

The following are five separate proposed ballot arguments that would appear on the official Voters Pamphlet mailed to all registered voters.

Barry Fadem
8/19/83

MAIN ARGUMENT

No. 1

Please vote "No" on Proposition P, to stop government control of personal behavior in San Francisco.

\$500 Per Day Fines

Proposition P would authorize the City Attorney and Health Department to seek fines of up to \$500 per day for violations of the proposed Smoking Control Ordinance.

The Health Department should control contagious diseases and serve the health needs of our poor and neglected citizens -- not waste time and money on matters best left to common sense and good manners.

The City Attorney should prosecute criminals, control housing and rent violations and protect honest citizens -- not spend precious time and taxpayer money on nuisance laws like Proposition P.

680579211

Discrimination

Executives with private offices are not controlled by this law. Yet secretaries, bookkeepers, account staff, key punch operators -- and every other office worker who must share an office workplace -- must comply with the law. Why should management receive special privileges under the law?

And Proposition P does not cover about 80% of government offices, while it does regulate every private company in this city. Why should employees of private businesses have tougher work rules than state or federal employees?

Proposition P is unfair and discriminatory.

One Man Rule

If even one single person objects to smoking policies at an office, the entire workplace must be declared completely nonsmoking for everyone. Smokers have no right to be provided with a smoking area; and Proposition P does not authorize any appeal process.

Labor unions have no bargaining rights under Proposition P. The decision of the employer to outlaw smoking is final, and management is not required to even consult with employee representatives. Both the Chamber of Commerce and the Central Labor Council, AFL-CIO ask you to vote "No on P".

A Law To Force People Apart

People in San Francisco usually find a way to solve their personal differences without the heavy hand of government.

This law is overkill. It goes too far into personal behavior and private working relationships. It creates conflict and bad feelings among people in our city.

Please join us in voting "No" on Proposition P.

[Name of signators to appear here]

680579212

POLICE ARGUMENT

No. 2

We urge you to vote "No" on Proposition P.

Should our City Attorney be investigating illegal cigarette smoking instead of prosecuting crimes?

Proposition P is a dangerously heavy-handed way of dealing with an issue better resolved privately among reasonable people and \$500 per day is an unreasonable fine for such a law.

We want a city that respects law and order. Proposition P breeds disrespect for law, and will create chaos instead of harmony.

It's a bad law and we ask you to join us in voting "No" on Proposition P.

[Name of signators to appear here]

LABOR-CHAMBER ARGUMENT

No. 3

Labor and management ask that you vote "No" on Proposition P. Here's why:

- Proposition P is a bad idea that will create conflict and intrude into personal behavior and internal office procedures.
- Proposition P is overkill. It creates more government than we need -- or want.
- Proposition P undermines labor-management relations, and presents opportunities for job discrimination and mischief.

The San Francisco Labor Council AFL-CIO and the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce officially urge a "No" vote on Proposition P.

[Name of signators to appear here]

680579213

Proposition P is a bad law from any political viewpoint. We recommend a "No" vote.

If Republicans and Democrats can live and work together harmoniously, why not smokers and non-smokers? We certainly don't need government regulators to teach proper manners or common sense.

We may not always agree on how to spend taxpayer money -- but we do agree how not to spend it. We shouldn't waste precious funds on nuisance laws like Proposition P.

Please vote "No" on Proposition P.

[Name of signators to appear here]

DISCRIMINATION ARGUMENT

No. 5

Discrimination is always wrong. That is why we ask you to vote "No" on P.

Proposition P would legalize job discrimination in San Francisco. It is a bad precedent and will create human conflict and strife.

Proposition P gives special rights to some, while removing rights from others. It is totally contrary to the movement to end workplace discrimination and ensure civil rights for all.

If you believe good laws should bring us together -- not force us apart -- please vote "No" on Proposition P.

[Name of signators to appear here]

680579214