35M	35M+	ONE	TWO	EXEC PROF	WHT COLL	BLU COLL	HOME MAKR	EMPL FEMS	UN- IDN MBR	POL- SOC ACTV
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	929	1059	570	507	483	457	216	672	512	403	389	429	519	270	345	511	322	511	227	161
FAVOR	1117	551	567	299	275	266	276	102	362	294	227	226	247	291	145	194	296	178	261	138	97
	56	59	53	52	54	59	60	47	54	57	56	58	57	56	54	56	58	55	51	61	60
OPPOSE	697	292	405	204	191	153	150	94	242	180	138	136	145	187	107	122	165	121	204	72	58
	35	31	38	36	38	34	33	44	36	35	34	35	34	36	40	35	32	38	40	32	36
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	173	86	87	67	41	34	31	20	68	38	38	27	37	41	17	30	51	23	46	17	5
	9	9	8	12	8	8	7	9	10	7	10	7	9	8	6	9	10	7	9	7	3

Q.8 - FAVOR OR OPPOSE CONTROLLING MEDICARE COSTS BY STANDARDIZING PAYMENTS TO HOSPITALS/DOCTORS FOR SPECIFIC SERVICES

	EDUCATION										POLITICAL AFFILIATION				POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY				GEOGRAPHIC AREA				MARKET SIZE				OTHER HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHICS		
	TOTAL	COLL	HS		POLITICAL AFFILIATION			POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY			NE	MW	SO	WST	A	B	C	D	HAVE KIDS 0-18	CABLE TV									
			GRAD	NON-GRAD	DEM	REP	IND	CONS	MODR	LIBL										YES	NO								
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%							
TOTAL	1988	709	771	503	888	527	505	860	606	434	452	536	659	342	733	616	447	192	850	878	1110								
FAVOR	1117	417	437	261	463	315	301	484	354	240	263	289	356	209	410	379	247	82	463	502	615								
	56	59	57	52	52	60	60	56	59	55	58	54	54	61	56	62	55	43	54	57	55								
OPPOSE	677	228	279	187	355	168	152	314	191	168	146	188	258	106	240	212	167	78	311	309	388								
	35	32	36	37	40	32	30	37	32	39	32	35	39	31	33	34	37	41	37	35	35								
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	173	63	56	54	70	44	52	63	60	26	43	59	44	28	83	25	33	32	77	66	107								
	9	9	7	11	8	8	10	7	10	6	10	11	7	8	11	4	7	17	9	8	10								

G. 8 - FAVOR OR OPPOSE CONTROLLING MEDICARE COSTS BY STANDARDIZING PAYMENTS TO HOSPITALS/DOCTORS FOR SPECIFIC SERVICES

	S M O K E R S				
	TOTAL	TOTAL	LIGHT (0-19 PER DAY)	HEAVY (20+ PER DAY)	NON- SMOKERS
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	651	236	415	1337
FAVOR	1117	367	116	251	750
	56	56	49	60	56
OPPOSE	697	228	102	126	469
	35	35	43	30	35
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	173	56	18	38	117
	9	9	8	9	9

6. Turning to the subject of taxes, some people say that the tax code should be used to influence social and economic policy by raising or lowering taxes to discourage or encourage individual behavior. Other people say the tax code should not be used to make policy but should be a relatively neutral device for raising needed revenue. How do you feel about the tax system--should it be used to influence social and economic policy, or should it be used only to raise needed revenue?

Social and economic policy..... 1 43/
 Only to raise needed revenue..... 2
 Don't know..... Y

7. The Administration has proposed to reform and simplify taxes, and thus reduce the overall tax rate for everyone, by ending many so-called tax preferences or loopholes. One suggestion it has made is to treat the interest or dividend build-up on life insurance policies--taxes on which can now be avoided or deferred--more like a savings account where taxes are paid on the interest or dividends that are earned even if they are re-invested in the account. Would you favor taxing life insurance interest or dividends as a way of lowering overall tax rates, or don't you think interest or dividends on life insurance should be taxed?

Favor taxing insurance interest
 or dividends..... 1 44/
 Don't think should be taxed..... 2
 Don't know..... Y

8. There is a lot of talk these days about the rising cost of Medicare, and the lack of funds to support it. One step that has been proposed to deal with the rising cost of Medicare is to apply cost controls by only paying set amounts of money to hospitals and doctors for specific illnesses, operations or procedures. Would you favor or oppose such a step?

Favor..... 1 45/
 Oppose..... 2
 Don't know..... Y

Q. 6 - WHETHER TAX SYSTEM SHOULD BE USED TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL/ECONOMIC POLICY
OR BE USED TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE

	SEX		AGE				RACE	HOUSEHOLD INCOME				MARRIED COUPLE INCOMES		OCCUPATION				OTHER PERSONAL DEMOGRAPHICS				
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	18-29	30-44	45-59		60+	BLCK	UND 15M	UND 25M	UND 35M	35M+	ONE	TWO	EXEC PROF	WHT COLL	BLU COLL	HOME MAKR	EMPL FEMS	UN-ION MBR	POL-SOC ACTV
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	929	1059	570	507	453	457	216	672	512	403	389	429	519	270	345	511	322	511	227	161	
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC POLICY	282	136	145	93	76	58	55	51	98	74	51	56	65	67	35	43	77	46	64	38	30	
	14	15	14	16	15	13	12	24	15	14	13	14	15	13	13	12	15	14	13	17	19	
ONLY TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE	1492	695	797	410	374	352	355	149	488	380	312	305	314	413	221	261	372	240	390	156	123	
	75	75	75	72	74	78	78	69	73	74	77	78	73	80	82	76	73	74	76	69	77	
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	214	97	117	67	57	44	47	16	86	58	40	28	50	39	13	41	63	36	57	33	7	
	11	10	11	12	11	10	10	8	13	11	10	7	12	8	5	12	12	11	11	14	4	

Q. 6 - WHETHER TAX SYSTEM SHOULD BE USED TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL/ECONOMIC POLICY
OR BE USED TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE

	EDUCATION											POLITICAL AFFILIATION								POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY				GEOGRAPHIC AREA				MARKET SIZE				OTHER HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHICS		
	TOTAL	HS		NON-HS		DEM	REP	IND	CONS	MODR	LIBL	NE	MW	SO	WST	A	B	C	D	HAVE KIDS 0-18	CABLE TV													
		COLL	GRAD	GRAD	GRAD																YES	NO												
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%											
TOTAL	1988	709	771	503	888	527	505	860	606	434	452	536	659	342	733	616	447	192	850	878	1110													
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC POLICY	282	105	112	64	136	67	71	110	75	83	55	68	107	51	106	101	56	19	127	129	152													
	14	15	15	13	15	13	14	13	12	19	12	13	16	15	14	16	12	10	15	15	14													
ONLY TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE	1492	547	579	362	668	406	367	667	451	319	331	414	487	260	518	461	356	156	618	666	826													
	75	77	75	72	75	77	73	78	75	74	73	77	74	76	71	75	80	81	73	76	74													
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	214	57	81	77	84	54	67	83	79	31	65	53	64	31	108	53	35	18	103	82	132													
	11	8	10	15	9	10	13	10	13	7	14	10	10	9	15	9	8	9	12	9	12													

Q. 6 - WHETHER TAX SYSTEM SHOULD BE USED TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL/ECONOMIC POLICY
OR BE USED TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE

		S M O K E R S					
		L I G H T (0-19 P E R D A Y)		H E A V Y (20+ P E R D A Y)		N O N- S M O K E R S	
		TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%
TOTAL	1988	651		236		415	1337
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC POLICY	282 14	104 16		36 15		68 16	177 13
ONLY TO RAISE NEEDED REVENUE	1492 75	465 71		172 73		293 71	1027 77
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	214 11	82 13		28 12		54 13	132 10

G.7 - WHETHER FAVOR TAXING LIFE INSURANCE INTEREST/DIVIDENDS AS WAY OF LOWERING
OVERALL TAX RATES

	SEX		AGE				RACE	HOUSEHOLD INCOME				MARRIED COUPLE INCOMES		OCCUPATION				OTHER PERSONAL DEMOGRAPHICS			
	MALE	FE-MALE	18-29	30-44	45-59	60+	BLCK	UND 15M	UND 25M	UND 35M	35M+	ONE	TWO	EXEC PROF	WHITE COLL	BLUE COLL	HOME MAKR	EMPL FEMS	UN-ION MBR	POL-SOC ACTV	
	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====	====
TOTAL	1988	929	1059	570	507	453	457	216	672	512	403	389	429	519	270	345	511	322	511	227	161
FAVOR TAXING INSURANCE INTEREST OR DIVIDENDS	216	122	94	75	52	52	36	16	61	57	45	51	49	65	41	46	55	27	55	26	23
	11	13	9	13	10	12	8	7	9	11	11	13	11	13	15	13	11	8	11	11	14
DON'T THINK SHOULD BE TAXED	1609	739	870	446	415	359	388	190	543	421	322	312	344	415	215	276	414	262	415	183	132
	81	80	82	78	82	79	85	88	81	82	80	80	80	80	80	80	81	81	81	80	82
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	163	68	95	49	39	42	33	11	68	34	36	26	35	38	14	23	42	33	41	19	6
	8	7	9	9	8	9	7	5	10	7	9	7	8	7	5	7	8	10	8	8	4

Q. 7 - WHETHER FAVOR TAXING LIFE INSURANCE INTEREST/DIVIDENDS AS WAY OF LOWERING
OVERALL TAX RATES

	EDUCATION				POLITICAL AFFILIATION			POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY			GEOGRAPHIC AREA				MARKET SIZE				OTHER HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHICS		
	TOTAL	COLL	HS	NON-	DEM	REP	IND	CONS	MODR	LIBL	NE	MW	SO	WST	A	B	C	D	HAVE KIDS 0-18	CABLE TV	
			GRAD	HS GRAD																YES	NO
	1988	709	771	503	888	527	505	860	606	434	452	536	659	342	733	616	447	192	850	878	1110
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	709	771	503	888	527	505	860	606	434	452	536	659	342	733	616	447	192	850	878	1110
FAVOR TAXING INSURANCE INTEREST OR DIVIDENDS	216	101	77	38	95	66	52	82	70	61	51	57	53	55	82	67	50	17	98	98	118
	11	14	10	8	11	13	10	10	12	14	11	11	8	16	11	11	11	9	12	11	11
DON'T THINK SHOULD BE TAXED	1609	562	636	406	718	420	413	717	468	345	341	437	574	256	567	505	367	169	684	718	870
	81	79	82	81	81	80	82	83	77	80	76	82	87	75	77	82	82	88	80	82	80
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	163	46	58	59	75	41	40	61	68	28	59	42	32	31	83	44	30	6	68	61	102
	8	7	8	12	8	8	8	7	11	6	13	8	5	9	11	7	7	3	8	7	9

Q. 7 - WHETHER FAVOR TAXING LIFE INSURANCE INTEREST/DIVIDENDS AS WAY OF LOWERING
OVERALL TAX RATES

	S M O K E R S				
	TOTAL	TOTAL	LIGHT (0-19 PER DAY)	HEAVY (20+ PER DAY)	NON- SMOKERS
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	651	236	415	1337
FAVOR TAXING INSURANCE INTEREST OR DIVIDENDS	216 11	71 11	25 10	47 11	145 11
DON'T THINK SHOULD BE TAXED	1609 81	532 82	192 81	340 82	1077 81
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	163 8	49 7	20 8	29 7	115 9

Q. 8 - FAVOR OR OPPOSE CONTROLLING MEDICARE COSTS BY STANDARDIZING PAYMENTS TO HOSPITALS/DOCTORS FOR SPECIFIC SERVICES

	SEX		AGE				RACE	HOUSEHOLD INCOME				MARRIED COUPLE INCOMES		OCCUPATION				OTHER PERSONAL DEMOGRAPHICS			
	MALE	FE-MALE	18-29	30-44	45-59	60+		BLCK	UND 15M	UND 25M	UND 35M	35M+	ONE	TWO	EXEC PROF	WHT COLL	BLU COLL	HOME MAKR	EMPL FEMS	UN- IDN MBR	POL- SOC ACTV
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	929	1059	570	507	483	457	216	672	512	403	389	429	519	270	345	511	322	511	227	161
FAVOR	1117	551	567	299	275	266	276	102	362	294	227	226	247	291	145	194	296	178	261	138	97
	56	59	53	52	54	59	60	47	54	57	56	58	57	56	54	56	58	55	51	61	60
OPPOSE	697	292	405	204	191	153	150	94	242	180	138	136	145	187	107	122	165	121	204	72	58
	35	31	38	36	38	34	33	44	36	35	34	35	34	36	40	35	32	38	40	32	36
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	173	86	87	67	41	34	31	20	68	38	38	27	37	41	17	30	51	23	46	17	5
	9	9	8	12	8	8	7	9	10	7	10	7	9	8	6	9	10	7	9	7	3

Q.8 - FAVOR OR OPPOSE CONTROLLING MEDICARE COSTS BY STANDARDIZING PAYMENTS TO HOSPITALS/DOCTORS FOR SPECIFIC SERVICES

	EDUCATION										POLITICAL AFFILIATION				POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY				GEOGRAPHIC AREA				MARKET SIZE				OTHER HOUSEHOLD DEMOGRAPHICS		
	TOTAL	COLL	HS		POLITICAL AFFILIATION			POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY			NE	MW	SO	WST	A	B	C	D	HAVE KIDS 0-18	CABLE TV									
			GRAD	NON-GRAD	DEM	REP	IND	CONS	MODR	LIBL										YES	NO								
%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%								
TOTAL	1988	709	771	503	888	527	505	860	606	434	452	536	659	342	733	616	447	192	850	878	1110								
FAVOR	1117	417	437	261	463	315	301	484	354	240	263	289	356	209	410	379	247	82	463	502	615								
	56	59	57	52	52	60	60	56	59	55	58	54	54	61	56	62	55	43	54	57	55								
OPPOSE	677	228	279	187	355	168	152	314	191	168	146	188	258	106	240	212	167	78	311	309	388								
	35	32	36	37	40	32	30	37	32	39	32	35	39	31	33	34	37	41	37	35	35								
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	173	63	56	54	70	44	52	63	60	26	43	59	44	28	83	25	33	32	77	66	107								
	9	9	7	11	8	8	10	7	10	6	10	11	7	8	11	4	7	17	9	8	10								

G. 8 - FAVOR OR OPPOSE CONTROLLING MEDICARE COSTS BY STANDARDIZING PAYMENTS
TO HOSPITALS/DOCTORS FOR SPECIFIC SERVICES

	S M O K E R S				
	TOTAL	TOTAL	LIGHT (0-19 PER DAY)	HEAVY (20+ PER DAY)	NON- SMOKERS
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
	%	%	%	%	%
TOTAL	1988	651	236	415	1337
FAVOR	1117	367	116	251	750
	56	56	49	60	56
OPPOSE	697	228	102	126	469
	35	35	43	30	35
DON'T KNOW/NO ANSWER	173	56	18	38	117
	9	9	8	9	9