

"Paul Glaab" <paul@glaab.com> on 05/21/2000 10:47:36 PM

To: Michael Shannon/Lorillard/MLBA@MLBA cc: Subject: FW: CA clips - Firesafe and Misc.

Mike.....thought I would pass this along from Phillips regarding 'fire safe'.

As mentioned. I will be in Sacramento both this week and next for the Sinclair thing and SB-2070 among other issues.

Will talk to you on Monday. Regards....pgg

----Original Message----From: Phillips, Mike W. [mailto:PHILLIM@RJRT.com] Sent: Friday, May 19, 2000 8:37 AM To: Carpenter, Mike; Glaab, Paul; Jacobson, Paul Cc: Flanigan, Terry Subject: CA clips - Firesafe and Misc.

FYI....

> * article advocating fire-safe passage in CA
> * an California LTE in our favor
>
> Date: 16-May-2000
> Source: San Francisco Chronicle
> Carried by: San Francisco Chronicle

> Title: Cigarettes -- Unsafe At Any Speed
 > Location: California

> WITH THE diseases attributed to breathing tobacco, it is hard to > think of cigarette-smoking as healthy. But self-extinguishing > cigarettes -- that go out when left unattended -- would make the > habit a lot safer.

> That's the aim of SB2070, by Sen. Adam Schiff, D-Burbank, which would > require fire safety standards for cigarettes and prohibit the sale > within the state of any brands that don't conform by 2002. Currently, > cigarettes, largely because of the paper they are rolled in, burn to > the bitter end, often incinerating homes, people, woodlands or > whatever may be near. While the dangers of smoking are well- known, > little regard is given to the safety of the product itself: Namely > that cigarettes are a health hazard even for those who may not puff > on them directly or inhale smoke second-hand. In 1997, U.S. fire > departments reported 136,900 fires caused by tobacco materials, > resulting in more than 900 deaths, 2,479 injuries and \$436 million in > property damage including 3,019 acres of forrests.

> Although some cigarette-makers oppose the bill in favor of more
 > research, lawmakers in New York have approved a similar measure and
 > the time is ripe for California to do the same.

› › Date:

>

>

17-May-2000

> Source: Fresno Bee
> Carried by: Fresno Bee
> Title: Innocent Victims
> Location: California

>

> The anti-tobacco people should think twice before attacking the > tobacco industry. Smokers, of their free choice, choose to smoke, > even after reading the disclaimers that warn them of the dangers.

> Tobacco companies spend good money to discourage of the addicts, who > continue to ignore the strong warnings.

> If smoking is as bad as the anti-tobacco groups claim why haven't the > health departments, local, state and federal, made any effort to > regulate tobacco as a health hazard?

> The Washington politicians repeatedly state that the public knows > what is best for themselves. So if addicts want to exercise their > free choice, it_is their right.

> Tobacco companies are the innocent victims of the greedy, hungry > trial lawyers.

8610241

 \circ