

De Gravitatione et aequipondio fluidorum et solidorum
 in fluidis scientiam duplici methodo tradere conavit. Quibus
 ad scientias Mathematicas pertinet, aequum est ut a contempla-
 tione Physicâ quàm maximè abstractam: et hac itaq[ue]
 ratione singulas ejus propositiones & principijs abstractis et
 attendenti satis notis, more Geometricarum, strictè demon-
 strare statur. Deinde cum hoc doctrina ad Philosophi-
 am naturalem quodammodo affinis esse censatur, quibus
 ad plurima ejus Phenomena evadenda accommodatur
 Deoq[ue] cum usus ejus eundem præsertim elucescat et
 principiorum certitudo confirmari fortasse confirmatur,
 non gravatur propositiones ex abundantia experimentis
 etiam illustrare: ita tamen ut hoc laxius disceptan-
 di genus in Scholia dispositum, cum priori perdemo-
 nstrata, propositiones et corollaria tradito non confundatur
 fundamenta ex quibus hæc scientia demonstranda
 est sunt vel Definitiones vocem quarundam ut in quo
 sensu accipia nascatur; vel axiomata et postulata a
 nemine non concedenda. Et hæc e vestigio tradam.

Nomina quantitatis, ^{Definitio per} durationis et spatij notiora sunt
 quàm ut per alias voces Definiri possant.

Def: 1. locus est spatij pars quam res adæquatè
 implet.

Def: 2. Corpus est id quod locum implet.

~~Def: 3. Quies est in eodem loco permanentia~~

Def: 3. Quies est in eodem loco permanentia

Def: 4. Motus est loci mutatio.

Nota. Quod corpus implet locum, hoc est ita saturatum

ut res alius ejusdem generis, partes sive alia corpora
penitus excidat, tanquam res impenetrabile. Potuit autem
locus dici pars spatij ^{quoniam} cui res adaequati inest, sed cum
hic corpora tantum et non res penetrabiles spectantur, melius
definiri esse spatij partem quam res implet.

Propterea cum corpus hic speculandum proponitur non
quatenus est substantia Physica sensibilis qualitatibus praedita
sed tantum quatenus est quid scilicet mobile et impen-
etrabile; itaque non definiatur pro more philosophico, sed
abstrahendo sensibilis qualitates (quas etiam Philosophi in fide
abstrahere debent, et menti tanquam varios modos cogitandi
a motibus corporum excitatos tribuere) possit tantum propri-
etates quae ad motum localem requiruntur. Et sic ut
vicia corporis Physici possit figuris abstractis intelligere
quomodo geometria contemplantur cum motum
ipsis tribuant, ut fit in prop 4 & 8, lib 1 Elm Euclid.
Id in demonstratione definitionis 10^{ae} lib 11 debet fieri;
siquidem ea inter definitionis vitiosa recensetur & potius
inter propositiones demonstrari debent, nisi forte pro
axiomate habeatur.

Definiri propterea motum esse loci mutationem, propterea
quod motus, transitio, translatio, migratio & videtur
esse voces synonymae. Sin malueris isto, motus transitio
de loco in locum.

Ceterum in his definitionibus cum ^{propositione} spatium a
corpore distinctum datur, et motum respectu partium
spatij istius, non autem ^{respectu} positionis corporum contiguorum
determinatur, id gratis contra Cartesii
aerem assumatur, figurata ejus tollere conatur.

Doctrinae ejus in sequentibus tribus propositionibus
compleri possunt 1^o Quod unicuique corpori unicuique tantum
motus proprius ex rei veritate competit (Artic 28, 31 & 32
part 2 Principij) qui definitur esse Translatio unius
partis materiae sive unius corporis ex vicinia eorum
corporum quae illud immediati contingunt, et tanquam
quiescentia spectantur, in viciniam aliorum. (Art 25
part 2, & Artic 28 part 3 Principij). 2^o Quod per corpus

improprie motu iuxta hanc definitionem translatum
non tantum intelligitur materiae particula aliqua vel cor-
pus ex partibus inter se quiescentibus compositum, sed
etiam quod simul transferatur, ubi motus hoc ipsum
constare possit ex multis partibus quae alias inter se
habeant motus. Art 25, part 2, Principij. 3^o Quod propter
hanc motum unicuique corpori proprium, incommuni etiam
alii motus per participationem (sive quatenus est pars
aliorum corporum alios motus habentium) possunt
ipsi veritas in esse (Art 31 part 2 Principij): Qui tamen non
sunt motus in sensu philosophico & cum ratione loquendi
(Art 29 part 3) & secundum rei veritatem (Art 25, part 2
& Art 28 part 3). Sed improprie tantum et iuxta
sensum vulgi (Art 24, 25, 28, & 31 part 2, & Art 29, part 3)
quod motum genus videtur (Art 24 part 2, & 28 part 3)
tribuitur esse actionem qua corpus aliquid ex uno loco
in alium migrat.

Et quomodo dupliciter constituit motus, proprie
et derivatives, sic duplicia loca assignat ~~pro~~ & quibus
isti motus peraguntur, scilicet sunt superficies corporum
immediati ambientium (Art 15 part 2), et situs inter
alia quaecumque corpora (Art 13 part 2 & 29 part 3).

Jan vero quam confusa et rationi absurda est
haec doctrina non modo absurda consequentia con-
cunt, sed et Cartesius ipse sibi contradicendum videtur
agnoscere. Dicit enim Terram edrosam Planctus
proprie et iuxta sensum philosophicum loquendo non
moveri, cumque sine ratione et cum vulgo tantum
loqui qui dicit ipsam moveri propter translationem
respectu fixarum (Art 26, 27, 28, 29 part 3). Sed postquam
tamen in Terra et Planctus ponit conatum recedendi
a Sole tanquam a centro circa quod moventur, quo
per consimilem conatum Vorticis gyralis in suis a
Sole distantis libratur Art 140 part 3. Quid itaque?
an hic conatus a quiete iuxta Planctum iuxta Cartesii

Newton's "De Gravitatione ..."
Disposing of Descartes' *Figmenta*

Def. 1. Place is a part of space that a thing fills evenly.

Def. 2. Body is that which fills a place.

Def. 3. Rest is a continuance in the same place.

Def. 4. Motion is a change of place.

Reductio of Descartes, showing that his definitions of place, body, rest and motion are incompatible with his claims about vortices and uniform motion in a straight line:

"... it then follows that any moving thing has no determinate velocity and no definite line in which it is moved. And much more that the velocity of a body without impediment to its motion cannot be said to be uniform, nor the line straight in which the motion takes place. Nay rather that there can be no motion since there is none without a certain velocity and determination.

Indeed it follows that Descartes' motion is not motion, seeing that it has no velocity, no determination and that by it no space and no distance is covered. It is necessary therefore that the assignment of places (and thus likewise local motion) should be referred to a certain immobile entity, such as extension or space alone in so far as it is regarded as something truly distinct from bodies."

Newton's "De Gravitatione ..."
On force, conatus, inertia, and gravity

Def 5. *Vis* (force) is the causal principle of motion and rest, and is either something external which, impressed in a certain body, either generates or destroys its motion, or at least to some extent changes it; or it is the internal principle by which the motion or rest imprinted on the body is conserved, and by which every entity endeavours to persevere in its actual state, and opposes itself to any impediment.

Def. 6. *Conatus* is an impeded force, or a force in so far as it is resisted.

Def. 7. *Impetus* is force in so far as it is impressed on something else.

Def. 8. *Inertia* is a force within a body, lest its state should be easily changed by an external exciting force.

Def. 10. *Gravitas* is a force in a body impelling it to descend. Here, however, by descent is not only meant a motion towards the centre of the Earth, but also towards any point or region, or even from any point. In this way if the *conatus* of the aether whirling about the Sun to recede from its centre be taken for gravity, the aether in receding from the Sun could be said to descend.

Def. 11. The *intensio* of any of the above mentioned powers is the degree of its quality.

Def. 12. Its *extensio* is the amount of space or time in which it operates.

Def. 13. Its *quantitas absoluta* is the product of its intension and extension. ... The intension of gravity is proportional to the specific gravity of the body; its extension, to the size of the heavy body; and speaking absolutely its quantity is the product of its specific gravity and bulk.