

PRO-ACTIVE LEGISLATIVE TARGETS - 1990

INDEX

<u>State/Issue</u>	<u>Page</u>
ALABAMA	
Hiring Discrimination/Smoking Restriction Preemption	... 1
Repeal Local Tax Authority	... 3
ALASKA	
Hiring Discrimination	... 4
Indoor Air Quality/Ventilation	... 5
ARIZONA	
Employment Discrimination	... 6
Smoking Restriction Preemption	... 7
ARKANSAS	
Hiring Discrimination/Smoking Restriction Preemption	... 8
CALIFORNIA	
Smoking Restriction Preemption	... 10
COLORADO	
None	
CONNECTICUT	
Hiring Discrimination	... 12
Indoor Air Quality	... 14
Sampling Preemption	... 17
DELAWARE	
Hiring Discrimination/Smokers' Rights	... 19
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
Indoor Air Quality/Ventilation	... 21

<u>State/Issue</u>		<u>Page</u>
FLORIDA		
Hiring Discrimination	...	23
Smokers' Rights	...	24
Sampling Preemption	...	25
GEORGIA		
None		
HAWAII		
Ad Valorem Tax Repeal	...	26
IDAHO		
Indoor Air Quality/Ventilation	...	27
ILLINOIS		
Smoking Restriction Preemption	...	28
Sampling/Advertising Preemption	...	30
INDIANA		
None		
IOWA		
Smoking Restriction Preemption	...	32
Sampling/Tax Code	...	34
KANSAS		
Sampling Preemption	...	36
KENTUCKY		
Hiring Discrimination	...	38
Smokers' Rights/Smoking Restriction Preemption	...	39
LOUISIANA		
Smoking Restriction Preemption	...	40

<u>State/Issue</u>		<u>Page</u>
MAINE		
Hiring Discrimination	...	41
Indoor Air Quality	...	43
MARYLAND		
Hiring Discrimination	...	46
MASSACHUSETTS		
Hiring Discrimination	...	48
Indoor Air Quality	...	50
Sampling Preemption	...	53
Local Restaurant Restriction Rollback	...	55
MICHIGAN		
None		
MINNESOTA		
None		
MISSISSIPPI		
Smoking Restriction Preemption	...	57
MISSOURI		
Hiring Discrimination	...	58
Smoking Restriction Preemption	...	60
MONTANA		
None		
NEBRASKA		
Smoking Restriction Preemption	...	62
NEVADA		
Employment Discrimination	...	64

<u>State/Issue</u>	<u>Page</u>
NEW HAMPSHIRE	
Indoor Air Quality	... 65
NEW JERSEY	
Hiring Discrimination	... 68
Smoking Restriction Preemption	... 70
NEW MEXICO	
None	
NEW YORK	
Hiring Discrimination	... 72
Advertising Revenue Maximization	... 74
Small Business Exemption (Smoking Restriction)	... 77
NORTH CAROLINA	
None	
NORTH DAKOTA	
None	
OHIO	
Smoking Restriction Preemption	... 79
OKLAHOMA	
Hiring Discrimination	... 81
OREGON	
None	
PENNSYLVANIA	
Advertising Revenue Maximization	... 83
RHODE ISLAND	
None	

<u>State/Issue</u>	<u>Page</u>
SOUTH CAROLINA	
Smokers' Rights	... 85
SOUTH DAKOTA	
None	
TENNESSEE	
Smokers' Rights	... 87
TEXAS	
None	
UTAH	
Employment Discrimination	... 88
VERMONT	
Hiring Discrimination	... 89
Indoor Air Quality	... 91
Smokers' Rights	... 94
Sampling Preemption	... 96
Local Tax Preemption	... 98
VIRGINIA	
Smoking Restriction Preemption	... 100
Local Tax Preemption	... 102
WASHINGTON	
Hiring Discrimination	... 104
WEST VIRGINIA	
None	

<u>State/Issue</u>		<u>Page</u>
WISCONSIN		
Hiring Discrimination	...	105
Indoor Air Quality	...	107
WYOMING		
None		

PRO-ACTIVE PROPOSAL

**OKLAHOMA
HIRING DISCRIMINATION**

LEGISLATIVE ACTION September 26, 1989

STATE/LOCALITY: Oklahoma

ISSUE: Employment Discrimination

SUMMARY: Secure enactment of legislation prohibiting employers from refusing to hire, discharging or otherwise disciplining an employee for the use of tobacco products outside the course of employment.

LEGISLATIVE STATUS: Anticipated 1990 legislative action

INDUSTRY ACTION

Institute legislative counsel Ken Nance is working with other industry lobbyists to identify a legislator who will be a viable sponsor for legislation of this nature. Missouri Senate Bill 440, filed in the 1989 session, is being used as a model for the Oklahoma legislation. Before the Oklahoma legislature convenes in January of 1990, legislative counsel will have met with key members of the leadership and arranged for a favorable committee referral.

Until it becomes known what opposition, if any, the Oklahoma bill will face, great care must be taken as it moves through the legislative process lest it be amended in some way unacceptable to the industry.

RESOURCES NEEDED	YES/NO	DATE NEEDED
-------------------------	---------------	--------------------

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS/FACTSHEET?	NO	
-------------------------------------	-----------	--

LEGAL MEMORANDUM?	YES	1/1/90
--------------------------	------------	---------------

Legal considerations in discrimination against smokers to be shared with lawmakers.

EXPERT WITNESSES?	YES	1st Qtr 1990
--------------------------	------------	---------------------

Testimony on legal considerations may be necessary, as will one-on-one briefings with lawmakers.

COALITION ALLIES?	YES	Throughout session
--------------------------	------------	---------------------------

Support will be sought from organized labor and the American Civil Liberties Union in addition to traditional allies such as the OATD.

TI GRASSROOTS MOBILIZATION? YES 1st Qtr 1990

Targeted key legislators will receive telephone calls and letters from TAN activists.

COMPANY RESOURCES? YES 1st Qtr 1990

Close cooperation with other industry lobbyists will be needed in the direct lobbying effort.
Member company activist lists may be needed to generate grassroots contacts with legislators.

PUBLIC AFFAIRS/MEDIA RESOURCES? NO

ADDITIONAL NEEDS? NO