## On the Motion of Projectiles

We have considered properties existing in equable motion, and those in naturally accelerated motion over inclined planes of whatever slope. In the studies on which I now enter, I shall try to present certain leading essentials [symptomata] , and to establish them by firm demonstrations, bearing on a moveable [Mobili] when its motion is compounded from two movements; that is, when it is moved equably and is also naturally accelerated. Of this kind appear to be those which we speak of as projections, the origin of which I lay down as follows.

I mentally conceive of some moveable projected on a horizontal plane, all impediments being put aside. Now it is evident from what has been said elsewhere at greater length that equable motion on this plane would be perpetual if the plane were of infinite extent; but if we assume it to be ended, and [situated] on high, the moveable (which I conceive of as being endowed with heaviness [gravitate]), driven to the end of this plane and going on further, adds on to its previous equable and indelible motion that downward tendency [propensionem] which it has from its own heaviness. Thus there emerges a certain motion, compounded from equable horizontal and from naturally accelerated downward [motion], which I call "projection." We shall demonstrate some of its accidentia, of which the first is this:

Proposition 1, Theorem 1. When a projectile is carried in motion compounded from equable horizontal and from naturally accelerated downward [motions], it describes a semiparabolic line in its movement.

236 DIAXOGO QVARTO removeduto questaparsè che resta intorno al Moto de i Proiettis che faràsfe cosi glipiace, mel fegueñtegiorno.

Salu. Non muncherò d'effer conlei.
Finifce la terza Giornata.

## GIORNATA*QUARTA.

Salu. 5Ttempo arriua ancora il S. Simplicio, però jenfainterpor quiete venghiamo al Moto, weccoil Testo del nofito Autore.

## DE MOTV PROIECTORVM.

Quxin Motuxquabili contingunt accidentia, itemque in Motu naturaliter accelerato faper quafcunque planorum inclinationes, fupra confideravimus. In hac, quam modo aggredior, contemplatione, pracipua quadam fymptomata, eaque fcitu digna in medium afferre conabor, eademque firmis demonftrationibus ftabilire, qua Mobili accidunt dum motu ex duplici latione compofito, equabilinempe, \& naturaliter accelerata, movetur : hujufnodi autem videtur effe Motus ille, quem de Proiectis dicimus: cujus generationem talem conftituo.

Mobile quoddam fuper planum horizontale projectum mente concipio omni feclufo impedimento: jam conftat ex his qua fufius alibi dicta funt illius motum rquabilem, \& perpetuum fuper ipfo plano futurum effe, fi planum in infinitum extendatur: fi vero terminatum, $\& 2$ in fublimi pofitum intelligamus, mobile, quod gravitate praditum concipio,ad planiterminum delatum,ulterius progrediens, aquabili,atque indelebili ptiori lacioni fuperadder illam, quamà pro-

## del Gaxifeo.

 propria gravitate haber deorfum propenfionem, indeque motus quidam emerget compofitus ex eqquabili hotizontali, \& ex deorfum naturaliter accelerato: quem Projectionem voco. Cujus accidentia nonnulla detmonfrabimus; quorum primum fit.> Theor. I. Propos. I.

Projectum dum fertur motu compofito ex horizontali $x$ quabili, \& ex naturaliter accelerato deorfum, lineam femiparabolicam defcribit in fua latione.
Sagr. E' forza S. Salu, in gratia dime, \& anco credo io del S. Simpli far qui un poco di paufos sauuenga she io non mi fon tanto inoltrato nella Geometria ch'io babbiafatto ftudio in capollonio, Senonirs quanto sò chei tratta di queste Parabole e dell altre fe\% zioni coniche , fenza la cognizione delle quali, e delle lor paffioni, non credo che intenderf foofano le dimostrazioni di altre propo ofzioni à quelle aderenti. Eperche già nella bella prima propofizione ci vien propofo dals Autore donerj dimoftrare la lined defrittia dal Projetto effer Parabolita, mi vò imaginando, che, non douendofintrattar d'aleroche di tali linee, fin affolutamente neceffario hanere una perfettaintelligenza, fonon di tutte le paffoni di tali Figure dimostrate da Apollonio, almeno di quelle, she per la prefentefienta fon meceffaric.

Salu. V.S. fi bumilia mollo; volendoff far nuouo diquelle cognizioni, le quali nonè gran temppa ché ammeffe come ben fapute: allora dico che nel trattato delle Refĵtenze hauemmo bifggno della notiziadi cerra propofizione deApollonio, fopra la grale ella nons molfe difficolsà.

Sagt. Può effere ò she io lafapeffiper ventura; ò che io la fupponeffeper ona volta, tanto che ellami bifognò in tutro quel arattato: mà qui doue mi imagino d'bauere a fentir tate le dimositazioni circa tali linee, non bjog gna, come fadice, beuer groffa, buttando vis iliempoe lafatica.

Sagredo: It cannot be denied that the reasoning is novel, ingenious and conclusive, being argued ex supposition; that is, by assuming that the transverse motion is kept always equable, and that the natural downward [motion] likewise maintains its tenor of always accelerating according to the squared ratio of the times; also that such motions, or their speeds, in mixing together do not alter, disturb, or impede one another. In this way, the line of the projectile, continuing its motion, will not degenerate into some other kind [of curve]. But this seems to me impossible; for the axis of our parabola is vertical, just as we assume the natural motion of heavy bodies to be, and it goes to the end of the center of the earth. Yet the parabolic line goes ever widening from its axis, so that no projectile would ever end at the center [of the earth], or if it did, as it seems it must, then the path of the projectile would become transformed into some other line, quite different from the parabolic.

Simplicio: To these difficulties I add some more. One is that we assume the [initial] plane to be horizontal, which would be neither rising nor falling, and to be a straight line - as if every part of such a line could be at the same distance from the center, which is not true. For as we move away from its midpoint towards its extremities, this [line] departs ever farther from the center [of the earth], and hence it is always rising. One consequence of this is that it is impossible that the motion is perpetuated, or even remains equable through any distance; rather it would be always growing weaker. Besides, in my opinion, it is impossible to remove the impediment of the medium so that this will not destroy the equability of the transverse motion and the rule of acceleration for falling heavy things. All these difficulties make it highly improbable that anything demonstrated from such fickle assumptions can ever be verified in actual experiments.

