

1 **Salt foraging of stingless bees at La Selva Biological Station, Costa Rica**

2
3 Nicholas N. Dorian¹ and Rachael E. Bonoan^{2*}

4
5
6 ¹ Department of Biology, Tufts University, 163 Packard Ave, Medford, MA, Current Address:
7 Harry H. Laidlaw Jr. Honey Bee Facility, 1 Bee Biology Road, Davis, CA, 95616
8 ndorian1@yahoo.com

9
10 ² Department of Biology, Tufts University, 163 Packard Ave, Medford, MA, (617) 627-4849,
11 rachael.bonoan@tufts.edu

12 *Corresponding author

13 Introduction

14
15 Nutrients are essential to the growth and reproduction of all organisms. As such, the
16 distribution, health, and behavior of organisms are often dictated by the availability of nutrients.
17 In the tropics, nutrients are heterogeneously distributed in both space and time (Silva, Souza, &
18 Abreu, 2015). Across the tropical landscape, dissolved ions from bedrock, or animal feces and
19 urine, are rarely found in soils due to high rates of weathering and leaching (Oesker, Homeier,
20 Dalitz, & Bruijnzeel, 2011; Yavitt et al., 2009). Temporally, some tropical regions experience
21 distinct seasons of rainfall throughout the year, whereas others are more aseasonal with respect
22 to precipitation (Oesker et al., 2011). Therefore, nutrient availability can vary greatly throughout
23 a year.

24
25 When dietary nutrients are scarce, herbivores often seek alternative nutrient sources to
26 supplement their plant-based diet (Denton, 1982). This phenomenon is found across a wide
27 diversity of taxa: mountain goats in British Columbia seek out natural salt licks (D. M. Herbert,
28 1971); African elephants make their own salt licks by digging in the soil during the dry season
29 (Weir, 1969); and Amazonian frugivorous bats supplement their diets with mineral-enriched
30 water (Ghanem, Ruppert, Kunz, & Voigt, 2013). This nutrient-specific foraging is particularly
31 prevalent in social insects that simultaneously sustain several different life stages in the colony
32 (Lihoreau et al., 2015). For example, leaf-cutting *Atta* ants will choose to forage on sodium
33 treated baits (Kaspari, Yanoviak, & Dudley, 2008; Pizarro, McCreery, Lawson, Winston, &
34 O'Donnell, 2012), *Reticulitermes* termites are attracted to potassium-rich nest sites (Botch &
35 Judd, 2011; Judd & Fasnacht, 2007), and halictid bees (also known as “sweat bees”) prefer a
36 sodium solution over pure water (Barrows, 1974; Roubik, 1996).

37
38 Neotropical stingless bees likely exhibit a similar behavior. Their primarily floral diet
39 contains trace amounts of essential minerals such as sodium (Na), potassium (K), magnesium
40 (Mg), and calcium (Ca) (Cohen, 2004) however, floral quantities are likely not enough to sustain
41 multi-generational, perennial colonies (Lihoreau et al., 2015). These nutrients are essential for
42 physiological processes such as neurotransmission, immunity, and muscle movement (Cohen,
43 2004). To cope, colonies are thought to supplement their floral diet with non-floral resources
44 such as resin, muddy water, ash, sweat, and even carrion (Lorenzon & Matrangolo, 2005; Wille,
45 1983). Indeed, Roubik (1996) found that *Trigona* and *Apis spp.* in Brunei preferentially sought
46 sodium, potassium, and magnesium salt solutions at artificial feeders. Little is known, however,
47 regarding whether stingless bees in the *neotropics* exhibit this behavior.

48
49 In this study, we investigate the salt foraging behavior of stingless bees (*Trigona*
50 *silvestriana*) at La Selva Biological Station (hereafter, “La Selva”) in Puerto Viejo de Sarapiquí,
51 Costa Rica (Figure 1). Specifically, we asked: do neotropical stingless bees prefer certain
52 minerals when foraging for non-floral resources (i.e. water)? We predicted that stingless bees
53 would show strong preference for sodium (compared to deionized water); the aboveground parts
54 of land plants rarely contain much of this important micronutrient (Cohen, 2004; Oates, 1978)
55 and herbivores are consistently limited by sodium (Denton, 1982). We expected stingless bees to
56 show weaker preferences for potassium, calcium, and magnesium. Although they are equally
57 physiologically important as sodium, they are more prevalent in floral resources (namely pollen)

58 (E. W. J. Herbert & Miller-Ihli, 1987) and therefore unlikely to be sought when foraging for non-
59 floral resources.

60
61

62 **Materials and Methods**

63
64 *Study Site*

65 La Selva receives an annual average of 3,993 mm of rain and experiences weak
66 seasonality (Wet = May-December, 395.6 mm/month; Dry = January-April, 197.5 mm/month)
67 (McDade, Bawa, Hespheide, & Hartshorn, 1994). La Selva's soil fertility is variable and salt-
68 laden winds off the Caribbean Sea (50 km away) are important for maintaining soil ion
69 abundance (McDade et al., 1994). Rainfall is highly dilute in the wet season, however, and ion
70 concentrations can be inversely related to the amount of precipitation during the preceding
71 period. Thus, at the start of the dry season in early January, rainfall at La Selva should still be
72 fairly dilute with nutrients.

73
74 *Study Species*

75 *Trigona silvestriana* is an abundant stingless bee species in Costa Rica (Breed et al.
76 1999) (Figure 2). It builds perennial eusocial colonies around a queen and typically nests in
77 cavities or at the base of mature trees (Hubbell & Johnson, 1977). Like all social insects, *T.*
78 *silvestriana* raise dependent larvae that need to be fed both macro (protein and carbohydrate) and
79 micronutrients (vitamins and minerals) for proper development.

80
81 *Preference Assays*

82 Preference assays were conducted from January 1-4, 2016 at La Selva. Stingless bee
83 foragers were attracted to an elevated feeding station (a stool) using a 30 % sucrose solution and
84 a fresh banana peel (Butler, 1940; Roubik, 1996). The feeding station was placed at the center of
85 a gazebo (Figure 1a) which overlooked La Selva's arboretum (Figure 1b). Bees arrived within 36
86 hours. The feeding station offered six different mineral solutions in inverted petri dishes
87 (diameter = 50 mm) (Figure 3a): 1 M sucrose (positive control) (Roubik, 1996), four chloride
88 (Cl) solutions including 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M KCl, 0.5 M CaCl₂, 0.5 M MgCl₂, and deionized
89 water (negative control) (Pizarro et al., 2012). These concentrations were used to attract
90 congeneric bees in Brunei (Roubik, 1996), therefore we assume them to be biologically relevant
91 for stingless bees. Solutions were randomly allocated to one of six equal areas on the circular
92 feeding station at the start of each day (Figure 3b). Trials started around 0800 and ended around
93 1600, when bee activity and recruitment began to decline. Actively feeding bees were counted
94 every 15 minutes for one minute. If competitors (i.e. wasps, ants) were present, we removed
95 them from the feeder. To discourage presence of competitors in the first place, we placed the
96 feeder base in moats of water.

97
98 **Results**

99
100

101 Stingless bees visited salt solutions (54 combined visits) almost as often as they visited
102 the sucrose solution (59 visits) (Figure 4). Throughout the course of the preference assays,
103 deionized water was visited the least (9 visits) (Figure 4).

104 In comparison to deionized water, stingless bees tended to prefer CaCl₂ the most (+10
105 visits), and MgCl₂ the least (-2 visits) (Figure 5). Stingless bees did not show a strong preference
106 for NaCl (+6 visits) or KCl (+4 visits) (Figure 5).

109 Discussion

110
111 In general, stingless bees preferred mineralized water over deionized water (Figure 3).
112 These results corroborate findings in halictid bees (Barrows, 1974; Roubik, 1996). Also,
113 preference strength tended to differ between salt solutions (Figure 5).

114
115 Stingless bees were attracted to CaCl₂ and KCl solutions, which could highlight a scarcity
116 of these essential nutrients in the environment (Figure 5). The strong preference for CaCl₂ was
117 interesting since in excess, calcium can cause paralysis in bees (Somerville, 2005). In
118 invertebrates, potassium is a component in the structure of lipids and some proteins (Cohen,
119 2004). Unexpectedly, stingless bees had no interest in sodium (Figure 5). In insects, when
120 coupled with potassium, sodium aids in the regulation of pH in cells and body fluid (Cohen,
121 2004). Although herbivores tend to be limited by sodium (Denton, 1982; Oates, 1978), sea-spray
122 from the coast (only 50km away) may have a larger effect on available nutrients than we had
123 anticipated.

124
125 MgCl₂ was avoided by bees at La Selva, however, it was favored by stingless bees in old
126 world tropics (Roubik, 1996) (Figure 5). Although the physiological requirement of magnesium
127 is unknown in stingless bees, the discrepancy in results highlights a likely geographic variation
128 in nutrient preferences. Another explanation for this finding is that stingless bees already get
129 enough of these micronutrients from both floral and non-floral resources in the environment. We
130 may have provided solutions that were too dilute to be worth foraging effort, and it is possible
131 that bees in the dry season are not as nutrient-limited as bees in the wet season when nectar and
132 water sources are more dilute (*Monteverde : Ecology and Conservation of a Tropical Cloud*
133 *Forest*, 2000). Indeed, apart from our feeders, the only non-floral resource we observed stingless
134 bees visiting was prepared fruit (i.e., watermelon) near the field station kitchen. It is likely bees
135 were seeking out sucrose, as there remained unvisited sources of salt ions nearby (i.e., muddy
136 water; pers. obs). In addition, samples of rainwater, fruit, or nectar could be analyzed for specific
137 ions to understand whether our solution concentrations were truly ecologically relevant.

138
139 This exploratory study has implications in both basic and applied science. While there is
140 much known regarding insect nutrition and foraging in general, insect micronutrient
141 requirements remain poorly understood (Cohen, 2004). Understanding micronutrient
142 requirements in social insects is particularly interesting as social insects are not only foraging for
143 themselves but for the colony as a whole (Lihoreau et al., 2015). Future manipulative studies can
144 be used to understand the fitness consequences of nutrient-limitation at the level of the
145 individual, the level of the colony, or both (Lihoreau et al., 2015).

146
147 On the applied side, understanding the nutritional requirements of stingless bees in the
148 tropics is of particular economic value to meliponiculturists, people who raise stingless bee
149 colonies and harvest honey. Meliponiculture holds tremendous historical and cultural value to

150 indigenous groups in Costa Rica. With the loss of many stingless bee populations due to
151 urbanization and deforestation, meliponiculturists in certain sites may have to adopt practices
152 such as diet supplementation with mineral solutions to keep their colonies healthy and productive
153 (*Pot-honey: A Legacy of Stingless Bees*, 2013).

154

155 **Acknowledgements**

156

157 We are indebted to Colin Orians for organizing and leading Tufts University's Tropical
158 Ecology & Conservation trip to Costa Rica. We thank Andrés Vega, Dr. Elizabeth Crone, Dr.
159 Francie Chew, Charles van Rees, and Tropical Ecology & Conservation classmates for
160 manuscript feedback and encouragement, and Dr. Philip T. Starks for feedback on the
161 manuscript. La Selva Biological Research deserves recognition for allowing us to perform this
162 research. Lastly, this project and experience would not have been possible without the generosity
163 of the Wendy & Neil Sandler International Research Program.

164

165 **References**

166 Barrows, E. M. (1974). Aggregation behavior and response to sodium chloride in females of a
167 solitary bee, *Augochlora pura* (Hymenoptera: Halictidae). *The Florida Entomologist*,
168 *57*(2), 189-193.

169 Botch, P. S., & Judd, T. M. (2011). Effects of soil cations on the foraging behavior of
170 *Reticulitermes flavipes* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*,
171 *104*(2), 425-435. doi:10.1603/ec10118

172 Butler, G. C. (1940). The choice of drinking water by the honey bee. *J Evol Biol*, *27*, 253-261.

173 Cohen, A. C. (2004). *Insect Diets: Science and Technology*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press LLC.

174 Denton, D. A. (1982). *Hunger for Salt: An Anthropological, Physiological and Medical Analysis*
175 Springer-Verlag.

176 Ghanem, S. J., Ruppert, H., Kunz, T. H., & Voigt, C. C. (2013). Frugivorous bats drink nutrient-
177 and clay-enriched water in the Amazon rain forest: support for a dual function of mineral-
178 lick visits. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, *29*(1), 1-10.
179 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0266467412000740>

180 Herbert, D. M. (1971). *Natural salt licks as a part of the ecology of the mountain goat*. (M.S.),
181 University of British Columbia.

182 Herbert, E. W. J., & Miller-Ihli, N. J. (1987). Seasonal variation in seven minerals of honey bee
183 collected pollen. *American bee journal*, *127*(5), 367-369.

184 Hubbell, S. P., & Johnson, L. K. (1977). Competition and nest spacing in a tropical stingless bee
185 community. *Ecology*, *58*(5), 949-963.

186 Judd, T. M., & Fasnacht, M. P. (2007). Distribution of micronutrients in social insects: a test in
187 the termite *Reticulitermes flavipes* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) and the ant *Myrmica*
188 *punctiventris* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of*
189 *America*, *100*(6), 893-899. doi:10.1603/0013-8746(2007)100[893:domisi]2.0.co;2

190 Kaspari, M., Yanoviak, S. P., & Dudley, R. (2008). On the biogeography of salt limitation: a
191 study of ant communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *105*(46), 17848-17851.
192 doi:10.1073/pnas.0804

193 Lihoreau, M., Buhl, J., Charleston, M. A., Sword, G. A., Raubenheimer, D., & Simpson, S. J.
194 (2015). Nutritional ecology beyond the individual: a conceptual framework for

195 integrating nutrition and social interactions. *Ecology Letters*, 18(3), 273-286.
 196 doi:10.1111/ele.12406
 197 Lorenzon, M. C. A., & Matrangolo, C. A. R. (2005). Foraging on some nonfloral resources by
 198 stingless bees (Hymenoptera, Meliponini) in a Caatinga Region. *Brazilian Journal of*
 199 *Biology*, 65(2), 291-298.
 200 McDade, L. A., Bawa, K. S., Hespenheide, H. A., & Hartshorn, G. S. (1994). *La Selva: Ecology*
 201 *and Natural History of a Neotropical rain forest*: Elsevier
 202 *Monteverde : Ecology and Conservation of a Tropical Cloud Forest*. (2000). (N. M. Nadkarni &
 203 N. T. Wheelwright Eds.). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
 204 Oates, J. F. (1978). Water-plant and soil consumption by Guereza Monkeys (*Colobus guereza*): a
 205 relationship with minerals and toxins in the diet? *Biotropica*, 10(4), 241-253.
 206 Oesker, M., Homeier, J., Dalitz, H., & Bruijnzeel, L. A. (2011). Spatial heterogeneity of
 207 throughfall quantity and quality in tropical montane forests in southern Ecuador Tropical
 208 Montane Cloud Forests. In L. A. Bruijnzeel, F. N. Scatena, & L. S. Hamilton (Eds.),
 209 *Tropical Montane Cloud Forests* (pp. 393-401). New York, NY: Cambridge University
 210 Press.
 211 Pizarro, L. C., McCreery, H. F., Lawson, S. P., Winston, M. E., & O'Donnell, S. (2012).
 212 Sodium-specific foraging by leafcutter ant workers (*Atta cephalotes*, Hymenoptera:
 213 Formicidae). *Ecological Entomology*, 37(5), 435-438. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
 214 2311.2012.01380.x
 215 *Pot-honey: A Legacy of Stingless Bees*. (2013). (P. Vit, S. R. M. Pedro, & D. W. Roubik Eds.):
 216 Springer.
 217 Roubik, D. W. (1996). Wild bees of Brunei Darussalam. In D. S. Edwards, W. E. Booth, & S. C.
 218 Choy (Eds.), *Tropical Rainforest Research: Current Issues* (pp. 59-66): Kluwer
 219 Academic Publishers.
 220 Silva, M. A. M., Souza, M. F. L., & Abreu, P. C. (2015). Spatial and temporal variation of
 221 dissolved inorganic nutrients, and chlorophyll-a in a tropical estuary in northeastern
 222 Brazil: dynamics of nutrient removal. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography*, 63(1), 1-15.
 223 doi:10.1590/s1679-87592015064506301
 224 Somerville, D. (2005). *Fat Bees, skinny bees: a manual on honey bee nutrition for beekeepers*:
 225 Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation.
 226 Weir, J. S. (1969). Chemical properties and occurrence on Kalahari sand of salt licks created by
 227 elephants. *Journal of Zoology*, 158(3), 293-310.
 228 Wille, A. (1983). Biology of the stingless bees. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 28, 41-64.
 229 Yavitt, J. B., Harms, K. E., Garcia, M. N., Wright, S. J., He, F., & Mirabello, M. J. (2009).
 230 Spatial heterogeneity of soil chemical properties in a lowland tropical moist forest,
 231 xPanama. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 47, 674-687.

232 **Fig. 1** (a) Distribution of *Trigona silvestriana* centered on Costa Rica, map created at
233 discoverlife.org (b) Our study system, *T. silvestriana*. Photo: Rachael E. Bonoan

234

235 **Fig. 2** (a) *Trigona silvestriana* foraging at an inverted petri dish. (b) Preference assay set-up with
236 *T. silvestriana* foraging at artificial feeders. Photos: Rachael E. Bonoan

237

238 **Figure 3.** Total number visits *Trigona silvestriana* made to deionized water, all the salt
239 solutions combined, and the sucrose solution.

240

241 **Figure 4.** Total number visits *Trigona silvestriana* made to each experimental mineral solution
242 in comparison to deionized water. Bars above 0 indicate solutions that were visited more often
243 than deionized water, bars below 0 indicate solutions that were visited less often than water.