

June 23, 2004

**JOB INFORMATION NETWORKS, NEIGHBORHOOD EFFECTS
AND INEQUALITY**

by

Yannis M. Ioannides and Linda Datcher Loury

Department of Economics
Tufts University
Medford, MA 01255

This is an outgrowth of an invited paper presented at the American Economic Association Meetings, New York, January 5, 1999. We are grateful to two referees and the editor, John McMillan, for generous comments. We thank Chris Pissarides and Giulio Zanella for insightful discussions. Ioannides acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation and the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation. We thank Pamela Jia for expert assistance.

Please address editorial correspondence to:

Yannis M. Ioannides, Department of Economics, Tufts University, Medford, MA 02155,
yannis.ioannides@tufts.edu, 617-627-3294, 617-627-3917 (F).

Abstract

JOB INFORMATION NETWORKS, NEIGHBORHOOD EFFECTS AND INEQUALITY

Yannis M. Ioannides and **Linda Datcher Lounsbury**

The paper reviews the empirical literature on job information networks and neighborhood effects, starting from the state of the art on empirical issues on job information networks and job-related aspects of neighborhood effects. The empirical literature reviewed emphasizes the consequences of the widespread use of friends, relatives and acquaintances in job search and the role of network size, the resource endowments of contacts, and nature of the links between contacts — strong vs weak ties, inbreeding, distance from structural holes etc.— to explain differences in the effects of job information networks. The paper then turns to the sociology literature on job information networks. This is followed by a discussion of models of exogenous job information networks, that is where individuals obtain job-related information through the social structure and of the consequences for job information networks of the recent literature on evolutionary models of information transmission. After a brief review of the recent literature on strategic network formation which results from individuals' uncoordinated action the paper examines endogenous job information networks. Finally, the paper sketches the outline of a model that integrates job information networks, where interactions occur in business cycle frequencies, with the dynamics of human capital formation, which include the joint effects of parental, community and neighborhood human capital, and are set in life cycle frequencies, for the purpose of examining earned income inequality.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Stylized Facts about Job Information Networks and Neighborhood Effects	3
3	Job Information Networks	8
3.1	The Sociology Literature on Job Information Networks	10
3.2	Models of Exogenous Job Information Networks	16
3.3	The Role of Job Referrals	27
3.4	A Remark on Evolutionary Models of Social Structure	29
4	Endogenous Networks	30
4.1	Endogenous Job Information Networks	33
5	Towards an Integration of Job information Networks and Sorting	37
6	Suggestions for Future Research	42
7	Conclusions	43
8	APPENDIX A	45
9	REFERENCES	47

1 Introduction

Recently considerable interest has emerged in the economic literature about social interactions and the ways in which social norms and structures condition individual behavior. The treatment of labor market transactions as very different from trading in goods reflects the importance of idiosyncrasies due to social effects.

One prominent example of where such idiosyncrasies play a prominent role is job market search. Search theory formally models frictions associated with job seekers' access to information about availability of jobs of different types and about the conditions of employment [Stigler (1961); Stigler (1962); Pissarides (2001)]. Until relatively recently, the job search literature has focused on individuals making decisions on an one-to-one basis. Everyday experience indicates, however, that access to information is heavily influenced by social structure and that individuals use connections with others, such as friends and social and professional acquaintances, to build and maintain information networks. Rees (1966) first drew attention to differences among workers in their use of the variety of available informational outlets. In this context, *formal* sources of information include state and private employment agencies, newspaper advertisements, union hiring halls and school and college placement services. *Informal* sources include referrals from employees and other employers, and direct inquiries by job seekers. Since then a burgeoning literature in economics has developed about the details of social interactions that affect the job search process. This literature complements the more extensive sociological analysis of networks. One of the objectives of this article is to explore the roles social interactions and social norms play in the context of this new literature. There is an important richness that the term "networks" connotes in sociology which to a considerable extent has entered economics as well. Another of the objectives of this paper is to explain its salience within both theoretical and empirical economics research.

Section 2 of this paper attempts to organize what we have learned from the empirical literature about how individuals go about collecting information for the purpose of finding jobs and how they be influenced by their social connections. The conventional wisdom that can be garnered from much of the empirical literature on job information networks and neighborhood effects is organized into a number of broad categories of stylized facts. Section 3 starts by reviewing the sociology literature on job information networks. It then turns in subsection 3.2 to models of exogenous job

information networks, that is where individuals obtain job-related information through the social structure and, in subsection 3.4, the consequences for job information networks of the recent literature on evolutionary models of information transmission. Section 4 reviews models of endogenous information networks, which results from individuals' uncoordinated action. It starts with the recent literature on strategic network formation and then examines, in subsection 4.1, endogenous job information networks. Section 5 sketches the outline of a model that integrates job information networks and the dynamics of human capital formation and thus provides an overarching theme for the purpose of examining earned income inequality. Section 6 summarizes suggestions for future research and Section 7 concludes.

2 Stylized Facts about Job Information Networks and Neighborhood Effects

First generation empirical work of job information networks establishes several stylized facts about such networks. The *first* stylized fact is that there is widespread use of friends, relatives, and other acquaintances to search for jobs and it has increased over time. About 15 percent of unemployed workers interviewed in the 1970 and 1971 monthly Current Population Surveys used friends and relatives to search for jobs in the preceding 4 weeks [Bradshaw (1973)]. The 1991 and 1992 CPS figures were higher at 23 percent [Bortnick and Ports (1992) and Ports (1993)]. Unemployed and employed workers were equally likely to use friends and relatives during periods of job search according to Blau (1992) and Blau and Robins (1990). Our own computations using PSID data for 1993,¹ reported in Table 1, Appendix A, show that 15.5 percent of the unemployed and 8.5 percent of the employed check with friends and relatives. This is in a sense surprising because economists think of the US economy as being increasingly penetrated by markets, while such pattern of reliance on friends may be as persistence of personalized exchange.

The *second* stylized fact about job information networks is that the use of friends and relatives to search for jobs often but not always varies by location and by demographic characteristics. The

¹This is the same data set as that used by Corcoran *et al.* (1980). The job search categories are the same as those employed by the Current Population Survey [Kuhn and Skuterud (2000)]. They are not mutually exclusive. Unfortunately, in 1993, relevant questions were not asked of all respondents in the PSID, but of only those actively engaged in job search, who were either unemployed, 5.8 percent of the sample, or on-the-job searchers, 8.1 percent of the sample.

1971 Current Population Surveys,² analyzed by Bradshaw (1973), showed that unemployed women were less likely to have checked with friends or relatives to find jobs in the preceding four weeks (12.5 percent) than were men (17.4 percent). The same rough difference prevailed in 1992, 20.0 percent for women and 26.6 percent for men [Ports (1993)]. See also Rosenblum *et al.* (1999) and Smith (2000).³ More educated job seekers were also less likely to use friends and relatives. From Table 1 Appendix A, 20.8 percent of the unemployed job searchers who check with friends and relatives have more than 12 years of schooling and 23.3 percent had at most 8 years. The respective percentages for those searching on the job are 44.8 and 11.6 percent. Clearly, these particular patterns are unlikely to be two sides of the same phenomenon. Indeed, different forces may be affecting workers with different skills.

Differences in using informal contacts by age, race and ethnicity are mixed. According to Ports (1993) about 18 percent of 16–19 year old job seekers and 22 percent of 20–24 year old checked with friends or relatives, compared to about 26.5 percent of 45–55 year old and 55–64 year old in 1992. On the other hand, others [Corcoran *et al.* (1980), Marsden and Campbell (1990), and Marsden and Hurlbert (1988)] reported that use of informal contacts declines with age and/or work experience. Holzer (1987a) found only small racial gaps for younger workers. In his data, roughly 69 percent of white job seekers ages 16 to 23 used friends and relatives to look for jobs in the previous year (1982) compared to 67 percent of black male job seekers.⁴ More generally, across all age groups, there were small racial differences in searching through friends or relatives (23.9 for whites and 21.5 for blacks in 1992) [Ports (1993)]. Hispanics, however, used such methods more extensively at 32.8 percent.

Methods of job search also vary by location. Elliott (1999) finds that workers with no more than 12 years of schooling in high poverty neighborhoods were substantially more likely (88 percent) to

²Calculations based on data from surveys of individuals are likely to understate the importance of referrals, because they consider only one side of the job market. In fact, the numbers discussed above are affected by adverse selection, as employers are likely to receive referrals from current employees.

³The gender differences may, however, vary according to the type of contact. Marmaros and Sacerdote (2002) report results on the effects of peer and social network on job search using a sample of Dartmouth College seniors. Individuals who were randomly assigned as roommates when freshmen were asked on how they use social networking in their job search later on when they reached their senior year. Women were less likely to get fraternity/sorority help, equally likely to get help from relatives, and more likely to use help from professors.

⁴As in the case of gender difference, racial disparity in using informal sources may depend on the type of contact. Marmaros and Sacerdote (2002) report that whites were more likely to report that fraternity/sorority members, relatives, and professors were influential in helping them find a full-time job or career. Racial differences are especially large for the last two categories.

use informal job search methods than those from low poverty neighborhoods (74 percent). More generally, use of informal contacts increases with “urbanicity,” measured here by the size of the largest city in the county where the household resides. While 40 percent of the respondents live in SMSAs with a largest city with at least 100,000 inhabitants, 65 percent of unemployed job searchers and 45 percent of employed job searchers who used friends and relatives resided in such areas.

The *third* stylized fact about job information networks is that job search through friends and relatives is generally productive. Both employed and unemployed who used friends to search for jobs received more offers per contact and accepted more offers per contact than did workers who used other sources of information about job openings [Blau and Robins (1990)]. This could explain first why about half of all workers heard about their current job through a friend or relative [Corcoran *et al.* (1980)]. Summarizing the results of 24 studies, Bewley (1999) estimated that the 30 to 60 percent of jobs were found through friends or relatives.

Using friends and family may be productive, not only in finding jobs, but also in improving the quality of the match between firms and workers. Those who found jobs through personal contacts were generally less likely to quit [Datcher (1983) and Devine and Kiefer (1991)] and had longer tenure on their jobs [Simon and Warner (1992)]. On the other hand, however, the estimated effects of job contacts on wages vary considerably across studies. Using their Dartmouth College data, Marmaros and Sacerdote (2002) found large positive correlations between getting help from fraternity/sorority contacts and obtaining prestigious, high-paying jobs. Rosenbaum *et al.* (1991) reported that workers with contacts enjoyed a wage advantage that increased with age. In contrast, other work has found that an initial wage advantage declined over time [Corcoran *et al.* (1980); Staiger (1990); Simon and Warner (1992)] or found no general initial or persistent earnings effects [Bridges and Willemez (1986), Holzer (1987b), Marsden and Hulbert (1988)]. Completing the spectrum of results, Loury (2003) and Elliott (1999) showed that at least some types of job contacts were correlated with lower wages.

The *fourth* stylized fact about job information networks is that part of the variation in the productivity of job search by demographic group simply reflects differences in usage. As indicated above, women were less likely to use friends and relatives during job search. This could explain why, according to Corcoran *et al.* (1980), 52 percent of white men and 47 percent all women who

were household heads or wives ages 45 and under found out about their current job from a friend or relative (see also Smith (2000)). Coincident with Hispanic men's more frequently using friends and relatives for job search than non-Hispanic whites, they were also significantly more likely to have found out about their most recent job through personal contacts [Smith (2000)]. Looking at neighborhood effects, Elliott (1999) showed, not only that less-well educated workers in high poverty neighborhoods were more likely to use informal contacts, but that these contacts were also the main avenue by which these individuals found work. About 73 percent of jobs in neighborhoods with poverty rates of 40 percent or more were found through informal means compared to 52 percent of jobs in neighborhoods with poverty rates less than 20 percent.

The *fifth* stylized fact is that many differences in productivity of job search by age, gender, race, and ethnic group cannot be completely accounted for by differences in usage. Consider employment effects first. According to Bortnick and Ports (1992), men who were unemployed in a given month in 1991 and who used informal contacts in that month were slightly more likely than their female counterparts to have found jobs (24 compared to 21 percent). The differences between blacks and whites who used informal contacts were more substantial as 15 percent of blacks who were unemployed in a given month in 1991 and who used informal contacts in that month found jobs compared to 24 percent of whites. According to Korenman and Turner (1986) [see also Rosenblum *et al.* (1999)], employed young black inner city men were less likely to have found their jobs through friends and relatives, even though other analysts Holzer (1987a) report few racial differences in the incidence of use friends and relatives by job seekers. More specifically, Holzer (1987a) showed that, in 1981, 25 percent of previously unemployed African-Americans ages 16–23 compared to 32 percent for similar whites obtained jobs through friends and relatives. Most of this discrepancy was due to differences in the likelihood of receiving offers from jobs heard about through friends and relatives. In fact, almost one-fifth of total difference in probability of gaining employment between black and white youth resulted from racial differences in this probability [see also Smith (2000)].

In addition to variation in the relationship between informal contacts and employment across groups, there are many demographic differences in wage effects of job. Korenman and Turner (1996) reported that, among young workers in inner-city Boston, whites who found jobs through contacts received much larger wage gains, 19 percent higher, than blacks with similar characteristics. Smith

(2000) showed that gender wage differences were small for those using formal job search methods. In contrast, she found larger wage differences between Hispanics and whites who used personal contacts to find jobs compared to those who used more formal means. Korenman and Turner (1996) replicated the results on Hispanics for a nationally representative sample of urban youth. Elliott (1999) reported that for less well educated workers, the use of informal contacts results in significantly lower wages.

The *sixth* stylized fact is new and needs to be treated as tentative. The Internet is being used increasingly for the purpose of job search, at least according to anecdotal evidence. However, according to Kuhn and Skuterud (2000), who use data from a special supplement to the December 1998 Current Population Survey, which asked respondents about computer and Internet use, 13 percent of unemployed Americans and 7 percent of employed Americans looked for a new job via the Internet. However, there appears to be a “digital divide” for the unemployed: only 7 percent of unemployed Hispanic job seekers looked for jobs on line in December 1998, compared with 9 percent of Blacks and more than 16 percent of whites. For those employed, the respective figures are 4, 6 and 7 percent. The gender divide is not nearly as stark. Unemployed women used the Internet for job search at the same rate as men. 6.7 percent of employed women looked for jobs on the Internet in December as opposed to 7.6 percent of employed men. This area is little explored because data are only just starting to become available. However, comparison of the trends in use of traditional methods [*ibid.*, Table 8, p. 10] suggests that use of public employment agencies has declined from 1994 to 1999 although it is overrepresented among Internet job seekers in December 1998 [*op. cit.*, Table 7, p. 9]. While we will not be able to pursue this angle further in the remainder of the paper we do underscore that models of job search must be able to accommodate this new technology.

The *seventh* stylized fact is also new but arguably more robust than the sixth. There are appear to be important differences across different countries in the use of personal contacts by both firms and workers. Pellizzari (2003) explores the empirical evidence for the countries of European Union as of 2003 (with the exception of Sweden⁵) using the European Community Household Panel and compares with the US using the NLSY. He finds large cross-country and cross-industry in the wage

⁵The exception of Sweden is unfortunate because including it might have provided an important benchmark: all job openings are centrally registered in Sweden.

differentials between jobs found through jobs found through formal and informal methods. Across countries and industries premiums and penalties are equally frequent. Pellizzari attributes these differences to different recruitment strategies by firms. However, such differences could well be due to both different institutional and social practices which may compound the impact of differences in industrial compositions of economies.

Taken together, these stylized facts imply that the role of information networks in the job search process is not straight-forward. Neither is it always clear a priori why some groups rely more on informal methods than others, nor is it clear why the pattern of employment and earnings payoffs to such networks varies from across groups. In addition, recent research indicates that these stylized facts do not exhaust the range of effects of job search networks. For example, what effects do contacts have on wage and employment inequality, the duration of unemployment, and labor market withdrawal?

More detailed research into job networks shows that the answers to the questions that have not so far been addressed by the stylized facts lie in three areas - employer, relational, and contact heterogeneity. Employer characteristics determine the context in which job search methods operate. Contact and relational heterogeneity respectively denote variations in the resource endowments of one's associates and the social relationship that allows individuals to claim access to resources possessed by their associates [Portes (1998)]. All three of these elements are critical to understanding this myriad of commonly observed findings as well as to extending the role of job networks to account for other labor market outcomes.

3 Job Information Networks

In sociology, the concept of a network and use of network models is standard [Burt (1980)]. Usage of the term networks is perhaps as ubiquitous as that of markets in economics. In economics, on the other hand, network refers to “personalized exchange among many agents” [Kortum (2003)]. Still, not unlike the breadth of the term “markets” in economics, as Zuckerman (2003) explains in detail, the central importance of networks to sociology has forced it to define economic networks more precisely as, one, particular patterns in economic exchanges, two, as indications of “primordial” relationships among agents, and, three, as “structures of mutual orientation. ” The latter in a

sense encompasses the previous two as special cases and allows for social ties that differ in terms of “type, valence and strength of connection” [*ibid.*]. Networks in sociology are not only an important descriptive device but in fact a tool to explore direction of causality and the role of unobserved heterogeneity.

One of the objectives of this essay is to actually identify and explore mutual influences and patterns of interplay between the literatures on social networks in economics and sociology, with particular emphasis on social networks that have consequences for the job market. This is important because in contrast to the extensive treatment of networks by sociology, economists’ formal as well as qualitative understanding of the role of networks is much less developed.

There has been influence in the other direction, too, that is from economics to sociology. There is at least one instance where articulation of patterns in interpersonal interactions that are relevant to economic outcomes by economists has influenced sociological methodology. This is the case with the concept of social capital. Social capital was originally defined by Loury (1977) as the set of resources resulting from family relationships and community social organization that affect the cognitive and social development of the young. It has found much fertile ground in sociology. Coleman (1990), who helped popularize the concept, treats social capital as a form of social organization created when the structure of relations among persons facilitates action making possible the achievement of certain ends that in its absence would not be possible [*ibid.*, p. 300]. The term social capital is being used quite loosely to refer to the web connections individuals may use in the daily life.⁶ In fact, some of the economics literature uses the terms social capital and social networks almost interchangeably.

Another instance of interplay between economics and sociology is found in the most recent economics literature in job information networks and is witnessed by the influence of the empirical findings of Granovetter (1974; 1995). Empirical findings by Granovetter (1974) in support of the role of weak contacts have been quite influential in the sociology literature. The second edition of this book [Granovetter (1995)] contains a review of the evidence since the 1974 study. Specifically,

⁶The notion of social capital has been used extensively to account for a wide variety of outcomes [Dasgupta and Serageldin (2000) Putnam (2000), Glaeser, Laibson, Scheinkman, and Soutter (2000)]. The two key elements of social capital include the resource endowments of one’s associates and the “social relationship itself that allows individuals to claim access to resources possessed by their associates” [Portes (1998); Glaeser *et al.* (1999; 2000); Putnam (2000)]. Additional evidence of the popularity of the concept is given by Möbius (2001), who uses the terms social capital and social networks interchangeably.

Granovetter states that the voluminous evidence that has accumulated since 1974 continues to support his book's original thesis that social networks are very important in helping people find jobs and employers locate prospective employees. Particularly revealing evidence of such networking pertains to women. Local contacts, "weak ties," help women "in women's occupations" locate jobs, and "networking is crucial for expanding women's opportunities in male-dominated occupations" [*ibid.*, p. 170]. He concludes that in spite of "an outpouring of research," we still know little about the complex network processes by which "inequities are produced and reproduced."

This paper restricts itself to a narrow view that allows us to define and work with job information networks from first principles as patterns of exchange of job-related information that may be either given ("primordial"), or the outcome of deliberate decisions by individuals. Still, we should bear in mind that both those elements of social capital mentioned when construed in the labor market context in which they operate are critical in helping us untangle the complex and seemingly contradictory findings about use of job information networks and their effects on employment and wages. In doing so, it shows what difference network considerations make relative to simple one-to-one search models that typify most economic analyses of job acquisition.

3.1 The Sociology Literature on Job Information Networks

The sociological literature includes a rich array of analysis including all three categories of job network effects - employer, contact, and relational heterogeneity. Much of the initial research to explain the operation of job networks focused on relational heterogeneity. Granovetter (1974; 1995) argued that a key characteristic determining the effect of job networks on finding employment is *social tie strength*. Roughly speaking, strong links join close friends and weak links join acquaintances. Strong links tend to traverse a society "slowly". If you start with an arbitrary person and develop the network of links to her close friends, and then to the close friends of her close friends and continue in this manner, then the overall size of the group grows slowly. The close friends of my friends are likely to be my close friends too. Generally, in societies of close knit relations it is likely that a large number of closely knit groups develop. If, on the other hand, one were to track one's acquaintances and then the acquaintances of one's acquaintances one would likely find that the acquaintances of one's acquaintances are less likely to be my acquaintances, too. As sociological

research has measured [Milgram (1967)] and random graph theory has demonstrated [Erdős and Renyi (1960); Palmer (1985); Newman (2003)] any two individuals in the United States can be connected by as few as six weak links. So, the overall size of the group of interconnected individuals will grow faster with weak ties. Dodd, Muhamad and Watts (2003) show, based on a recent global internet-based social search experiment, that successful social search is conducted primarily through intermediate to weak strength ties, relies disproportionately on professional relationships and reaches its destination in a median five to seven steps, depending the actual distance between source and target.

Granovetter's original work and the 1995 edition of the 1974 study have been read very widely, and yet have not been as influential in promoting modelling of job information networks within the economics literature. In contrast, within sociology the notion of tie strength has been incorporated into more general analyses of job networks and social capital. This is not so surprising: as we discussed earlier, economists are typically more likely to be interested in why a network forms, whereas sociologists take the existence of networks as given and study their effects. In the first subsection below, we explore our understanding of job information networks from the interplay between the sociology and economics literatures. Then we turn to the economics literature on exogenous job information networks and on neighborhood effects that have consequences for job markets.

The notion of weak versus strong ties is, of course, one of the most important concepts that have motivated this literature. Burt (1992) argues that, while tie strength is correlated with information benefits, the true causal agent in social networks is the *structural hole*. Burt defines a structural hole as the "gap", separation, between non-redundant contacts. Regardless of tie strength, individuals located at structural holes provide a bridge for information to flow between groups that would otherwise not have access to each other. For example, suppose group A consists of individuals who often contact each other and would be considered to have strong ties in the Granovetter framework. The same is true for the members of group B. Group A is linked to group B only through the individual at a structural hole in group A. This link allows for information to flow between otherwise inaccessible groups. Note, however, that the relationship between the group A individual at a structural hole and his non-redundant contact in group B may be strong or weak.

The key factor is that if there were an individual occupying the structural hole it would be she who would bridge the gap between groups A and B, and not the strength of the tie as such. The structural holes argument⁷ implies that, one, a network with more non-redundant contacts provides more information than the same size network with redundant contacts; and, two, a network with a given number of non-redundant contacts provides more than information if, in turn, those contacts “reach separate and therefore more diverse social worlds” [*ibid.*, p. 21].

Burt (2001) also argues that the non-redundant information that structural holes possess is better communicated to, and acted upon, within networks with *closure*. As he puts it, closure is “social capital” that is created by a network of strongly interconnected elements. With high levels of closure, everyone is cohesively connected to everyone else within the network. Information passed to a subgroup within the network quickly finds its way to the remainder of the network. Moreover, referred workers may have higher job productivity because their reputation within the network is more contingent on their performance when there is closure.

In spite its popularity, the sociological concept of closure, whereby agents are effectively interconnected in a cyclical fashion [Coleman (1990)], is to the best of our knowledge not very rigorously developed. Lippert and Spagnolo (2004) provide rigorous support for the disciplining role of closure in sociology by means of a game-theoretic model of networks of relational contracts. Specifically, they examine networks of relations under different informational regimes, paying special attention to differences between circular and non-circular architectures. If agents cannot discipline themselves within a certain relation and are allowed to have relations with only two other agents (“neighbors”), a “circular pooling of asymmetries” made possible by a social network may end up sustaining all the relationships in equilibrium. Some other properties of social structures (like patterns of differences in behavior across individuals that resemble spatial waves and exhibit clustering, that is individuals who act similarly are more connected with one another than with others who differently) that have been attributed to closure are due to symmetry in the network that represents social structure, rather than closure.⁸ Clearly, this is an area where additional research would be very fruitful.

⁷As an aside, to economists this argument is akin to an arbitrage-type argument and thus amenable to similar analytical treatment. A very recent paper by Goyal and Vega-Redondo (2004) applies the strategic network formation approach to the concept of structural hole. A noteworthy result here is, as we discuss further below, that if the cost for forming links is not very small, the unique equilibrium network is a star.

⁸Ioannides (2001) shows that symmetry — technically speaking, all individuals’ being connected to the same number of other individuals — ensures that the maximal eigenvalue of the corresponding graph is equal to the

Other sociological research contends that the role of relational heterogeneity cannot be fully understood without taking into account the role of social setting in the form of contact heterogeneity. Lin's (2001) "strength of position" proposition argues that individuals are more likely to associate with others in similar social and occupational positions; in other words, they sort. This proposition implies that social networks develop along dimensions such as race, ethnicity, religious affiliation, and education. Lin's "social capital" proposition claims that contacts who possess, or have access to, more highly valued resources improve outcomes for job seekers more than other less well-placed contacts. Thus, the emphasis in Lin's work is on the characteristics of the contacts themselves.

Lin, Vaughn, and Ensel (1981) and Lin, Ensel, and Vaughn (1981) report that family background, education, and early occupational status of job seekers influence the occupational status of contacts that job seekers subsequently use. Furthermore, the occupational status of these contacts alters the prestige that job seekers obtain. In related work, Mencken and Winfield (2000) emphasize that women who found their jobs through informal contacts and who used male contacts were less likely to work in female-dominated occupations. Echoing Mencken and Winfield, Beggs and Hurlbert (1997) indicate that the gender of the informal contact tie affects occupational status. Women whose contacts are other women work in occupations with lower socioeconomic index scores. Evidence of correlation between contact characteristics and job contact effects is not, however, uniform. While Marsden and Hurlbert agree with Lin, Vaughn, and Ensel (1981) and with Lin, Ensel, and Vaughn (1981) with respect to occupational prestige, they conclude that "the net effects of the social resource variables [for other outcomes such as wages] can be summarized simply: there are none". They conclude that these findings are consistent with those of Bridges and Villemez (1986), who find no effects of tie strength on income.

The third branch of sociological research examines the role of employer heterogeneity on contact effects. It points to important differences among practices in different industries pertaining to referrals, which may reflect differences in corporate culture. Interestingly, the sociology research in this area appears to be ahead of its counterpart in economics in assessing the consequences of employer heterogeneity. According to Fernandez and Castilla (2001), employers can reap returns

number of neighbors. Therefore, if individuals' are influenced by the average action among those she is connected with, then the respective dynamical system has an eigenvalue of 1 and an associated eigenvector that consists of 1's, which ensures (relative) persistence.

from referrals for three reasons. Referrals provide a large pool of qualified applicants for the job so that less screening is required to fill positions. Referred applicants have more information about the nonpecuniary aspects of employment and, therefore, are potentially better matches. Finally, connections between new hires and incumbent employees can make the job transition smoother as well as create additional loyalties and attachments to the job. More generally, Marsden and Gorman (2001) argue that information provided to employers through referrals may reduce employer uncertainty about the prospective worker's productivity.

The effects of job contact information are, therefore, likely to be higher in firms and industries where high quality information about workers' likely performance is important. These include conditions "when performance and skills are difficult to observe, when staffing strategy is flexible, when the use of networks is a central component of performance, and when selection errors are costly (p. 108)." Consistent with this prediction, Marsden and Gorman present evidence that contacts are more likely to be used when filling managerial, professional, or sale/service positions and are less likely to be used in the public sector and in establishments that are part of multi-site firms. Complementary work by Elliott (2000) found differences in informal contacts by occupation. General laborers (defined as all nontechnical positions lacking managerial authority, 1990 Census Occupation Codes 243-902) and those in managerial positions who found their jobs through informal contacts had significantly lower wages than those who found their jobs without an active search.

Intrafirm analyses of job contact effects also point to the importance of context. Petersen, Saporta and Seidel (2000) use data on all 35,229 job applicants to a mid-sized high-technology organization and find that all differences in job offers that are attributed to gender disappear once age and education are accounted for. Similarly, all effects of race disappear once the referral method is taken into account. That is, when one controls only for age, education, and rating at first interview, race has a strong impact on the likelihood of having a second interview and on the increase in the salary offer. In their data, personal and professional contacts account for 60.4 percent of applicants and 80.8 of those receiving offers, and there is also an effect of being contacted by headhunters. Thus, the apparent and perhaps real meritocracy characterizing the high-technology industry raises additional questions on the role of access to job information networks as a force in persistent inequality.

Using data from a high-technology firm, Podolny and Baron (1997) find that intra-organizational mobility is enhanced by having a large network of informal ties that supply access to information and resources. Yet, availability of a small dense network of social contacts with high closure and cohesiveness is no less important in helping shape one's organizational identity and career goal expectations. This highlights the importance of how social network structure and content interact in determining careers within organizations.

Differences between industries and employers may also account for ethnic and race variations in contact effects. Waldinger (1996) shows that, both historically and currently, ethnic groups have established specific occupational and employment niches that facilitate employment and training of members of their group and that limit access of outsiders. Ethnic newcomers to New York found their way to the bottom of the job ladder associated with the niche and then gradually work their way upward through the specialized economic activities associated with the niche. Early examples of ethnic niches include Jews in commerce and clothing manufacture and Italians in laboring jobs in construction and longshoreman work. More recently occupational niches include African-Americans in the public sector, West Indians in the hospitals, nursing homes, and health services, Chinese in restaurants, laundries, the garment trade and small-scale retail trade, and Dominicans in garments, restaurants, hotels, and a few other light manufacturing and retail trades.

These ethnic group niches have important implications for the usefulness of job contacts and connections for ethnic group members entering the labor market or changing jobs. Consider, for example, African-Americans. On the plus side, the large concentration of African-Americans in public service reduces their experience of discrimination and thus raise Black earnings relative to whites. This implies that the resulting job contacts and connections may lead to a greater likelihood of job offers and to more rapid career advancement upon accepting the offers. On the minus side, the large concentration of African-Americans in the public sector is mirrored by their declining presence in the private sector along with the associated access to contacts, networks, and training opportunities. Furthermore, many of the public sector niches held by African-Americans require relatively high levels of skill. As a result, job information about these niches may not be especially useful for low-skilled blacks.

3.2 Models of Exogenous Job Information Networks

Models derived from research by economists on exogenous job networks have outlined in more detail the specific implications of social structure than some of the sociological work described above has done. By exogenous, we mean that the network of connections (or, in more mathematical language, the graph that describes these connections) among individuals is given. Mortensen and Vishwanath (1994) show that the equilibrium wage distribution increases with the probability that the offer is from a contact. Their argument is based on the premise that wages received from jobs found through contacts reflect the distribution of wages earned by individuals who are in contact with one another. This conditions on contacts rather than on networks as such. This distribution, in turn, stochastically dominates the distribution of wage offers across employers. Similarly to Bridges and Villemez (1986), who work was referred to earlier above, Montgomery (1992) examines the link between wages and tie strength and concludes that, while weak ties increase reservation wages, this does not imply a similar “relationship between wages and the type of tie actually used to find a job”. Intuitively, weak ties are more likely to generate offers than strong ties. Workers who accept weak tie offers are, therefore, likely to have received fewer total offers and cannot be as selective in the jobs that they choose as they who accept strong tie offers. This indicates that the empirical finding of no relationship between tie strength and wages in the Bridges and Villemez study does not imply that tie strength is irrelevant for determining job outcomes. Montgomery’s work is a notable example of research by an economist that has helped bridge the gap between economics and sociology in this area.

A number of more general models examine additional detail about the interaction between contact and relational heterogeneity. They highlight the specific characteristics of relations between contacts and job seekers that alter contact effects. An example of such a model is Montgomery (1990), who suggests that the main social component is *inbreeding social bias*. That is, each person is more likely to have a social tie to a younger person of the same type as herself. Thus, a social tie implies that a referral possesses informational value. In Montgomery’s model, each individual lives for two periods, making an education decision in the first period, which is observable, and working in the second. Individuals may be of two types. Each individual knows at most one person in the older (and currently employed) generation, possessing a social tie with probability τ . Conditional

on holding a social tie, a worker knows someone of the same type with probability α , $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Implied by the social structure is the possibility that some young persons may have several social ties while others have none. Those who do have social ties receive offers from the employers of their acquaintances, but those who do not are hired through the formal market. Firms may choose technology which makes either type fully productive, except that choice along with that of the wage rate must be made before the worker's type is known.

The model is closed by equating the percentage of those educated with the percentage of those facing education costs who find it advantageous to acquire information. The possibility of multiple equilibria depends critically upon the properties of the distribution of education costs across the population. A key element in Montgomery's theory is the derivation of the probability that an individual with a referral accepts a job offer, as a function of the offer: $a(w) = e^{-\tau n(1-F(w))}$, where $F(w)$ is the distribution function of referral wage offers and n the steady-state fraction of educated workers. In this model, a higher probability of a social tie and a higher percentage of educated workers decreases the probability of acceptance but increases wage dispersion. While the former is a straightforward supply effect, the latter is subtle. Indeed, increased inbreeding by a group is shown to be associated with larger differences from other groups.

Montgomery's results provide support for the intuitive notion that social connections may be associated with better job matching performance, both for individuals and firms. Increases in network density and inbreeding are associated with greater wage dispersion. Therefore, selection operates over time via network density parameters and inbreeding. Any advantages individuals have may be passed to kin and social acquaintances. These factors would work to perpetuate and strengthen inequality over time.

Complementary to this research are findings by Munshi (2002), who studies transmission of job information among Mexican migrants to the US labor market. Munshi uses a model of referrals similar to Montgomery's and examines changes in the size and vintage within given destination communities in order to determine whether those characteristics affect migrant employment. He confirms that community-based social interactions are important in matching Mexican migrants and US firms, and appear to improve labor market outcomes among migrants, with smaller and younger networks substantially reducing the employment probabilities of Mexican migrants.

Montgomery (1994) models the impact of social interaction on employment transitions and inequality in a way that links the notion of strong versus weak ties in the context of social structure. In his model, social structure consists of a large number of small groups, *dyads*, that is groups of two connected individuals. In each dyad, either both members are employed, only one is employed or both are unemployed. The relationship between two members of a dyad is a strong “tie.” Individuals interact with others at a rate τ per unit of time, and such interaction may lead to a match with one’s dyad partner with probability ω , or it may lead to a random match with someone else from the entire population, with probability $1 - \omega$. In the latter case, the individual has, because of random matching, an infinitesimal probability of interacting with the same person. For this reason, random matches are construed as weak ties. By varying the probability of interaction with one’s strong tie, one may, in effect, model different social settings. Jobs break up randomly at a rate δ , so that either a strong or weak tie may be employed or unemployed. The job finding rate for each person depends on the employment status of her contact and on one’s general ability to collect information on job openings. Although individuals who make up each dyad do not change over time, their employment status does change and so does the employment situation in each dyad.⁹ As in Montgomery’s earlier work discussed above, social interactions are characterized by inbreeding bias, whereby an unemployed individual’s random match contact is employed with probability less or equal to the average employment rate in the population. The combination of a rigid social structure with inbreeding bias in employment status implies that random matching is less useful to individuals and thus a premium is conferred upon the employment status of one’s dyad partner. Using this model, Montgomery shows that a higher proportion of weak-tie interactions reduces employment inequality. It also increases the steady state employment rate, provided that inbreeding by employment status among weak ties is sufficiently small.

Two recent path-breaking papers, Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson (2002; 2003), explore the implications of exogenous information networks. These papers share the following model of the transmission of job information among workers. The latter allows for adjustment to the wage. A network of contacts among n individuals, the social structure, is defined by means of an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{G} , of intensities of social attachments: $g_{ij} > 0$, if i is linked to j ; $g_{ij} = 0$, if i is not linked

⁹Calvó-Armegnol *et al.* (2004) explore the concept of a dyad in the context of the labor market and also introduce criminal activities as an option.

to j . This formulation combines the notion of adjacency matrix in graphs with varying intensities of social contacts and allows for the network to be directed. That is, if individual i hears of a job opening, she may tell individual j if $g_{ij} > 0$. However, unless $g_{ji} > 0$, then j would not pass on such information to i . The transmission of job information to each worker through the network at the beginning of each period results in new employment. An unemployed individual who hears of a job opening keeps it to himself. An employed individual who hears of a job opening passes it on to each of her social contacts with probabilities that are proportional to the respective relative weights. For example, an individual i 's unemployed contact j will hear from i with probability equal to product of the probability that i hears directly of a job opening, which is assumed to be a function of all agents' wages in the previous period, times the relative weight of j 's social strength, which is equal to g_{ij} divided by the sum of the weights of all contacts who are unemployed. The weights of social attachment express a continuous counterpart of Granovetter's notion of strong versus weak ties and thus generalize it.

Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson (2002) assume that, in general, the expected number of offers that agent i receives is a non-decreasing function of the wages of that agent's contacts in the previous period and a non-increasing one in agent i 's own. These assumptions imply a set of "altruistic" values about social exchange that influences the passing around of job-related information. Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson determine an agent's wage by assuming that it is a non-decreasing function of an agent's past wage and of the number of employment opportunities the agent has in hand. This function allows for substitution among previous wage status and current employment prospects. Turnover in the job market is ensured by random breakup of jobs. The paper draws a distinction between social connectedness, whereby an agent's expected job offers is sensitive to another agent's past wage and vice versa, and the passing of job related information from one another.

Using this model, Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson develop explanations for several important stylized facts about labor markets. First, information being passed from employed individuals to their direct acquaintances who may be unemployed makes it more likely that they would become employed. This generates positive correlation between employment and wages of networked individuals *within* and *across* periods. Second, duration dependence and persistence in unemployment may be explained by recognizing that when an individual's direct and indirect social contacts are

unemployed, the likelihood that one would obtain information about jobs through one's contacts is reduced. Such duration dependence is well-documented; see, for example, Devine and Kiefer (1991), Lynch (1989) and van der Berg and van Ours (1996). Third, the likelihood of dropping out of the labor force is higher when an individual's social contacts have poor employment experience. This can lead to substantial differences in drop-out rates across groups. Also, small differences in initial conditions of different individuals and in network structure can lead to large differences in drop-out rates. Fourth, higher initial drop-out rates for a set of networked individuals imply that its short-run as well as its steady state distribution of unemployment and wages would be worse (in the sense of first-order stochastic dominance). This causes inequality in wages and employment to persist due to contagion effects, because those remaining in the labor force would have fewer direct and indirect acquaintances which would hamper their job information prospects. It is hard to explain such an effect outside of a social network model.

Particularly noteworthy are results that Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson (2002) obtain about the key role of drop-out rates by working with a specific case of the model in Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson (2003). In the latter model, all jobs are identical and the offer equal wage rates. Only one agent receives information about a job opening and only if she is unemployed. The paper presents a number of examples, each with different explicit network structures, that help demonstrate the subtle role of network structure. For example, if three agents are connected according to a path, the two end agents are competitors for job information in the short run, but their outcomes are positively correlated in the long run. That is so because their presence helps the center agent return to employment if she becomes unemployed. With more complex social network topologies, an agent's likelihood of being unemployed depends on her position. The average unemployment rate increases with closed-knittedness, because more extensive social ties make possible greater diversification of information sources. Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson prove that, under certain general conditions, employment status across any arbitrary periods is correlated among all interconnected agents and there exist duration dependence in unemployment.

These authors explain duration dependence as a social effect: the longer an individual is unemployed the more likely it is that her "social environment is poor," making future unemployment prospects unfavorable. This explanation for duration dependence complements the more commonly

stated ones, such as unobserved heterogeneity and the like. This effect, essentially a network externality, is also responsible for stickiness in aggregate employment dynamics. The closer the economy is to very high employment (or unemployment), the harder it is to leave that state. And for similar reasons, parts of the economy can experience a boom while simultaneously other parts of the economy are experiencing a bust.

Differences in initial conditions combine with differences in the collective employment histories and with different network dynamics of two otherwise identical networks to produce sustained inequality of wages and drop-out rates that feed on each other. So, in the model of the two Calvó-Armengol and Jackson papers, history matters and is responsible for producing income inequality for reasons that are very different from those due to inequalities in human capital investments [Loury (1981)], or in access to community-based opportunities [Durlauf (1996a; 1996b)]. Therefore, interventions in the labor market, such as providing incentives for individuals not to drop out, are likely to have long-lasting effects.

The design of such interventions should reflect the topology of the network. It would be more effective to target groups of agents who are highly connected and therefore take advantage of social attachment effects among agents instead of targeting the same number of uniformly connected individuals.¹⁰ Similarly, institutions that seek to “network” otherwise isolated individuals would also be helpful in bringing about socially desirable outcomes.¹¹ It is interesting to note that these results depend on essentially altruistic assumptions about social exchange in the context of social interactions, which are conceptually similar to spatial interactions as modelled by Schelling (1971; 1978).

A variety of analyses provide empirical evidence supporting this theoretical work. Elliott (1999) reported that for less well-educated workers, the use of informal contacts results in significantly lower wages. The penalty is especially high when the contact is nonwhite. Neighborhood characteristics are also especially useful in measuring the resource endowments of contacts. Krauth (2002) focuses on spatial proximity effects by studying the consequences of employers’ using their social ties with their employees to make inferences about unobserved components of the productivity of their

¹⁰Unfortunately, the technical problem of finding who are the individuals who should be targeted is computationally very difficult in general [Kempe *et al.* (2003)].

¹¹The importance of network effects on the drop-out rate is also argued by Heavner and Lochner (2002), though the results are not as dramatic.

workers' social contacts. He shows that there is a critical value for neighborhood human capital below which long-run employment at equilibrium is low and above which it is high. The critical value depends on the strength of social contacts. A conceptually related study by Hedström *et al.* (2003) emphasizes transitions out of unemployment, using the Pissarides model [Pissarides (2000)] with data on *all* 20- to 24-year olds living in Stockholm during the 1990s. Both of those papers produce evidence for partial identification of social interactions in unemployment.

Topa (2001) finds geographic correlations in patterns of unemployment across neighborhoods and cites them as evidence of positive correlation between employment and wages of networked individuals. He emphasizes that high unemployment rates tend to be concentrated in a relatively few areas of Chicago in 1980 and 1990. Using Census tracts as units of observation, he assumes that residents of adjacent tracts exchange job information. He finds that high unemployment in one tract is associated with more unemployment in neighboring tracts that cannot be explained by the characteristics of the neighboring tracts alone. Conley and Topa (2003a) find that a variety of socioeconomic characteristics (and in particular ethnic and occupational distance) explain a substantial component of the spatial dependence in unemployment. Using similar data but for the Los Angeles SMSA, Conley and Topa (2003b) show that local interactions perform well in explaining the spatial correlation patterns present in the data. In addition, using data on the distribution of individual unemployment spells in-progress, they show that their model of interactions is consistent with the Calvo-Armegnol and Jackson (2003) explanation of duration dependence in unemployment discussed above.

Weinberg, Reagan, and Yankow (2000) provide some evidence of non-monotonic neighborhood effects on labor market outcomes. They link confidential street address data from the NLSY79 with measures of neighborhood social characteristics at the census tract level for 1990 and measures of job proximity based on the 1987 Censuses of Manufacturing, Retail Trade, and Services. They show that the impact of a standard deviation increase in social characteristics and job proximity raises individuals' hours worked by 6% and 4% in the average, respectively. Such social interactions have nonlinear effects with the greatest impact in the worst neighborhoods. Being in a disadvantaged neighborhood is most important rather than the labor activity of one's neighbors *per se*. Social interaction effects are also larger for less educated individuals and for Hispanics, but not for Blacks

compared to Whites.

While these works are in broad agreement, recent research by Oreopoulos (2003) shows that neighborhood quality plays *little* role in determining a youth's eventual earnings, likelihood of unemployment, and welfare participation. In contrast, family differences, as measured by sibling outcome correlations within the relatively homogeneous sample of low-income family background and public housing residence, account for up to 30 percent of the total variance in the data from residents of Toronto public housing projects. These findings are particularly significant because the respondents in that study had been administratively assigned to different public housing residences and therefore the assignment process should have removed much of the selection across neighborhood types, at least according to the author.

A number of recent economic studies emphasize network effects as neighborhood effects by examining whether it is appropriate to associate geographic proximity with facilitation of information flow. This question is similar to the question addressed by the empirical literature on the economics of social interactions. Even if we have compelling evidence of correlations in the behavior of individuals who are in physical and social proximity to one another, we wish to know what explains such correlations. That is, adapting the terminology of Manski (2000), we wish to know whether correlations among such individuals are due to their sharing the same sources of information (a correlated effect), to their sharing individual characteristics because of self-selection (a contextual, or exogenous social, effect), or to their learning from one another's behavior (an endogenous social effect). We discuss first empirical studies and then turn to theoretical ones.

A recent study provides what appears to be the strongest case to date for the effects of geographical proximity on job market outcomes. Bayer *et al.* (2004) document that people who live close to each other, defined as living in the same census block, tend to also work together, defined as working in the same census block: the baseline probability of working together is 0.93% compared to 0.51% at the block group level (a collection of ten contiguous blocks). Their findings are robust to the introduction of individual controls in the form of a number of socio-demographic characteristics and block group fixed effects. More specifically, these authors examine the hypothesis that agents interact very locally with their social contacts, exchanging information about jobs. Let: i and j be individuals who reside in the same Census block group but not in the same household;

W_{ij}^b a dummy variable that is equal to one, if i and j work in the same Census block; R_{ij}^b is a dummy variable that is equal to one, if i and j reside in the same Census block; and, X_{ij} a vector of socio-demographic characteristics for a matched pair (i, j) , ρ_g a residential block group fixed effect which serves as the baseline probability of an employment match for individuals living in the same block group. Then their hypothesis may be examined in terms of a regression:

$$W_{ij}^b = \rho_g + \beta' X_{ij} + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1' X_{ij}) \cdot R_{ij}^b + \varepsilon_{ij}. \quad (1)$$

These authors' test for the presence of social interactions due to proximity boils down to testing for the statistical significance of the term $(\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha}_1' X_{ij})$. Their including both the baseline probability $\hat{\rho}_g$ and pair's covariates in levels, $\hat{\beta}' X_{ij}$, is aimed at controlling for any observed and unobserved factors that may influence employment locational choices at the block group level. For example, this controls for features of the urban transportation network that might induce clustering in both residence and work location. Also, worker characteristics might be correlated with both residential location preferences and work location, if firms sort along the same variables. Their empirical strategy addresses several additional potential pitfalls, including possible sorting below the block level and the possibility of reverse causation. After they estimate the social interactions effect they consider whether the quality of the matches available in an individual's block affects employment, labor force participation, and wage outcomes.

Bayer *et al.* use data from the 1990 US Census for the Boston metropolitan data for all households who responded to the long US Census form, and choose individuals who did not reside in the same household, were US born and aged between 25 to 59, and employed at the time, thus ending up with 110,000 observations. From these data, about 4 million observations on pairs were constructed by matching up individuals in pairs, and involved 2,565 block groups with an average of 10 blocks each.

Their findings show that social interactions tend to be stronger when the pair involves individuals who are more likely to interact because of education, age and the presence of children¹², when one of the two individuals is strongly attached to the labor market and weaker when both are drop-outs, young or married females. In terms of the magnitude of the impact of match quality, a one standard deviation increase in referral opportunities raises labor force participation by

¹²Assortative matching of this type in social networks has been documented by Marsden (1987).

one percentage point, weeks worked by about two thirds of one week, and earnings by about two percentage points. This study is also significant for its reliance on different geographical scales for identification.

Two other studies that have sought to identify “network effects” by using concepts of proximity that are similar to those of Bayer *et al.* and seeking to establish that individuals in close proximity share information. Therefore, they are not only methodologically similar to Bayer *et al.* but also relevant to those interested in establishing information sharing among individuals in close proximity to one another. Bertrand, Luttmer and Mullainathan (2000) consider the role of social networks on welfare participation. It emphasizes methods that allow one to distinguish between the effects of networks from those of unobservable characteristics of individuals and of the communities in which they live. Like Bayer *et al.*, it attempts to distinguish between the effects of mere geographic proximity and of information transmission made possible by proximity. In particular, they rely on language spoken and examine whether being surrounded by others who speak the same language increase welfare use more for individuals who belong to high welfare-using groups. Individuals tend to interact with others who speak the same language and therefore more likely to be influenced by other members of that group. They obtain highly significant and positive coefficients on the interaction between contact availability and mean welfare participation of one’s language group and interpret these findings as evidence of network effects. Aizer and Currie (2002) examine “network effects” in the utilization of publicly funded prenatal care. These authors consider women as belonging to a network if they live in the same neighborhood (defined as the areas of 5-digit zip code) and belong to the same racial or ethnic group. They use data on utilization of publicly funded prenatal care using Vital Statistics data from California’s Birth Public Use files from 1989 to 2000. They find evidence in favor of their hypothesis that pregnant women are most likely to be influenced by new mothers from the same area and ethnic group in terms of their own use of public prenatal care programs. Such use is highly correlated within groups defined using race/ethnicity and neighborhoods, and persists even after accounting for unobserved characteristics by including zip code-year fixed effects. However, the richness of their data (which are abstracted from birth certificates and include more than 3.5 million observations) allows them to test whether such estimated effects represent information sharing within groups. This is accomplished by including

fixed effects for the hospital of delivery interacted with the year of delivery. The results on the estimated effects of “networks” are either reduced or eliminated and thus cast doubt on the idea that the observed correlations can be interpreted as evidence of information sharing. They point instead to differences in the behavior of the women involved and of the institutions serving different groups of low-income women as the primary explanation for group-level differences in the take-up of this important public program. Finally, they establish the role of the latter by comparing the behavior of foreign-born with that of native-born Hispanic women. They find that “network effects” are quite similar for both those groups of Hispanic women, in contrast to their expectation that foreign-born women would have greater informational requirements. They conclude, therefore that it is differences in the behavior of institutions and not information sharing that explains the established correlations between neighborhood and ethnic group membership in prenatal care use.

Lalive (2003) also examines social interactions among unemployed individuals. This empirical study exploits an unusual quasi-experimental setting created by selective extension of unemployment benefits by the Austrian government that affected in salient ways individuals who resided in different regions and were employed (or had been employed) by a certain group of industries. Evidence of social interactions in unemployment behavior measured in terms of length of unemployment spells takes the form of both direct effects among individuals who are entitled to the extended benefits as well as indirect effects through the impact of the extension on the behavior within the reference group. As a methodological matter, such identification of social interactions within a linear model with the dummy variable indicating that an individual is aged at least 50 years and has continuous work history as an individual effect and its group mean as a contextual effect, is made possible because of “partial-population intervention”, a possibility raised by Moffitt (2001). That is, the endogenous social interactions coefficient may be disentangled from the direct effect of extended benefits by distinguishing two groups of the population and by comparing reduced forms estimated with data for those affected with those not affected by the policy. This result is also particularly relevant in explaining spatial variation in unemployment, an issue of great interest in large economies.

3.3 The Role of Job Referrals

Finneran and Kelly (2003) examine theoretically the role of job referrals with special emphasis in the persistence of inequality. Workers differ in terms of skills, and such differences are ex ante unobservable by employers. Each individual is a member of a hierarchical referral network. Employees may refer their own acquaintances they believe to be qualified for employment. If those are hired, they may in turn refer their acquaintances. Each step in the referral process is stochastic and reflects a whole host of factors describing the labor market. Their central result is that the density of referral linkages exhibit threshold behavior. Above such a threshold, workers throughout the hierarchy are referred for employment with probability one; below it, workers' probability of referrals falls exponentially as one moves down the network so that average income falls and workers at very low levels are referred with probability zero. Referrals are more valuable than anonymous matching because they convey better information about employees' qualifications, reduce recruiting and training costs, and lower monitoring costs. The result is established in a general network, where the number of potential ties by each worker and the probability of forming ties all differ across the network. Given a set of potential direct referrals with a large number of potential connections n and a set of workers who are always guaranteed employment, then the measure of paths that connect those who are always guaranteed employment with other individuals in a network who are far away from them (in terms of the number of required referrals) is increasing in the number of actual referrals made. Under these conditions, as the size of the economy grows there is a critical number of linkages, which varies slowly with n , such that the probability for any group of workers who are far in terms of linkages from those who are always guaranteed employment to be linked with them through referrals tends to one, when the number is above the critical value, and to zero, when it is below it. As in the result of Calvó-Armegnol and Jackson, this is due to statistical properties of networks with workers being otherwise identical.

Krauth (2003b) models search for jobs, where individuals' social acquaintances provide referrals to potential employers. The model assumes a social structure, represented by a directed graph, which is given but may possibly be time varying, stochastically as well, but is exogenous. Firms hire workers but do not observe their quality directly. A worker's past employment and social connections affect her current employment prospects. Krauth proposes a model of strong versus

weak ties that is intended to express Granovetter's concept of weak versus strong ties as follows: a social tie from agent i to agent j is defined as strong, if j has a social tie to one or more of i 's other friends, and weak otherwise. The model involves starting from a network with only strong ties and switching some of the social connections randomly with probability p . For large networks, the probability that this process would generate another strong tie is close to 0, and the fraction of weak ties in the resulting network is approximately p . Krauth uses simulations to show that the long-run probability of employment is increasing with the proportion of weak ties. Weak ties appear to be a way for an individual to diversify her social resources. When individuals are friends of one another (they are connected through strong ties), their employment statuses are correlated and this increases the variance in the number of employed friends. Therefore, a network with more weak ties is associated with smaller inequality in the distribution of employed friends and thus higher overall employment rate. Tassier and Menczer (2002) also study the role of referrals in labor market outcomes.

Empirical evidence on the interaction among individuals and their social contacts and employers through job referrals is not as extensive. According to Loury (2003), who works with the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, personal contacts have significant wage effects for young men only in the case of contacts' being older generation male relatives who knew the boss or arranged an interview for the job-seeker. Simon and Warner (1992) presented evidence that those who found out about their jobs through an acquaintance inside the firm had higher starting salaries, while those who found out through an acquaintance outside the firm had lower starting salaries. They attributed this finding to reductions in employer uncertainty about worker productivity.

In contrast to the economics research that elaborates on salient aspects of contact and relational heterogeneity that influence job contact prospects for individuals, there is little comparable work that emphasizes the effects of employer characteristics. In a notable exception, the varying role of referrals in the U.S. industrial sector has been studied directly by Kugler (2002). She argues that the observed positive correlation between industry wage premia and use of employee referrals when industry-level data are used, disappears when micro data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth are used and sector of employment is controlled for.

Relying on data from the European Community Household Panel, Pellizzari (2003) reports

substantial cross-country variation in the effects of contacts on earnings. In some cases, contacts result in wage premiums and in others workers who found their jobs through contacts earn less than those using formal sources. The latter largely occur in industries where firms invest substantially in formal recruitment activities. Firms are more likely to undertake such investments for high productivity jobs where the cost of turnover are substantial. When large investments are made, workers found through formal recruitment average higher productivity than those found through other means.

3.4 A Remark on Evolutionary Models of Social Structure

Next we discuss briefly some connections of the neighborhood effects literature with the literature on evolutionary models of social structure. First, it is straightforward to contrast the notion of weak versus strong ties with global versus local interaction in evolutionary models of social structure. Groups whose members are connected with strong ties are typically small and “close-knit.” Second, as emphasized by Dietz (2002), the evolutionary learning literature involves conceptually related concepts. Specifically, this literature studies interactions through games individuals play with members of a population where a social state is defined as adoption of a norm or other institutions. A particularly noteworthy finding in this literature is a result of Ellison (1993), according to which if individuals interact with members of a large population then existing behavior (or social conventions, historical forces, etc.) are likely to dominate. If, on the other hand, they interact with a small number of neighbors, then cooperative outcomes and thus coordination is more likely. So, interactions involving small neighborhoods are more likely to be determined by evolutionary forces. The results of Ellison have been generalized by Young (1998) as follows.¹³ When individuals interact mainly with small groups of neighbors, then “shifts of regime can occur exponentially faster than in the case of uniform interaction All else being equal, the smaller the size of the neighborhood groups, and then the more close-knit the groups are, the faster the transition time for the whole population [Young, *op. cit.*, 98–99]. This suggests an important role of close-knit groups for social learning, which in the context of the literature reviewed here may be interpreted

¹³Regimes are described with stochastically stable states. The concept of stochastically stable dynamic equilibrium [Young (1993; 1998)] is particularly appropriate circumstances where a system is subject to repeated shocks, that is when the system is shocked repeatedly and before it has a chance to recover, it is shocked again, and again. In such settings, some states will occur more frequently than other states in the long run.

as that of strong ties. This contrasts with the role that Granovetter has ascribed to weak ties in the interpersonal flow of job-related information. Clearly additional research is needed.

4 Endogenous Networks

If social networks are determined by uncoordinated actions of individuals then several of their features are of interest. First, how many others an individual is in contact with and exchanging job-related information? Second, how does this number vary among individuals within the economy, and how might it depend upon individual characteristics? And, third, what is the topology of the associated network? That is, is everyone directly connected with everyone else, is there a single individuals through whom everyone is connected, or is there some other stylized pattern of connections that is discernible in social networks? We review the existing literature while recognizing that it has not, to date, succeeded in delivering endogenous outcomes with respects to all these features simultaneously. The fast-developing literature on strategic network formation, which seeks to motivate the creation of social contacts in terms of optimizing behavior by individuals has not, until recently, emphasized job information networks although, as we see shortly, important progress has been made. The strategic network formation literature has been eloquently reviewed recently by Dutta and Jackson (2002), Goyal (2003) and Jackson (2003). Because of these reasons, we will touch on it very briefly.

Many of the contributions in this literature, including Jackson and Watts (2002) and Watts (2001), aim at axiomatic descriptions of network-based concepts. Important such concepts are: efficiency, with a network being efficient if there is no other network that leads to higher payoff for all of the members; stability, with a network being stable if no individual would benefit by severing a link and no two players would benefit by forming a new link; and the notion of a Nash network, where all members are playing Nash equilibrium. The models in the strategic network formation literature make links endogenous by means of strategic considerations. That is, maintenance (or creation) of links between two individuals requires that they both consent to it, whereas severance can be done unilaterally. The utility each member derives from being a member of a network, that is from being connected with others who may themselves be connected with others, typically depends additively upon the number of other agents each agent is connected with, minus the costs

of maintaining connections. Some authors make an allowance for proximity, by means of a decay factor that depends on the number of intervening agents. Others, like Bala and Goyal (2000), to be discussed in more detail below, distinguish between one- or two-communication. As Brueckner (2003) and Jackson (2003) demonstrate, these assumptions are quite crucial for the results.

Jackson and Wolinsky (1996) show the equilibrium network is empty if linkage costs are high, is a wheel network (where each agent is connected with two other agents thus forming a wheel, or a circle) if linkage costs are moderate, and a complete network if the linkage costs are low. The two extreme outcomes are quite intuitive. The wheel outcome is also intuitive when one recognizes that it is associated with two connections per person, which is the minimal symmetric outcome. This demonstrates the sensitivity of network topology to parameter values and suggests that in practice many different topologies may emerge in a given economy for different sets of problems. As we see further below, the recent resurgence of interest in job information networks has benefitted by extending several of the concepts proposed by this literature. In particular, Calvó-Armegñol (2004) suggests that conditions that lead to different network topologies, that are obtained endogenously, are important for the functioning of job information networks. That is, the information flows associated with two different chains of contacts of identical length but in different topologies are generally different. We return to this work in detail further below.

Bala and Goyal (2000) also model endogenous network formation, where each individual derives utility that is proportional to the number of other agents she is connected with directly and indirectly, net of the costs of maintaining those connections. Unlike Jackson and Wolinsky, *op. cit.*, however, theirs involves *directed* links. This means that your having access to another person's information, one-way communication, does not imply that other person has access to yours, which would be the case in two-way communication (undirected links). Bala and Goyal show that, with one-way communication, Nash networks are either minimally connected and form a wheel (each player forms exactly one link) or empty. In other words, information is either shared with *everyone*, or there is *no* sharing. Bala and Goyal also study the dynamics of link formation by assuming a naive best-response rule with inertia, that is, an agent may choose, with fixed probabilities, either a myopic pure strategy best-response, or the same action as in the previous period. They show that irrespective of the number of agents and from any initial starting pattern of interconnections,

the dynamic process *self-organizes*, by converging in finite time with probability 1 to the unique limit network. The limit is the wheel, if the linkage costs are small, or either the wheel or the empty network, if the linkage costs are large.¹⁴ With two-way communication the results are quite different: Nash networks are either “center-sponsored” stars (where an agent forms the network by connecting himself with all others, occupies the central position and pays for all links), or the empty network.

It is interesting that experimental evidence that has been obtained recently provides support for the Bala and Goyal model. Falk and Kosfeld (2002) report that the prediction based on strict Nash equilibrium works well in the one-way communication model, with subjects forming the wheel or the empty network in a majority of cases. In contrast, the predictions based on Nash and on strict Nash fail for the two-way communication model: the subjects do not form the center-sponsored star nor the empty network in any of the experiments. The authors attribute their results to the subjects’ sensitivity to fairness considerations, which is a well-known factor affecting game outcomes in experimental settings

Brueckner (2003) extends the basic assumptions of this literature by means of endogenizing the probability of a link and of linking costs. This extension assumes that individuals value only their direct connections, involves a simple mathematical structure, and derives some properties that would seem to be relevant outside the confines of those particular models. For example, identical individuals will spread their efforts uniformly to create social acquaintances, thus bringing about symmetric outcomes and ruling out inherently asymmetric network topologies like that of the star. However, asymmetric outcomes are possible if individuals’ social attractiveness differs — individuals can have magnetic personalities —, or if individuals have different sets of social acquaintances.

The assumptions these models employ do not make them readily applicable to the study of exchange of information about jobs. Still, the experimental evidence on network formation and the sensitive dependence of endogenous network topology on costs relative to benefits suggests that cultural factors may be important in the determination of real life job information networks.

¹⁴These results may be generalized by restricting the information available to agents, that is by assuming only local information – each agent knows the residual set of all those she is connected with, that is those her neighbors can access without using links to her – and by allowing observation of successful agents – there is some chance that she receives information from a “successful” agent, that is a person who observes the largest subset of people in the economy without assistance from her own links.

Different individuals may value differently the payoffs from being connected with others relative to the costs of doing so.

4.1 Endogenous Job Information Networks

The theoretical predictions by Calvo-Armegnol and Jackson (2002; 2003)¹⁵ depend on ad hoc assumptions about social exchange: the network of social interactions is given. By varying network characteristics and parameters, one may explore potential consequences of primitive behavioral assumptions about individuals' valuations of social interactions, especially with respect to different types of individuals' propensities to transmit job related information. Social interactions associated with endogenous job information networks may be quite different from others, such as those inspired by constraint, preference or expectations interactions, to use the terminology of Manski (2000).

What do we know about network formation for the purpose of acquiring and disseminating job information? Boorman (1975) was the first to pose formally the question of how social groups accommodate the transmission of job related information. He presents an analytical model for the transmission of job related information through contacts, where an individual may choose how to allocate her effort over maintaining strong and weak contacts. Strong contacts require more time than weak ones to be maintained and are given priority in the transmission of job related information. Choice over strong vs. weak contacts by all members of the society leads to a rudimentary social structure, relative to such a decision.

Let μ and δ denote the probability that a person will need a job at a particular point in time, and that she hears *directly* of a vacant job, respectively. If S and W denote the number of strong and weak contacts, respectively, then the probability that a person will get a job through her contacts may be computed as the one minus the probability that the person will not get a job either from any of her strong or her weak ties. The latter two probabilities may be obtained from elementary but tedious combinatoric computations¹⁶ The probability that a person will get a job is written as:

$$1 - \left[1 - \delta(1 - \mu) \frac{1 - (1 - \mu)^S}{\mu S} \right]^S \left[1 - \delta(1 - \mu)^{S+1} \frac{1 - (1 - \mu)^W}{\mu W} \right]^W. \quad (2)$$

¹⁵Cahuc and Fontaine (2003) allow for individuals to choose between job matching through social networks and (costly) individual search methods. Such an extension appears to overturn several standard results. Competitive search may be over- or under-utilized and multiple equilibria are possible.

¹⁶See *ibid.* and Calvo-Armegnol (2004), Proposition 1, for details. Actually, the latter does not distinguish between strong and weak ties.

Maximizing this probability, subject to a time constraint, $W + \lambda S = T$, where λ denotes the extra units of time required to maintain a strong tie, allows us to study whether strong or weak contacts are more likely to be chosen for different values of the parameters. Boorman's probabilistic approach has recently been taken up by Calvó-Armegnol (2004), discussed below.

It turns out that if the probability of needing a job is small, $\mu \ll 1$, Boorman's model implies that the equilibrium where all individuals choose weak ties is stable. The opposite is true when $\mu \approx 1$. These results have an intuitive appeal. The only reason for investing in strong contacts is the concern that one's weak contacts will be preempted by the demands of their own needy contacts. As the probability that anyone needs a job decreases this contingency becomes less important. Boorman's model does not use tradeoffs facing workers searching for jobs. It merely deals with the properties of the communication network in a uniform symmetric setting, where each individual maintains both strong and weak ties.

Boorman's path-breaking work took a long time to influence the economics literature. This is quite surprising in view of the fact that it is a model of endogenous (job) information network that does not require strategic considerations. Curiously, the development of strategic models more generally seemed to have provided an impetus for the development of non-strategic models, as well. Calvó-Armegnol (2004) develops the first precise model of a contact network that is deliberately aimed at passing job-related information and Calvó-Armegnol and Zenou (2001) explore its implications for labor market-wide matching. These papers unlike Granovetter and Boorman do not distinguish between strong and weak contacts.¹⁷

In Calvó-Armegnol (2004), all workers are initially employed, but may lose their jobs with a constant probability b , and hears of a new job opportunity with probability a . If a worker hearing of a job is unemployed she takes it; if she is employed, she passes the information on to her unemployed direct contacts. A worker is employed and hears of a job with probability $\alpha = a(1 - b)$, and is unemployed and needs to hear from her contacts about jobs with probability $\beta = b(1 - a)$. Let g

¹⁷The earliest model of word-of-mouth communication that we are aware of is Strand (1983), who is interested in intrafirm wage dispersion. The concept of word-of-mouth communication used by Calvó-Armegnol and Zenou is similar to Ellison and Fudenberg (1995), although the latter stresses the efficiency of social learning. The latter paper's finding that social learning is often more efficient when communication between agents is fairly limited. In a model of word-of-mouth communication, this is understood as sampling from a given sample of other participants, with some fraction of players ignoring the information and not changing their decisions and the remainder adopting the choice that appears to be best based on their own nonoptimal sample. This property of limited communication is conceptually relevant to the role of weak ties in social networks, as we discuss further below.

denote a network of contacts, g^N the set of all subsets of the set of all individuals of size 2, that is the complete graph among N individuals, and $\mathcal{G} = \{g | g \subset g^N\}$. Given $g \in \mathcal{G}$, the set of an individual's direct contacts in g is denoted by $N_i(g)$. The probability that an individual i receives job information who one of her direct contacts j , $j \in N_i(g)$, who is assumed to be informed, is given by $\frac{1-(1-b)^{n_i(g)}}{bn_i(g)}$, where $n_i(g) = |N_i(g)|$. Therefore, the probability that i does not actually find a job thanks to j is given by $q(n_i(g)) = 1 - \alpha \frac{1-(1-b)^{n_i(g)}}{bn_i(g)}$, and the probability that individual i actually gets a job through her contacts is:

$$P_i(g) = 1 - \prod_{j \in N_i(g)} q(n_i(g)). \quad (3)$$

This probability is larger, the greater an individual's set of direct contacts. A larger set of contacts broadens the information channels available to i , but not the number of direct contacts as such. This probability decreases the larger is an individual's indirect contacts through any of his direct contacts, $n_j(g)$, $j \in N_i(g)$. That is, having more indirect contacts increases the competition for information. This basic relationship determines the return to adding and severing links among any two individuals. Doing so affects the information flow for those directly affected as well as those for their direct contacts. This expression also implies an aggregate unemployment rate given by: $u(g) = \beta \left[1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in N} P_i(g) \right]$.

The paper uses this model to examine properties of symmetric equilibrium networks, when a link between any two agents, which is undirected and costly to both of them, is initiated only if it is mutually advantageous. The model also implies tradeoffs associated with the topologies of the networks of contacts. Networks with the same total number of contacts but different topologies imply different aggregate unemployment rates. It is particularly simple to consider topologies of regular graphs, where all individuals have the same number of direct contacts, that is, all nodes have the same degree, ν . In that case, the tradeoffs between the number of direct and indirect contacts on an agent's employment probability implies that it attains a maximum over the set of different degrees, $[1, \nu]$. Where an agent's employment probability increases, it is also the case the marginal effect of increasing the network's degree is negative. While increasing the number of direct links improves the employment probability, it also does so for everyone, thus increasing the number of indirect links, which are detrimental to the likelihood of employment for sufficiently high values of the network's degree. In other words, direct contacts are beneficial because they improve

an individual's information sources, but contacts that are two-links away are detrimental because they create competitors for the information possessed by a direct contact. This *rivalry* is also the reason why the sign and intensity of the payoff spillovers that agents exert on one another are very much dependent on the geometry of the network. This is also a reason why more general analyses are difficult.

Calvó-Armegnol also considers asymmetric networks. In fact, with the same parameters, both symmetric and asymmetric networks are possible, but they cannot be too asymmetric. This model is the only one to date that has employed successfully a model of strategic network formation to the job market context. Interestingly, individual payoffs in this model do not, unlike the specific models in Bala and Goyal, *op. cit.*, contain a component that is linear in the number of other agents each agent is connected with. This could explain why it is so much more difficult to study endogenous network topology in the general case.

Calvó-Armegnol and Zenou (2001) explore the implications of the model in Calvó-Armegnol (2004) for aggregate matching properties of an economy. Aggregate matching is increasing and concave in both the unemployment and vacancy rates. However, hearing through both direct and indirect contacts implies that the impact of network size is not monotonic. This is so, as we argued above, because such an increase increases the potential number of unemployed workers who are connected directly to an employed informed worker.

Specifically, if $1 - u$ the probability that a worker is employed and s is the number of other (randomly drawn) workers each worker is in direct contact with (the degree of the symmetric, or balanced, social network), then each worker meets us unemployed workers and $(1 - u)s$ employed workers in each period. Under the assumption that information is passed by employed to unemployed workers only and the vacancy rate represents the probability that an unemployed individual will hear of a job vacancy directly, than the individual probability of finding a job through social contacts is given by: $P(s, u, v) = 1 - \left(1 - v(1 - u)\frac{1 - (1 - u)^s}{us}\right)^s$. The matching function is given by $m(s, u, v) = u[v + (1 - v)P(s, u, v)]$.

The properties of the matching function with respect to the unemployment and the vacancy rates are the same as in the earlier job matching literature; they differ only with respect to network degree, in which case it is not only not homogeneous of degree one, as in Pissarides (2000), but not

even monotonic. When the network degree increases, on average, unemployed workers hear about more vacancies through their social network. At the same time, it is more likely that information about multiple vacancies reach the same unemployed worker. It is therefore important to see whether this non-monotonicity is present in the data. The properties of the matching function affect the equilibrium level of unemployment in the economy. It is increasing in network size, for sparse networks, and decreasing for dense ones. These findings suggest that it would be important to research further in the aggregate the properties of different types of social networks.

5 Towards an Integration of Job information Networks and Sorting

The interconnection of individuals through job information networks clearly gives rise to sorting phenomena in the economic and social spheres. Sorting, on the other hand, has typically been investigated by the economics literature in a life cycle context. It is tempting to end this paper by examining the interplay of those two forces.

In particular, a well-established literature has investigated how the intertemporal evolution of individual human capital is affected by the human capital of parents, by that of the ethnic group to which an individual belongs, and by neighborhood effects. One may identify several routes of transmission of intergenerational dependence in human capital, such as through parents, through one's ethnic group and through neighborhoods. The natural relationship between parents and children may affect variables that play an important role in the perpetuation of inequality, that are not, however, subject to an individual's own choice, such "innate ability." Of course, mating does involve choice, and the human capitals of spouses are not independent of one another across the population. Parents' choice of human capital investment for their children is affected by their own human capital. This could reflect simultaneous effects of genetic and social transmission of learning or productivity-related skills. With intelligence and its measurement problems aside, such characteristics would include, say, ethnic origin, that is one of often very visible variables through which sorting may take place.

A prominent example of this literature is Kremer (1997), who studies individuals' schooling as

a function of that of the parents and of the mean schooling in one’s neighborhood of upbringing. He finds strong neighborhood effects, that is that mean schooling in the neighborhood of one’s upbringing has a coefficient that is roughly the same as that of the parents’ schooling. This effect is not, however, sufficient to explain a large role for residential sorting in the inequality of earnings across the population.¹⁸ Borjas (1992) regresses individuals’ schooling against those of the parents and the average among the ethnic group to which an individual belongs. Borjas, too, finds strong ethnic effects. Borjas (1995) allows, in addition, for a potential link between parental and ethnic capital, on one hand, and residential segregation, on the other, and finds a strong ethnic effect. Borjas (1998) finds that, in addition, schooling is affected by the presence and skill levels of other ethnic groups in the neighborhood of one’s residence, with less segregation being associated with more schooling for an individual and for her ethnic group. He also allows for potentially complex interactions between one’s ethnic group and the distribution of socioeconomic characteristics in one’s neighborhood of upbringing and obtains statistically significant results.

Job information networks can have complex neighborhood effects, too. They affect different individuals’ access to information about job opportunities and thus have bearing for individuals’ abilities to market their labor services. Such effects imply a dependence among individuals’ neighborhood of residence, social connections, and status and terms of employment. These effects operate within much shorter time frames than the time span between the influence of upbringing and of parents’ characteristics and offspring human capital. It is thus appropriate to allow for different time scales.¹⁹ By defining labor income as the product of human capital, which represents income earning capacity measured in efficiency units, and the appropriate wage rate, which represents its current market price per efficiency unit, we may separate intertemporal effects, such as the parental effect, the ethnic group effect and the neighborhood of upbringing effect, from social network effects on individuals’ access to job-related information. The former effects operate at *life cycle frequencies*, indexed by $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, while the latter operate at nearer to *business cycle*

¹⁸Working with the same data, Ioannides (2002) finds strong nonlinear effects, which imply multiple equilibria. Unfortunately, like Kremer’s, Ioannides’ results are not causal, in that the endogeneity of neighborhood choice by parents is not accounted for.

¹⁹In modelling of physical and engineering processes, one distinguishes between different time scales. See also, Young (1998) on the notion of “event” time, which marks numerous distinct events that may be compressed into short intervals of “real” time. In the absence of different time scales, these considerations would produce a *contemporaneous* cross-sectional effect. We thank Giulio Zanella for directing our attention to Meade (1976), for a discussion of transmission of inequality based on a concept of effects of social contacts operating in different frequencies.

frequencies, indexed by τ_t , which indicates intervals of time within each discrete life cycle period t . They are much shorter than life cycle frequency and account for employment-related events. These two sets of effects would typically not be independent. The social connections of one's parents are related to the pattern of social connections within one's ethnic group and may also influence one's own social connections.

The intertemporal evolution of income earning ability, human capital for short, of individual i who belongs to ethnic group e , $h_{i,e,t}$, reflects such intertemporal effects as the parental effect, which operates through the *natural* parental relationship between individuals' $i'_{e,t-1}$ and $i''_{e,t-1}$ being parents of individual $i_{e,t}$, and where we assume for simplicity that both of individual i 's parents belong to the same ethnic group, the ethnic effect, $h_{e,t}$, and the neighborhood effect, $h_{\nu(i),e,t-1}$, where $\nu(i)$ indicates the neighborhood of individual i 's upbringing. We may combine Kremer (1997) and Borjas (1992; 1995; 1998) and write an equation for the law of motion of human capital as follows:

$$H_{i,e,t} = \mathcal{H} \left(h_{i',e,t-1}, h_{i'',e,t-1}; h_{e,t}, h_{\nu(i),e,t-1} \right), \quad (4)$$

where we have allowed for the ethnic effect and the neighborhood of upbringing effects to interact, $h_{e,\nu(i),t-1}$. A complete description of the intertemporal evolution of income earning ability requires description of the dynamic evolution of the ethnic effect. Borjas and Kremer have both dealt with this issue.

Let S_{i,e,τ_t} denote the event that individual i is employed, $S_{i,e,\tau_t} = 1$, or unemployed, $S_{i,e,\tau_t} = 0$, at a business cycle point τ_t of her life cycle point t . Following Calvo-Armegnol and Jackson, *op. cit.*, we normalize wages so that $W_{i,e,t} = 0$, if i is unemployed. Wage setting may be expressed as a function of one's wage in the previous (business cycle) period and of the number of new job opportunities individual i has as of time τ_t , \mathcal{O}_{i,e,τ_t} , $W_{i,e,t} = w(W_{i,e,\tau_t-1}, \mathcal{O}_{i,e,\tau_t})$, where the index $\tau_t - 1$ is defined within the time scale of business cycle frequencies. We may now write an equation for the intertemporal evolution of labor income,

$$Y_{i,e,t} = H_{i,e,t} W_{i,e,\tau_t} S_{i,e,\tau_t}. \quad (5)$$

We may obtain the full dynamic flavor of Equ. (5) by articulating the dynamic evolution of the probability of employment and of the number of new opportunities. For example, recall the

probability of i 's getting a job through her contacts according to Calvó-Armegnol (2004), Equ. (3) above. That theory implies a dependence of the employment probability on the size of the set of one's contacts, $n_i = |N_{i,t}(g_t)|$, where g_t denotes the graph describing a particular realization of social networks at time τ_t .

Generally, we would expect that the graph describing individual i 's social contacts would not necessarily be *regular* (all individuals having the same number of contacts with others) and would evolve over (business cycle) time in a way that exhibits dynamic dependence. Therefore, in general, we would describe the evolution of the probability of getting a job through one's contacts as a function of the social networks the individuals have access to. These are likely to emerge as a result of individual incentives that reflect strategic considerations associated with network formation. That is, S_{i,τ_t} must be derived as a function of $N_{i,t}(g_t)$.²⁰ We note that the discussion of empirical research earlier in the paper suggests that the efficiency wage rate, the set of job opportunities and the employment probability all depend on one's ethnic group. It is fair to say that the empirical literature suggests strong persistence in ethnic composition and income distribution of neighborhoods.

Equ. (5), along with (4) and a description of the dynamics of human capital by ethnic groups encapsulate the joint effects of human capital and access to job opportunities on the distribution of earned income while accounting for the fact that those effects operate at different time scales. Conceptually, one may adapt the method pioneered by Loury (1981) and the tools of Futia (1982) to describe the equilibrium joint distribution of these characteristics as an invariant distribution associated with the law of motion of income earning ability, ethnic effects and neighborhood effects and job information effects. It is unlikely that in general this description may be reduced in terms of a single dimension only. It would also be interesting to adapt Loury's essentially deterministic approach to a stochastic one, possibly using the tools of evolutionary stability employed by Young (1998). The empirical research reviewed above offers separate glimpses on the joint distribution. The theoretical research would allow further analysis of the impact of job information networks. This is an entirely new approach and is crucial for deeper understanding of the lifetime income distribution.

²⁰In view of Oomes (2003), who shows that if labor can be hired in continuous quantities, the long run distribution of employment in spatially separated markets is uniform, we conjecture that persistence of non-trivial effects of social networks on job-related outcomes is intimately related to the presence of a labor market participation decision.

All in all, there has been a fair amount of success in describing the equilibrium distribution of income, when the emphasis is on the impact of sorting on human capital formation in life cycle frequencies. Our understanding of the impact of job information networks and their role as a force of inequality is much less developed. Such a force could potentially be very important, in part because it does depend on sorting on individuals' *own* characteristics. One wonders how important is sorting by one's *own* characteristics in choosing whom to associate with rather than passively reacting to standard norms of behavior in groups that underlies an independent role of ethnicity as conjectured by Borjas.

Kremer (1997), p. 135, notes "that to the extent that people learn from classmates and co-workers, sorting by an individual's *own* academic ability or productivity in schools or workplaces may have larger effects on inequality than sorting by *parental* characteristics, since an individual's future characteristics are presumably more highly correlated with his or her current characteristics than with parental characteristics." As Kremer also notes, [*ibid.*], this sort of sorting which most people would regard as egalitarian, presumably because it is based on individuals' free choice of association, "may be most likely to significantly 'increase inequality' ". The phenomenon of segregation by skill in firms, that Kremer and Maskin (1996) have analyzed, is particularly relevant in this context. Firms, are metaphorically speaking neighborhoods. Referrals among individuals who have been co-workers involves selection through one's own characteristics and is closely related to the properties of technologies used by firms. It thus provides a route for technology to affect equality of earnings. Further work in this area appears to be particularly fruitful.

The research to date has emphasized the role of neighborhood effects in either the intergenerational dynamics of human capital or the labor supply decisions separately from one another. The framework we sketched above suggests that it would be fruitful to look at both sets of decisions jointly. A rare example of a joint approach where both types of spillovers are allowed for is Overman (2002). That paper uses data on a sample of Australian teenagers to test for neighborhood effects on school dropout rates at two different spatial scales. Overman finds that educational composition of the larger neighborhood can influence the dropout rate, possibly reflecting the structure of local labor market demand. He also finds that low socioeconomic status of the immediate neighborhood is associated with lower dropout rate.

6 Suggestions for Future Research

The specific model proposed in the previous section provides an overarching theme that would help integrate life cycle and business cycle forces into a model of job information networks and sorting. At the same time, a number of other concrete issues may be addressed directly and may thus complement the overarching theme. They are briefly discussed next in this section.

Theoretical issues needing further attention abound. The issue of strong versus weak social ties is a natural one. It has already been generalized by the exogenous job information networks literature. It may be pursued further along the lines of the methods employed by the endogenous job information networks literature, where recent contributions have not distinguished social tie strength. Similarly, global versus local interactions among individuals provide a tempting parallel to strong versus weak ties and therefore deserve additional theoretical attention. The findings of this paper point to a need to understand better the informational and social infrastructure of the modern economy. In this context, a particularly glaring weakness of the theoretical and empirical literature is almost total lack of research on the role of professional intermediaries (“headhunters”) throughout the job market, in spite of anecdotal evidence of the increasing importance of such intermediaries, and especially outside its traditional area of executive search. An economy’s social and informational infrastructure is also important for understanding the role of institutions in facilitating individuals’ access to resources.

We stress the fact that different network topologies are very sensitive to parameter values and therefore it is important for a model to express the particular circumstances of the problem. The strategic network formation literature has examined how the economy self-organizes under different assumptions about expectations. The exogenous social interactions literature has explored the dynamics of different topologies. Combining these two approaches deserves further theoretical research.

An important benefit here would be for economists to learn from the multidimensional picture that the network-based theories of mathematical sociology afford. At the heart of the sociological literature is the belief that network-based models are indispensable for modelling more than just trivial social interactions. As White (1995) emphasizes, further theorizing on social networks is likely to pay off even within sociology, where in spite of technical achievements in social network

measurements, modelling “network constructs have had little impact so far on the main lines of sociocultural theorizing ... ” [*ibid.*, p. 1059]. White sees an important role for studying social interactions through interlinking of different individual-based networks associated with social discourse. Granovetter (2000) urges sociologists to go beyond merely emphasizing “the embeddedness of action in social networks” and states that a “focus on the mechanics of networks alone ...” is insufficient to “lead us toward the more complex synthesis that we seek in understanding the economy ” [*ibid.*, p. 23]. In view of this it appears that distinguishing between the motives that prompt individuals to engage in social networking deserves attention in future research.

Research on the impact of the information technology revolution on the job market is only just beginning. Freeman (2002) provides evidence that computerization and use of the Internet are associated with greater hours as well as higher wages. Evidence reported by Kuhn and Skuterud (2000) suggest increasing use of the Internet for the purpose of job search. We know very little about the impact of the Web on the economy let alone on recruitment and job search.

Both theoretical and empirical research on firms’ recruitment practices also seems likely to yield big payoffs. This research to date has explored existing models of matching to considerable advantage. However, the methodology of strategic network formation lends itself equally well to such a task. Wage premiums and wage penalties associated with finding jobs through personal contacts are the joint outcome of firms’ recruitment efforts and individuals’ job search. We cannot identify the role of information through social interactions without accounting for both sides of the market. This is particularly important if one recognizes the conceptual links between neighborhood effects and network effects and the econometric issues they pose when it comes to the identification of endogenous versus exogenous effects, which we discussed earlier in this paper. Institutional and cultural differences across different countries in how social contacts facilitate job contacts needs to be better measured and understood.

7 Conclusions

Most of the initial economics research on informal contacts aggregated the effects of informal contacts and networks together and assessed the role of job contacts on outcomes by comparing with outcomes when no contacts were relied on. Following sociological analysis, the new strands in

theoretical and empirical economic research examined in this paper point out that contact effects are complex and vary due to individual, contact, relational, and employer heterogeneity. That is, the new literature identifies the specific ways in which the effects of informal networks depend on differences among job seekers themselves, in the characteristics of the contacts they use, in the relationship between the job seekers and his/her contacts, and in features of the work environments where individuals are looking for jobs. Moreover, these components do not operate in a vacuum but instead interact with each other to produce the variation in the use and effects of informal networks. This was confirmed by the new theoretical research that emphasizes the emergence of social networks from individuals' uncoordinated actions. The resulting networks are very sensitive to parameter values.

The research reviewed here suggests that heterogeneity in network effects may be important in a variety of contexts. It can help account for some of the changes in wage and employment inequality across time. It clarifies the mechanism behind correlations in observed outcomes within social groups and, in this way, helps to elucidate previous unexplained similarities in outcome by race, ethnicity, and gender. Furthermore, it identifies the source of some neighborhood correlations in labor market outcomes. Besides areas of needed research indicated earlier, and especially in the previous section, the importance of employer characteristics on contacts effects and of how the Internet might alter the role of informal contacts in the future deserve special attention.

8 APPENDIX A

Table 1
EDUCATION AND METHODS OF JOB SEARCH²¹

Category	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Full	All
	Did Nothing	Pub. Agency	Priv. Agency	Curr. Emplr	Other Emplr	Friend Acquai.	Ads	Other Activ.	Searchers	PSID
Unemployed										
Sample frequencies	11.5	21.8	9.5	5.7	29.4	15.5	33.5	31.0	5.8	100
Years \leq 8	17.9	20.5	18.2	24.2	21.0	23.3	16.9	14.4	17.0	16.7
8 < Years < 11	41.8	25.2	25.5	30.3	28.7	28.9	27.2	30.6	31.6	17.9
Years = 12	26.9	32.2	25.5	30.3	28.7	27.8	32.3	28.9	30.8	31.0
Years = 12 + nonac.	7.5	18.7	25.5	15.2	17.0	12.2	15.4	17.8	14.6	18.7
13 < Years < 15	4.5	9.5	5.5	0.0	3.5	6.7	6.7	5.0	4.3	9.4
BA + adv.	1.5	6.2	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.1	1.5	3.3	1.7	6.2
Searching on-the-job										
Sample frequency	60.5	6.3	3.0	2.0	9.4	8.5	16.0	17.0	8.1	100
Years \leq 8	7.1	9.8	16.7	6.3	13.2	11.6	13.2	6.6	8.3	16.7
8 < Years < 11	17.2	17.7	12.5	12.5	13.2	14.5	11.6	8.0	14.3	17.9
Years = 12	33.7	41.2	25.0	31.3	34.2	29.0	38.0	38.7	34.9	31.0
Years = 12 + nonac.	27.2	25.5	25.0	43.8	22.4	21.7	18.6	22.6	25.3	18.7
13 < Years < 15	9.4	3.9	16.7	6.3	15.8	13.0	14.0	18.3	11.9	9.4
BA + adv.	5.3	2.0	4.2	0.0	1.32	10.1	4.7	5.8	5.2	6.2

²¹The categories are: 1. whether done nothing; 2. searched with a public employment agency; 3. searched with a private employment agency; 4. checked with the current employer; 5. checked with the other employer; 6. checked with friend or relative; 7. placed or answered ads; or, 8. engaged in other activity.

The results are summarized in the following table. The entries in the lines labelled “sample frequencies” are not mutually exclusive – some respondents may be engaged in more than one methods – and thus do not add up to 100. The entries for educational attainments sum up to 100 in each column. The column labelled “Full” gives the educational attainments for the respective subsample of unemployed and those searching on the job in the 1993 sample of the PSID. The column labelled “All” gives the educational attainments for the entire 1993 sample of the PSID.

Table 2
URBAN SIZE AND METHODS OF JOB SEARCH²²

Category	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Full	All
	Did Nothing	Pub. Agency	Priv. Agency	Curr. Emplr	Other Emplr	Friend Acquai.	Ads	Other Activ.	Searchers	PSID
Unemployed										
Sample frequencies	11.5	21.8	9.5	5.7	29.4	15.5	33.5	31.0	5.8	100
> 500,000	38.20	27.17	15.60	35.10	13.17	51.11	22.21	23.69	23.86	16.02
[100000, 500000)	12.05	19.73	10.95	3.20	34.59	14.48	19.07	21.66	20.99	24.15
[50000, 100000)	1.69	7.28	15.34	21.16	7.12	8.37	15.61	6.86	9.39	11.76
[25000, 50000)	17.14	7.88	30.43	26.91	7.53	9.87	13.58	16.94	14.36	13.44
[10000, 25000)	3.31	14.57	22.81	5.88	17.94	7.39	20.86	18.36	14.30	15.74
10,000 >	27.62	23.38	4.87	5.21	17.14	8.78	8.11	12.16	15.85	17.38
Employed										
Sample frequencies	60.5	6.3	3.0	2.0	9.4	8.5	16.0	17.0	8.1	100
> 500,000	16.19	6.36	7.85	.00	12.28	22.43	14.03	10.17	14.79	16.02
[100000, 500000)	30.77	22.63	22.92	19.27	27.47	22.24	28.45	27.48	30.09	24.15
[50000, 100000)	11.67	13.15	41.89	29.72	25.93	17.68	18.38	18.94	13.43	11.76
[25000, 50000)	12.21	13.29	.00	21.76	12.43	17.49	18.04	10.90	12.90	13.44
[10000, 25000)	13.68	5.98	2.18	1.33	6.73	5.81	10.42	16.27	13.08	15.74
10,000 >	12.10	38.60	25.16	27.93	15.17	14.34	10.68	16.25	13.44	17.38

²²The categories are: 1. whether done nothing; 2. searched with a public employment agency; 3. searched with a private employment agency; 4. checked with the current employer; 5. checked with the other employer; 6. checked with friend or relative; 7. placed or answered ads; or, 8. engaged in other activity.

The entries in the lines labelled "sample frequency" are not mutually exclusive – some respondents may be engaged in more than one methods – and thus do not add up to the number in Column "Full". The column labelled "Full" gives the relative geographical distribution of the two respective categories, unemployed and employed looking for job, for the entire 1993 sample of the PSID. "All" gives the geographical distribution of the entire 1993 sample of the PSID. All calculations are weighted by means of the latest weight in PSID.

The geographical categories are defined in terms of the size of the largest city in the county of a household's residence. The categories are: SMSA with largest city 500,000 or more; SMSA with largest city between 100,000 and 499,000; SMSA with largest city 50,000 to 99,999; non SMSA with largest city 25,000 to 49,999; non SMSA with largest city 10,000 to 24,999; non SMSA with largest city less than 10,000.

9 REFERENCES

- Aizer, Anna, and Janet Currie (2002), “Networks or Neighborhoods? Correlations in the Use of Publicly- Funded Maternity Care in California,” NBER working paper No. 9209, September.
- Anderberg, Dan, and Fredrik Andersson (2003), “Stratification, Social Networks in the Labor Market, and Intergenerational Mobility,” discussion paper 2003 – 08, Department of Economics, Royal Holloway College, Egham, November.
- Arrow, Kenneth J. 2000. “Observations on Social Capital,” in Dasgupta, Partha, and Ismail Serageldin eds. *Social Capital*, pp. 3–5. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Bala, Venkatesh, and Sanjeev Goyal. 2000. “A Noncooperative Model of Network Formation,” *Econometrica*, 68: 5, pp. 1181–1229.
- Bayer, Patrick, Stephen L. Ross, and Giorgio Topa (2004), “Place of Work and Place of Residence: Informal Hiring Networks and Labor Market Outcomes,” working paper, Yale University, March.
- Beggs, John and Hurlbert, Jeanne. 1997. ”The Social Context of Men’s and Women’s Job Search Ties: Membership in Voluntary Organizations, Social Resources, and Job Search Outcomes.” *Sociological Perspectives*, 40, 4, 601–622.
- Bertrand, Marianne, Erzo F. P. Luttmer and Sendhil Mullainathan (2000), “Network Effects and Welfare Culture,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CXV, August, 1019–1055.
- Bewley, Truman F. (1999) *Why Wages Don’t Fall During a Recession?*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Blau, David. “An Empirical Analysis of Employed and Unemployed Job Search Behavior.” *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 45, 1992: 738-752.
- Blau, David and Robins, Philip. “Job Search Outcomes for the Employed and Unemployed,” *Journal of Political Economy* 98, 1992: 637-655.

- Boorman, Scott A. (1975), "A Combinatorial Optimization Model for Transmission of Job Information through Contact Networks," *Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*, 6, 216–249.
- Borjas, George J. (1992), "Ethnic Capital and Intergenerational Mobility," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, February, 123–150.
- Borjas, George J. (1995), "Ethnicity, Neighborhoods, and Human Capital Externalities," *American Economic Review*, 85, 3, 365–390.
- Borjas, George J. (1998), "To Ghetto or Not to Ghetto: Ethnicity and Residential Segregation," *Journal of Urban Economics*, 44, 228–253.
- Bortnick, Steven and Ports, Michelle. "Job Search Methods and Results: Tracking the Unemployed." *Monthly Labor Review* 115, December 1992: 29-35.
- Bradshaw, Thomas. "Jobseeking Methods Used by Unemployed Workers." *Monthly Labor Review* 96, February 1973: 35-40.
- Bridges, William and Villemez, Wayne. "Informal Hiring and Income in the Labor Market." *American Sociological Review* 51, August 1986: 574-582.
- Brueckner, Jan (2003), "Friendship Networks," working paper, Department of Economics, University of Illinois, April.
- Burt, Ronald S. (1980), "Models of Network Structure," *Annual Reviews of Sociology*, 6, 79–141.
- Burt, Ronald S. (1992), *Structural Holes*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Burt, Ronald S. (2000) "Network Structure of Social Capital," in *Research in Organizational Behavior*, Volume 22, edited by Sutton, Robert I., and Barry M. Staw, Elsevier Science.
- Burt, Ronald S. (2001) "Structural Holes versus Network Closure as Social Capital," in *Social Capital: Theory and Research*, edited by Lin, Nan, Karen S. Cook, and R. S. Burt. Aldine de Gruyter.

- Cahuc, Pierre, and Francois Fontaine (2002), “On The Efficiency of Job Search with Social Networks,” discussion paper No. 583, Universite Paris 1-EUREQua, September.
- Calvó-Armegnol, Antoni (2004), “Job Contact Networks,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 115, 1, 191–206.
- Calvó-Armegnol, Antoni, and Matthew O. Jackson (2002), “Networks in Labor Markets: Wage and Employment Dynamics and Inequality,” working paper, Caltech and Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, April; rev. April 2003.
- Calvó-Armegnol, Antoni, and Matthew O. Jackson (2003), “The Effects of Social Networks on Employment and Inequality,” working paper, Caltech and Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona; forthcoming *American Economic Review*.
- Calvó-Armegnol, Antoni, Thierry Verdier, and Yves Zenou (2004), “Strong and Weak Ties in Employment and Crime,” IUI Conference on Networks: Theory and Applications, Vaxholm, Sweden, June.
- Calvó-Armegnol, Antoni, and Yves Zenou (2001), “Job Matching, Social Network and Word-of-Mouth Communication,” working paper, University of Southampton, May; IZA discussion paper No. 771, 2003.
- Coleman, James S., (1990), *Foundations of Social Theory*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Conley, Timothy, and Georgio Topa (2003a), “Socio-Economic Distance and Spatial Patterns in Unemployment,” *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, forthcoming.
- Conley, Timothy, and Georgio Topa (2003b), “Dynamic Properties of Local Interaction Models,” forthcoming in Blume, Laurence E., and Steven N. Durlauf, eds. *The Economy as an Evolving Complex system, III*.
- Corcoran, Mary, Linda Datcher, and Greg Duncan (1980), “Information and Influence Networks in Labor Markets,” 1–37, in Duncan, Greg J., and James N. Morgan, eds., *Five Thousand American Families*, Vol. VIII, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan.

- Corcoran, Mary, Roger Gordon, Deborah Laren, and Gary Solon (1992), “The Association between Men’s Economic Status and Their Family and Community Origins,” *Journal of Human Resources*, 27, 4, 575–601.
- Dasgupta, Partha, and Ismail Serageldin. 2000. *Social Capital, A Multifaceted Perspective*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Datcher, Linda (1982), “Effects of Community and Family Background on Achievement,” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, LXIV, 1, 32–41.
- Datcher, Linda (1983), “The Impact of Informal Networks on Quit Behavior,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, LXXV, 3, 491–495.
- Datcher-Loury, Linda (1988), “Effects of Mother’s Home Time on Children’s Schooling,” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, LXX, 3, 367–373.
- Datcher-Loury, Linda (1989), “Family Background and School Achievement among Low Income Blacks,” *Journal of Human Resources*, 24, 3, 528–544.
- Devine, Theresa and Kiefer, Nicholas. *Empirical Labor Economics: The Search Approach*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1991.
- Dietz, Robert D. (2002), “The Estimation of Neighborhood Effects in the Social Sciences,” *Social Science Research*, 31, 539–575.
- Dodds, Sheridan, Roby Muhamad, and Duncan J. Watts (2003), (2003), “An Experimental Study of Global Social Networks,” *Science*, 301, August 8, 827–829.
- Durlauf, Steven N. (1996a), “A Theory of Persistent Income Inequality,” *Journal of Economic Growth*, 1, 75–93.
- Durlauf, Steven N. (1996b), “Neighborhood Feedbacks, Endogenous Stratification, and Income Inequality,” in W. Barnett, G. Gandolfo and C. Hillinger, *Dynamic Disequilibrium Modelling*, Cambridge University Press.

- Durlauf, Steven N. (1996c), "Associational Redistribution: A Defence," *Politics and Society*, 24, 4, 391-410.
- Durlauf, Steven N. (1997), "Statistical Mechanics Approaches to Socioeconomic Behavior," 81–104, in Arthur, W. Brian, Steven N. Durlauf and David A. Lane, *The Economy as an Evolving Complex System II*, Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA, May.
- Durlauf, Steven N. (2002), "Bowling Alone: A Review Essay," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 47, 259–273.
- Dutta, Bhaskar, and Matthew O. Jackson (2003), "On the Formation of Networks and Groups," 1–15, in Dutta, Bhaskar, and Matthew O. Jackson, eds., (2003), *Networks and Groups*, Springer, Berlin.
- Dutta, Bhaskar, and Matthew O. Jackson (2002), *Models of the Strategic Formation of Networks and Groups*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, forthcoming.
- Elliott, James (1999) "Social Isolation and Labor Market Isolation: Network and Neighborhood Effects on Less-Educated Urban Workers." *Sociological Quarterly*, 40, 2, 199–216.
- Ellison, Glenn, and Drew Fudenberg (1995), "Word of Mouth Communication and Social Learning," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110, 1, 93–125.
- Falk, Armin, and Michael Kosfeld (2003), "It's All about Connections: Evidence on Network Formation," working paper, Institute for Empirical Research in Economics, Zurich.
- Fernandez, Roberto and Emilio Castilla. (2001), "How Much Is That Network Worth? Social Capital in Employee Referral Networks." In Lin, Nan, Karen Cook and Ronald Burt, eds. *Social Capital: Theory and Research*, Aldine De Gruyter, New York, 85-104.
- Finneran, Lisa, and Morgan Kelly (2003), "Social Networks and Inequality," *Journal of Urban Economics*, 53, 282–299.
- Fontaine, Francois (2003), "Do Really Workers Benefit from Social Networks," Universite de Paris 1– Eureka, September.

- Freeman, Richard B. (2002) “The Labour Market in the New Information Economy,” National Bureau of Economic Research working paper No. 9254, October.
- Futia, Carl A. (1982), “Invariant Distributions and the Limiting Behavior of Markovian Economic Models,” *Econometrica*, 50: 377–408.
- Glaeser, Edward L, David Laibson, Jose A. Scheinkman, and C. Soutter (2000) “Measuring Trust,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, .
- Goyal, Sanjeev (2003), “Learning in Networks: a Survey,” July; forthcoming in Wooders, Myrna, and Gabrielle Demange, eds., *Group Formation in Economics: Networks, Clubs, and Coalitions*, Cambridge university Press.
- Goyal, Sanjeev, and Fernando Vega-Redondo (2004) “Structural Holes in Social Networks,” University of Essex, Department of Economics working paper, preliminary, June.
- Granovetter, Mark. “The Strength of Weak Ties.” *American Journal of Sociology* 78, May 1973: 1360-1380.
- Granovetter, Mark. “The Strength of Weak Ties: A Network Theory Revisited.” *Sociological Theory* 1, 1983: 201-233.
- Granovetter, Mark S. (1974; 1995), *Getting a Job: A Study of Contacts and Careers*, first edition, Harvard University Press, second edition, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois.
- Granovetter, Mark. 2000. “A Theoretical Agenda for Economic Sociology,” to appear in *New Directions in Economic Sociology*, Mauro F. Guillen, Randall Collins, Paula England, and Marshall Meyer, eds. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, forthcoming.
- Overman, Henry G. 2002. “ Neighborhood Effects in Large and Small Neighborhoods.” *Urban Studies*, 39:1, pp. 117–130.
- Haynie, Dana L. (2001), “Delinquent Peers Revisited: Does Network Structure Matter?” *American Journal of Sociology*, 106, 4, 1013–1057.
- Heavner, D. Lee, and Lance Lochner (2002), “Social Networks and the Aggregation of Individual Decisions,” NBER working paper w8979, June.

- Hedström, Peter, Ann-Sofie Kolm, and Yvonne Åberg (2003), “Social Interactions and Unemployment,” working paper 2003: 15, Institute for Labor Market Policy Evaluation, Uppsala, November.
- Holzer, Harry (1987a) “Informal Job Search and Black Youth Unemployment.” *American Economic Review* 77, June: 446-452.
- Holzer, Harry (1987b), “Job Search by Employed and Unemployed Youth,” *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 40, July: 601-611.
- Holzer, Harry. “Job Search Methods Used by Unemployed Youth.” *Journal of Labor Economics* 1, 1988: 1-20.
- Ioannides, Yannis M. (1997), “Evolution of Trading Structures,” 129–167, in Arthur, W. Brian, Steven N. Durlauf and David A. Lane, *The Economy as an Evolving Complex System II*, Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA, May.
- Ioannides, Yannis M. (2001), “Topologies of Social Interactions,” Tufts University, Department of Economics, working paper, June; revised, June 2004.
- Ioannides, Yannis M. 2002. “Nonlinear Neighborhood Interactions and Intergenerational Transmission of Human Capital,” 75–112, in Bitros, George, and Yannis Katsoulacos, eds. *Essays in Economic Theory, Growth and Labour Markets: A Festschrift in Honour of Emmanouel Drandakis*, Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Jackson, Matthew O., (2003), “A Survey of Models of Network Formation: Stability and Efficiency,” working paper, Caltech, January; forthcoming in Demange, Gabrielle, and Myrna Wooders, eds., *Group Formation in Economics: Networks, Clubs and Coalitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Jackson, Matthew O., and Alison Watts (2002), “The Evolution of Social and Economic Networks,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 106, 2, October, 265–295.
- Jackson, Matthew O., and Asher Wolinsky (1996), “A Strategic Model of Social and Economic Networks,” *Journal of Economic Theory*, 71, 44–74.

- Kempe, David, Jon Kleinberg, and Éva Tardos (2003), “Maximizing the Spread of Influence through a Social Network,” SIGKDD '03 Washington, DC.
- Korenmann, Sanders and Turner, Susan (1996), “Employment Contacts and Minority-White Wage Differences,” *Industrial Relations*, 35, 1, 106–122.
- Kortum, Samuel (2003), “Book Review: *Networks and Markets*, by James E. Rauch and Alessandra Casella, eds., Russell Sage Foundation, 2001, *Journal of International Economics*, 61, 249–252.
- Krugman, Paul (1991), “Increasing Returns and Economic Geography,” *Journal of Political Economy*, June.
- Krauth, Brian V. (2000) “Social Interactions, Thresholds, and Unemployment in Neighborhoods,” Department of Economics, Simon Fraser University, working paper, December.
- Krauth, Brian V. (2003a) “A Dynamic Model of Job Networking and Persistent Inequality,” forthcoming in Cowan and Jonard, eds., *Heterogeneous Agents, Interactions, and Economic Performance*, Springer.
- Krauth, Brian V. (2003b) “A Dynamic Model of Job Networking and Social Influences in Employment,” Department of Economics, Simon Fraser University, working paper, October; forthcoming in *Journal of Economic dynamics and Control*.
- Kremer, Michael (1997), “How Much Does Sorting Increase Inequality,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, February, 115–139.
- Kremer, Michael, and Eric Maskin (1996), “Wage Inequality and Segregation by Skill,” NBER working paper No. 5718, August.
- Kugler, Adriana (2002), “Employee Referrals and Efficiency Wages,” IZA discussion paper No. 633, November.
- Kuhn, Peter, and Mikal Skuterud (2000), “Job Search Methods: Internet versus Traditional,” *Monthly Labor Review*, October, 3–11.

- Lalive, Rafael (2003), "Social Interactions in Unemployment," CESifo Working paper No. 1077, November.
- Lin, Nan 2001. *Social Capital: A Theory of Social Structure and Action*. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lippert, Steffen, and Giancarlo Spagnolo (2004), "Networks of Relations," University of Mannheim, Department of Economics, working paper, April.
- Loury, Glenn C. (1977), "A Dynamic Theory of Racial Income Differences," chapter 8, in Wallace, P. A., and A. Le Mund, eds., *Women, Minorities and Employment Discrimination*, Lexington Books, Lexington, Massachusetts.
- Loury, Glenn C. (1981), "Intergenerational Transfers and The Distribution of Earnings," *Econometrica*, 49, 843-867.
- Loury, Linda. (2003), "Some Contacts Are More Equal Than Others: Earnings and Job Information Networks," Tufts University Working Paper.
- Lynch Lisa 1989. "The Youth Labor Market in the Eighties: Determinants of Re-employment Probabilities for Young Men and Women." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 71: pp. 37-54.
- Manski, Charles F. (2000), "Economic Analysis of Social Interactions," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 14, 115-136.
- Marmaros, David (2001) "Analysis of Peer and Social Effects on Employment Opportunities," Senior Honors Thesis, Department of Economics, Dartmouth College, June.
- Marmaros, David, and Bruce Sacerdote (2002), "Peer and Social Networks in Job Search," *European Economic Review*, 46, 4-5, 870-879.
- Marsden, Peter V. (1987), "Core Discussion Networks of Americans," *American Sociological Review*, 52, 122-131.

- Marsden, Peter (2001), "Interpersonal Ties, Social Capital, and Employer Staffing Practices." In Lin, Nan, Karen Cook and Ronald Burt, eds. *Social Capital: Theory and Research*. Aldine De Gruyter, New York, 105-126.
- Marsden, Peter, and Karen Campbell (1990) "Recruitment and Selection Processes: The Organizational Side of Job Searches," 59–79, in Breiger, Ronald, ed., *Social Mobility and Social Structure*, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Marsden, Peter and Elizabeth H. Gorman (2001), "Social Networks, Job Changes, and Recruitment," , 467–502, Berg, Ivar, and Arne L. Kalleberg, eds., *Sourcebook of Labor Markets: Evolving Structure and Processes*, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York.
- Marsden, Peter and Hurlbert, Jeanne (1988) "Social Resources and Mobility Outcomes: A Replication and Extension." *Social Forces* 66, 1038-1059.
- Meade, James (1976), *The Just Economy*, George Allen and Unwin, London.
- Mencken, F. Carson and Winfield, Idee. 2000. "Job Search and Sex Segregation: Does Sex of Social Contact Matter?"
- Milgram, Stanley. 1967. "The Small World Problem." *Psychology Today* 2, pp. 60–67.
- Möbius, Markus (2001), "Why Should Theorists Care about Social Capital?" unpublished manuscript, Department of Economics, Harvard University, March.
- Montgomery, James D. (1991) "Social Networks and Labor Market Outcomes: Towards an Economic Analysis," *American Economic Review*, 81, 5, 1408–1418.
- Montgomery, James D. (1992) "Social Networks and Persistent Inequality in the Labor Market," Department of Economics, Northwestern University, mimeo.
- Mortensen, Dale and Tara Vishwanath. (1994) "Personal Contacts and Earnings: It Is Who You Know." *Labour Economics*, 1, 1, 187–201.
- Montgomery, James D. (1994) "Weak Ties, Employment and Inequality: An Equilibrium Analysis," *American Journal of Sociology*, 99, 5, 1212–1236.

- Munshi, Kaivan (2002), “The Identification of Network Effects: Mexican Migrants in the U.S. Labor Market,” Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania, working paper, April.
- Myerson, Robert B. (1977), “Graphs and Cooperation in Games,” *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 2, 225–229.
- Newman, Mark E.J. (2003), “The Structure and Function of Complex Networks,” *SIAM Review*, 45, 167–256.
- Oreopoulos, Philip (2003), “The Long-Run Consequences of Living in a Poor Neighborhood,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CXVIII, 4, 1533–1575.
- Oomes, Nienke (2003), “Local Trade Networks and Spatially Persistent Unemployment,” *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 27, 2115–2149.
- Palmer, E. M. (1985), *Graphical Evolution*, Wiley Interscience, New York.
- Pellizzari, Michele (2004a), “Do Friends and Relatives Really Help in Getting a Good Job?” CEP discussion paper no 623, London School of Economics, March.
- Pellizzari, Michele (2004b), “Employers; Search and the Efficiency of Matching,” preliminary, London School of Economics, May 11.
- Petersen, Trond, Ishak Saporta, and Marc-David L. Seidel (2000), “Offering a Job: Meritocracy and Social Networks,” *American Journal of Sociology*, 106, 3, 763–816.
- Petrongolo, Barbara, and Christopher A. Pissarides (2001) “Looking into the Black Box: A Survey of the Matching Function,” *Journal of Economic Literature*, XXXIV, 390–431.
- Pissarides, Christopher A. 2000. *Equilibrium Unemployment Theory*, Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Pissarides, Christopher A. 2001. “The Economics of Search,” in *Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioural Sciences*, Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Plotnick, Robert D., and Saul D. Hoffman (1995), “Fixed Effects Estimates of Neighborhood Effects,” University of Washington, Department of Economics, July, mimeo.

- Podolny, Joel M., and James N. Baron (1997), "Resources and Relationships: Social Networks and Mobility in the Workplace," *American Sociological Review*, 62, 5, 673–693.
- Portes, Alejandro (1998) "Social Capital: Its Origins and Applications in Modern Sociology," *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24, 1-24.
- Ports, Michelle (1993) "Trends in Job Search Methods: 1970-1992," *Monthly Labor Review*, October, 63-67.
- Putnam, Robert D. 2000. *Bowling Alone*, New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Rees, Albert (1966) "Information Networks in Labor Markets," *American Economic Review, Papers and Proceedings*, 56, 2, 559–566.
- Reid, Graham. "Job Search and the Effectiveness of Job-Finding Methods." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 25: 479-495.
- Rosenbaum et al. 1999. "Pathways into Work: Short- and Long-term Effects of Personal and Institutional Ties." *Sociology of Education* 72 (July): 179-196.
- Schelling, Thomas C., (1971), "Dynamic Models of Segregation," *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 1, 143-186.
- Schelling, Thomas C., (1978), *Micromotives and Macrobehavior*, Norton, New York.
- Simon, Curtis and Warner, John. 1992, "Matchmaker, Matchmaker: The Effect of Old Boy Networks on Job Match Quality, Earnings, and Tenure," *Journal of Labor Economics*, 10, 3, 306–330.
- Smith, Sandra (2000), "Mobilizing Social Resources: Race, Ethnic, and Gender Differences in Social Capital and Persisting Wage Inequalities," *Sociological Quarterly*, 41 (4): 509-537.
- Solow, Robert M. 2000. "Notes on Social Capital and Economic Performance," in Dasgupta, Partha, and Ismail Serageldin eds., *Social Capital*, pp. 6–10. Washington, DC: The World Bank.

- Staiger, Doug. 1990. "The Effects of Connections on the Wages and Mobility of Young Workers." Working paper, Harvard University Kennedy School of Government.
- Stigler, George, J. 1961. "The Economics of Information." *Journal of Political Economy* 69:5, pp. 213–225.
- Stigler, George, J. 1962. "Information in the Labor Market." *Journal of Political Economy* 70:5, pp. 94–105.
- Strand, Jon (1983), "Equilibrium Wage Distributions with Word-of-Mouth Worker Communication," University of Oslo, mimeo.
- Topa, Georgio (2001), "Social Interactions, Local Spillovers and Unemployment," *Review of Economic Studies*, 68, 261–295.
- Tassier, Troy, and Filippo Menczer (2002), "Social Network Structure, Equality and Segregation in a Labor Market with Referral Hiring," , working paper, Department of Economics, University of Iowa, October.
- van den Berg, Gerard, and Jan van Ours (1996), "Unemployment and Duration Dependence," *Journal of Labor Economics*, 14, 1 100–125.
- Waldinger, Roger D. (1996), *Still The Promised City?* Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Wasserman, Stanley, and Katherine Faust (1994), *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York.
- Watts, Alison (2001) "A Dynamic Model of Network Formation," *Games and Economic Behavior*, 34, 331–341.
- Weinberg, Bruce A., Patricia B. Reagan, and Jeffrey J. Yankow (2000) "Do Neighborhoods Affect Work Behavior? Evidence from the NLSY79," forthcoming, *Journal of Labor Economics*.
- White, Harrison C., (1995), "Network Switchings and Bayesian Forks: Reconstructing the Social and Behavioral Sciences," *Social Research*, 62, 4, 1035 – 1063.

Zuckerman, Ezra W. (2003), "On *Networks and Markets* by Rauch and Casella, eds.", *Journal of Economic Literature*, XLI, 545–565.