

687017814

Smoking

city ordinance about as comprehensive as Proposition 5. In the 50 business centers polled, 51 percent reported no effect from the ordinance on their business.

However, most of the businesses surveyed by the Wright Institute of Berkeley were retailers, according to Dr. Michael Sundquist of the Institute. Additionally he noted Berkeley has comparatively few smokers — only about 1 percent of the population as opposed to about 7 percent of the U.S. population.

A Field Poll two weeks ago showed 56 percent of the state's registered voters favored Proposition 5, with 34 percent opposed. Those percentages reflect the approximate percentages of smokers and nonsmokers in the general population.

In extreme cases, partitioning

changes might be "necessary" if just one employee quit smoking or quit his job and was replaced by a nonsmoker, according to Dugard Gilles, an official of the California Association of Realtors.

Otherwise, the proposition bans employment discrimination based on one's being a smoker or not. Moreover, if smoking is to be permitted, leased commercial space might have to be remodeled for or by each new tenant and lessees would be forced to contract for additional space if lounge or reception areas are too small for partitioning, Gilles said.

Many small businesses complained of possiblyurious aspects shown now from the proposition in surveys conducted by the San Mateo-based National Federation of Independent Businesses. More than 60 percent of the NFIB's California members reported they opposed the measure, with a few saying the total remodeling expense could put them out of business.

Remember, she intimated, construction and administrative costs that would stem from the proposition. According to the NFIB, such expenses would total \$24 million for all busi-

By MARGUERET PETERSON
Staff Writer

Fuming over the strong showing by Proposition 5 in a recent poll, major business and labor organizations are firing up for a fight against the anti-smoking initiative.

Much of their argument is that the proposition, which drastically would limit smoking in public places — including many work areas — could cost California business hundreds of millions of dollars.

Moreover, they say, it could cost California business period.

Proponents counter that such arguments as cost estimates are a烟
smokescreen. A business isn't required to accommodate smokers but instead may opt in ban smoking instead of remodeling to segregate smokers, they say.

But many in the business community say the only practical approach is to accommodate smokers.

Varying cost estimates are offered for such accommodation. California for Common Sense, the major organized opponent of the initiative, estimates the proposition would cost the state's businesses about \$217 million for remodeling. The CCS also projects another \$21 million in annual "productivity loss resulting from segregated smoke breaks," for a total initial cost to business of about

\$238 million.

Star Kyker

The California Hotel and Motel Association, which represents every major hotel and motel, also opposes the proposition. A spokeswoman said, "Although guests would be permitted to smoke in their rooms, the proposition would prove costly by limiting smoking in employee areas — and costs in terms customer good will in above courtesy vehicle and convention facilities."

Would the proposition reduce convention business in the state? "Oh, absolutely," she said.

"Oh, absolutely," echoed a state Chamber of Commerce official when asked if he thought Proposition 5 would discourage businesses from relocating to California.

To Mike Braun, the chamber's director of health, safety and industrial relations, Proposition 5 is "another symbol" of the bad business climate here and another "nuisance" to such decent businesses as moderately sized manufacturers who already must have 50 to 50 major permits to even start getting into business.

Some labor organizations oppose the proposition not only because of a perceived drain to the economy but because of a long history of discrimination, according to John Henning, executive secretary-treasurer of the California Labor Federation (CLF).

Remember, she intimated, construction and administrative costs that would stem from the proposition.

According to the NFIB, such expenses would total \$24 million for all busi-

Business, Labor Fire Up To Fight Anti-Smoking Prop. 5

Business and Finance

Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce and the Sacramento Area Commerce and Trade Organization (SACTO), haven't taken a stand.

Opponents say most of the expense to businesses would result from remodeling necessary, perhaps periodically, if businesses are to continue permitting smokers to light up in retail and reception areas, most offices and other work areas, and at employee meetings.

These costs ultimately would result in higher prices to the consumer, thereby damaging the economy. Moreover, some small firms might be forced out of business, according to a survey of businesses in Berkeley which for more than a year has had a

The economy also would suffer since other firms would be discouraged from relocating to the state because of the added expense and red tape, critics say.

Other arguments include protests by family members who operate a small shop where they would be forced to smoke, and one labor off-clerk feels her arrival records will result from the initiative and adversely affect a young person's employment record. Proponents, in countered that a smoking ban can be effective and not hurt businesses, according to a survey of businesses in Berkeley which for more than a year has had a

See Page C1, Col. 1

Sacramento, CA
(Sacramento Co.)
See
(Cr. D. 166,932)
(Cr. Sun. 197,638)

SEP 26 1978

Allen's P.C.B. 1978

THIS FILM IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN

NOTICE: THIS FILM IS IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN

NOTICE: THIS FILM IS IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN