

February 13, 1992

MEMORANDUM

TO: Karen Fernicola Suhr
FROM: Susan Stuntz *sm*

Attached are scribbled comments regarding your observations on the Dyer BCIA proposal. Generally, your observations are right on track and I would suggest that you raise them directly with Dennis so that he knows where you're coming from as he revises his proposal.

He's had success in New England persuading these groups to support IAQ legislation -- and to oppose smoking restrictions -- so I suspect he thinks they'd be a natural for BCIA membership. Remember as you talk with him that he has little idea how BCIA came together at the national level -- I forwarded some documents to him that Pete pulled together for me, but he doesn't have the background. Nor does he know the sensitivities. We need to guide him through those land mines.

One question that we need to wrestle with is whether the group should be a state affiliate of BCIA (with ties to the national) or a separate organization whose purpose is similar to BCIA but with no ties to the national. I'd prefer the former if it's possible, simply because the control is a bit tighter, but that means making sure that Dyer and Cammer and Sparber and Forscey all can play together nicely.

At any rate, talk to him. You have a good handle on the organization and where it should go. Let me know if you've questions.

Thanks.

Attachment

cc: M. Gleason